Some inequalities related to Riesz transform on exterior Lipschitz domains

Renjin Jiang and Sibei Yang

Abstract. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\mathcal{L} = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ be an elliptic operator on \mathbb{R}^n . Given an exterior Lipschitz domain Ω , let \mathcal{L}_D and \mathcal{L}_N be the elliptic operators \mathcal{L} on Ω subject to the Dirichlet and the Neumann boundary conditions, respectively. For the Neumann operator, we show that the reverse inequality $\|\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ holds true for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. For the Dirichlet operator, it was known that the Riesz operator $\nabla \mathcal{L}_D^{-1/2}$ is not bounded for p > 2 and $p \ge n$, even if $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta$ being the Laplace operator. Suppose that *A* are CMO coefficients or VMO coefficients satisfying certain perturbation property, and $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 , we prove that for p > 2 and $p \in [n, \infty)$, it holds

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{\rho}\in\mathcal{A}_{0}^{p}(\Omega)}\left\|\nabla f-\nabla\phi\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\leq C\left\|\mathcal{L}_{D}^{1/2}f\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$$

for $f \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Here $\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega) = \{f \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_D f = 0\}$ is a non-trivial subspace generated by harmonic function in Ω with zero boundary value.

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, motivated by the recent work [20] on the Riesz transform on exterior Lipschitz domains, we continue to study the boundedness of the Riesz transform, associated with second-order divergence form elliptic operators on the exterior Lipschitz domain Ω having the Dirichlet or the Neumann boundary condition, on $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (2, \infty)$.

Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain, that is, the boundary of Ω , denoted by $\partial\Omega$, is a finite union of parts of rotated graphs of Lipschitz functions, Ω is connected, and $\Omega^c := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is bounded. Furthermore, assume that $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a real-valued and symmetric matrix that satisfies the uniformly elliptic condition, that is, there exists a constant $\mu_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mu_0 |\xi|^2 \le (A(x)\xi,\xi) \le \mu_0^{-1} |\xi|^2,$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product in \mathbb{R}^n .

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J25; Secondary 35B45, 35B65, 42B35, 42B37.

Key words and phrases. Riesz transform, second-order elliptic operator, Dirichlet boundary condition, Neumann boundary condition, exterior Lipschitz domain.

R. Jiang was partially supported by NNSF of China (11922114). S. Yang is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071431), the Key Project of Gansu Provincial National Science Foundation (Grant No. 23JRRA1022), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 12ujbky-2021-ey18) and the Innovative Groups of Basic Research in Gansu Province (Grant No. 22JR5RA391).

Denote by \mathcal{L} the operator $-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and by \mathcal{L}_D and \mathcal{L}_N the operator $-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ on Ω subject to the Dirichlet and the Neumann boundary conditions, respectively (see, for instance, [27, Section 4.1] for the detailed definitions of \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{L}_D , and \mathcal{L}_N). When $A := I_{n \times n}$ (the unit matrix), we simply denote these operators respectively by Δ , Δ_D , and Δ_N . Moreover, let $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_{D,O}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{N,O}$ the operator $-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ on O subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition and the Neumann boundary condition, respectively.

Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. Denote by $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the homogeneous Sobolev space on \mathbb{R}^n , namely the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the semi-norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Meanwhile, the homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ on Ω are defined as the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively, under the semi-norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$. Here and thereafter, $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support, and $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of all infinitely differentiable functions on Ω with compact support contained in Ω . Moreover, for any $q \in (1, \infty)$, denote by $\dot{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\dot{W}_0^{-1,q}(\Omega)$, and $\dot{W}^{-1,q}(\Omega)$, respectively, the dual spaces of $\dot{W}^{1,q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\dot{W}_0^{1,q'}(\Omega)$, and $\dot{W}^{1,q'}(\Omega)$, where q' := q/(q-1).

Let $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Denote by $W^{1,p}(O)$ the Sobolev space on O equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} := ||f||_{L^{p}(O)} + |||\nabla f||_{L^{p}(O)},$$

where ∇f denotes the *distributional gradient* of f. Furthermore, $W_0^{1,p}(O)$ is defined to be the *closure* of $C_c^{\infty}(O)$ in $W^{1,p}(O)$. Meanwhile, for any $q \in (1, \infty)$, denote by $W^{-1,q}(O)$ and $W_0^{-1,q}(O)$, respectively, the dual spaces of $W^{1,q'}(O)$ and $W_0^{1,q'}(O)$.

It is well known that the boundedness of the Riesz transform associated with some differential operators on various function spaces has important applications in harmonic analysis and partial differential equations and has aroused great interests in recent years (see, for instance, [2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, 19, 21, 25, 28, 31]). In particular, let *O* be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^n . The sharp boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{D,O}^{-1/2}$ associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{D,O}$ having the Dirichlet boundary condition on the Lebesgue space $L^p(O)$ was established by Shen [28]. Moreover, the sharp boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{N,O}^{-1/2}$ associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{N,O}$ having the Neumann boundary condition on $L^p(O)$ follows from Auscher and Tchamitchian [4] and Geng [13] (see also [20, Remark 4.2]).

Compared with the boundedness of the Riesz transform associated with differential operators on bounded Lipschitz domains, there are relatively few literatures for the Riesz transform associated with differential operators on exterior Lipschitz domains. Since the heat kernels generated by \mathcal{L}_D or \mathcal{L}_N satisfy the Gaussian upper bound estimate, it follows from the results of Sikora [30] (see also [10]) that the Riesz transforms $\nabla \mathcal{L}_D^{-1/2}$ and $\nabla \mathcal{L}_N^{-1/2}$ are always bounded on $L^p(\Omega)$ for $p \in (1, 2]$. By studying weighted operators in the one dimension, Hassell and Sikora [16] discovered that the Riesz transform $\nabla \Delta_D^{-1/2}$ on the exterior of the unit ball is *not* bounded on L^p for $p \in (2, \infty)$ if n = 2, and $p \in [n, \infty)$ if $n \ge 3$. Moreover, Killip, Visan and Zhang [25] proved that the Riesz transform $\nabla \Delta_D^{-1/2}$ on the exterior of a smooth convex obstacle in \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 3)$ is bounded for $p \in (1, n)$. Very recently, characterizations for the boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla \mathcal{L}_D^{-1/2}$ on $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (2, n)$ and the boundedness of $\nabla \mathcal{L}_N^{-1/2}$ on $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (2, \infty)$ were obtained in [20]. The following results are proved in [20, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5]. Let

$$p(\mathcal{L}) := \sup\{p > 2 : \nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2} \text{ is bounded on } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$$

Furthermore, denote by $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all locally integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Recall that the space BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) is defined as the set of all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) \, dy \right| \, dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls *B* of \mathbb{R}^n (see, for instance, [23, 32]). Moreover, the *space* CMO(\mathbb{R}^n) is defined as the completion of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the space BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) (see, for instance, [9]). The *space* VMO(\mathbb{R}^n) is defined as the set of $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} \left| f(y) - \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} f(z) \, dz \right| \, dy = 0.$$

Note that $CMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq VMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let us recall some results proved in [20, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 & Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 1.1 ([20]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain, $n \ge 2$. (*i*) For all $p \in (1, \infty)$, it holds for all $f \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that

(1.1)
$$\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

(ii) Suppose that $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $n \ge 3$. There exist $\epsilon > 0$ and C > 1 such that for all $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ it holds

(1.2)
$$C^{-1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le \left\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where $1 . If <math>\Omega$ is C^1 , then (1.2) holds for all 1 .

Theorem 1.2 ([20]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain, $n \ge 2$. Let $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and C > 1 such that for all $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, it holds

$$\left\|\nabla \mathcal{L}_N^{-1/2} f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where $1 when <math>n \ge 3$, 1 when <math>n = 2. If Ω is C^1 , then the conclusion holds for $\epsilon = \infty$.

For the case $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta$ being the Laplacian operator and Ω being C^1 , $p(\mathcal{L}) = \infty$ and $\epsilon = \infty$. In this case, it follows from the above results that $\nabla \Delta_D^{-1/2}$ and $\nabla \Delta_N^{-1/2}$ are bounded on $L^p(\Omega)$ for $1 and <math>1 , respectively. By the unboundedness results on the Riesz transform <math>\nabla \Delta_D^{-1/2}$ established in [16], the range $(1, \min\{n, 3 + \varepsilon\})$ of p for (1.2) is sharp; see also [20, 25].

The main purpose of this paper are *twofold*. For the Neumann operator, we wish to confirm the reverse inequality of the Riesz transform, i.e., for $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it holds for all 1 that

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

The same inequality for the Dirichlet case (1.1) was proved in [20, Theorem 1.3].

For the Dirichlet operator, note that from Theorem 1.1, the boundedness of the Riesz transform $\nabla \mathcal{L}_D^{-1/2}$ depends on $n, p(\mathcal{L})$ and the geometry of the boundary $\partial \Omega$. All the dependence are essential, see the characterizations obtained by [20, Theorem 1.1], the regularity dependence of the boundary by [22], and the counter-examples provided in [16, 25, 20]. However, for operator with nice coefficients and domain with nice boundary (C^1 or small Lipschitz constant) such that $p(\mathcal{L}), 3 + \epsilon \ge n$, we can find a suitable substitution of $\dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ space for the inequality (1.2) as following.

Let us assume that the matrix A in the operator \mathcal{L} is in the space VMO(\mathbb{R}^n) and satisfies the perturbation

(GD)
$$\int_{B(x_0,r)} |A - I_{n \times n}| \, dx \le \frac{C}{r^{\delta}}$$

for some $\delta > 0$, all r > 1 and all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Or we assume that $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In both cases, from [21] and [18, Theorem 1] respectively, it is known that

$$p(\mathcal{L}) = \infty.$$

We have the following replacement for the Riesz inequality for the Dirichlet operator for $p \ge n$ and p > 2.

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior C^1 domain. Assume that $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD) or $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for p > 2 and $p \in [n, \infty)$, it holds for all $f \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that

(1.3)
$$C^{-1} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla f - \nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le \left\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Above $\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) := \{ \phi \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_D f = 0 \} = \{ c(u_0 - 1) : c \in \mathbb{R} \}$, where u_0 is the unique solution in $\dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the problem

(1.4)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u_0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_0 = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Combined Theorem 1.3 together with Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.4. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior C^1 domain. Suppose that $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD), or $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

(*i*) There exists a positive constant C > 1 such that, for $1 when <math>n \ge 3$, 1 when <math>n = 2, it holds for any $f \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that

$$C^{-1} \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \mathcal{L}_{D}^{1/2} f \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}.$$

(ii) For $p \in [n, \infty)$ and p > 2, it holds for any $f \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that

$$C^{-1}\inf_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla f - \nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le \left\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2}f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) = \{c(u_0 - 1) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and u_0 is given by (1.4).

The main new ingredient appeared in Theorem 1.3 is introducing the kernel space $\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$, which is motivated by the work of Amrouche, Girault and Giroire [1]. We can actually establish a more general version of Theorem 1.3, provided that $p(\mathcal{L}) \ge n$ and the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is C^1 or with small Lipschitz constant; see Theorem 2.4 below.

For the Neumann operator we have the following.

Theorem 1.5. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain. For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \left\|\nabla f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

By this and Theorem 1.2, we obtain that

Corollary 1.6. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain. Suppose that $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on the Lipschitz constant of Ω and n such that, for any given $p \in (1, \min\{p(\mathcal{L}), 3 + \varepsilon\})$ when $n \ge 3$ or $p \in (1, \min\{p(\mathcal{L}), 4 + \varepsilon\})$ when n = 2, and any $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

(1.5)
$$C^{-1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le \left\|\mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)},$$

where *C* is a positive constant depending only on *n*, *p*, and the Lipschitz constant of Ω .

Furthermore, if $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD) or $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\partial \Omega \in C^1$, then (1.5) holds for all 1 .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide the proofs of Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 1.3 and give characterizations of the space $\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$ in some special cases. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. Throughout the whole paper, we always denote by *C* or *c* a *positive constant* which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. The symbol $f \leq g$ means that $f \leq Cg$. If $f \leq g$ and $g \leq f$, then we write $f \sim g$. If $f \leq Cg$ and g = h or $g \leq h$, we then write $f \leq g = h$ or $f \leq g \leq h$. Denote by *Q* the set of all cubes having their edges parallel to the coordinate axes; for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and any ball $Q \subset Q$, let $S_j(Q) := (2^{j+1}Q) \setminus (2^jQ)$ and $S_0(Q) := 2Q$. For any measurable subset *E* of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by E^c the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ and by $\mathbf{1}_E$ its *characteristic function*. Furthermore, for any $q \in [1, \infty]$, we denote by q' its *conjugate exponent*, namely 1/q + 1/q' = 1. Finally, for any measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and (vector-valued or matrix-valued) function $f \in L^1(E)$, we denote the integral $\int_E |f(x)| dx$ simply by $\int_E |f| dx$ and, when $|E| < \infty$, we use the notation

$$(f)_E := \int_E f(x) \, dx := \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f(x) \, dx.$$

2 Proofs for the Dirichlet operator

Theorem 1.3 will follow from the following more general version Theorem 2.4. Let us begin with some necessary notations.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{L} := -\text{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ be a second-order divergence form elliptic operator on \mathbb{R}^n . Denote by $(q(\mathcal{L})', q(\mathcal{L}))$ the *interior of the maximal interval of exponents* $q \in [1, \infty]$ such that \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism from $\dot{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\dot{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, let *O* be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^n and let $\mathcal{L}_{D,O} := -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ be a second-order divergence form elliptic operator on *O* subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition. Similarly, denote by $(q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O})', q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O}))$ the *interior of the maximal interval of exponents* $q \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{D,O}$ is an isomorphism from $W_0^{1,q}(O)$ to $W_0^{-1,q}(O)$.

Remark 2.2. Let $q \in (1, \infty)$. It is easy to show that the operator \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism from $\dot{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\dot{W}^{-1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if the operator $\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ div is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, for any $q \in (q(\mathcal{L})', q(\mathcal{L})), \nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ div is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, it is well known that there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \infty)$ depending on the matrix A and n such that $(2 - \varepsilon_0, 2 + \varepsilon_0) \subset (q(\mathcal{L})', q(\mathcal{L}))$ (see, for instance, [18]).

Similarly, $\mathcal{L}_{D,O}$ is an isomorphism from $W_0^{1,q}(O)$ to $W_0^{-1,q}(O)$ if and only if $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{D,O}^{-1}$ div is bounded on $L^q(O)$, and hence, for any $q \in (q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O})', q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O}))$, the operator $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{D,O}^{-1}$ div is bounded on $L^q(O)$. Furthermore, there exists a constant $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \infty)$ depending on A, n, and the Lipschitz constant of O such that $(2 - \varepsilon_1, 2 + \varepsilon_1) \subset (q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O})', q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O}))$.

Remark 2.3. Note that $q(\mathcal{L}) = p(\mathcal{L})$. In fact, since for 1 it holds that

(2.1)
$$\left\| \mathcal{L}^{1/2} f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

(see [4]), one further has

$$\|\mathcal{L}^{-1/2}\operatorname{div}\|_{p\to p} = \|\mathcal{L}^{1/2}\mathcal{L}^{-1}\operatorname{div}\|_{p\to p} \le C\|\nabla\mathcal{L}^{-1}\operatorname{div}\|_{p\to p},$$

which by duality implies that, the L^p -boundeness of $\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ div implies $L^{p'}$ -boundedness of $\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$. On the other hand, note that for $p \in (1, p(\mathcal{L})), \nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore for $p \in (p(\mathcal{L})', p(\mathcal{L}))$, we have that

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1} \operatorname{div}\|_{p \to p} = \|\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}(\mathcal{L}^{-1/2} \operatorname{div})\|_{p \to p} \le \|\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}\|_{p \to p} \|\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}\|_{p' \to p'} < \infty$$

Thus we have $q(\mathcal{L}) = p(\mathcal{L})$.

In what follows, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, we always let $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain. Take a large $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Omega^c \subset B(0, R)$. Let $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0, R)$. Assume that $\min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\} > n$ and 2 . Then there exists a positive constant <math>C such that, for any $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

(2.2)
$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla f - \nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \left\| \mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} f \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) := \{ \phi \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_D f = 0 \}.$

Remark 2.5. In \mathbb{R}^2 , we have $\min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\} > n = 2$. In \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 3$, it might happen that $\min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\} < n$, and in this case, the Riesz transform $\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (1, \min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\})$ by Theorem 1.1.

To prove Theorem 2.4, let us first begin with the following several lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. Let $p \in (2, \infty)$ and $f \in \dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support. Then $f \in \dot{W}^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a positive constant *C*, depending only on *p* and the support of *f*, such that

$$||f||_{\dot{W}^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C ||f||_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Suppose that supp $f \subset B(0, R)$ and take a bump function ψ_R such that $\psi_R = 1$ on B(0, R), supp $\psi_R \subset B(0, R + 1)$ and $|\nabla \psi_R| \le 1$. For any $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has

$$\begin{split} |\langle f,g\rangle| &= |\langle f,g\psi_R\rangle| \le ||f||_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||\nabla(g\psi_R)||_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le ||f||_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(||\nabla g||_{L^{p'}(B(0,R+1))} + ||g\nabla\psi_R||_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \\ &\le C(R) ||f||_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(||\nabla g||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x)|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\le C(R) ||f||_{\dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||\nabla g||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Hardy inequality; see [6]. The proof is complete by taking supremum over g w.r.t. the norm $\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $n \ge 2$ and $p \in (2, q(\mathcal{L}))$. Assume that $f \in \dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support. Then the problem

$$\mathcal{L}u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

has a unique solution u in $\dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ up to constants.

Proof. By the assumption that $f \in \dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support and Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $f \in \dot{W}^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then from the Lax–Milgram theorem (see, for instance, [5, Corollary 5.8]), it follows that the equation (2.3) has a unique solution $u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ up to constants. Moreover, by the definition of the interval $(q_{-}(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}))$ and $p \in (2, q(\mathcal{L}))$, we find that $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.8. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain. Take a large $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Omega^c \subset B(0, R)$ and let $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0, R)$. Let $p \in (2, \min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\})$. Assume that $f \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ has compact support and its support is contained in B(0, R). Then the Dirichlet problem

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution u in $\dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. For the exterior Lipschitz domain (or the bounded Lipschitz domain) Ω of \mathbb{R}^n , denote by $W^{s,p}(\partial \Omega)$ the *fractional Sobolev space on* $\partial \Omega$ (see, for instance, [26, Section 2.4.3] for its definition). To show Lemma 2.8, we need the following conclusion.

Lemma 2.9. Let $n \ge 2$ and $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $p \in (2, q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O}))$. Assume that $f \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(O)$ and $g \in W^{1/p',p}(\partial O)$. Then the Dirichlet problem

(2.5)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla v) = f & \text{in } O, \\ v = g & \text{on } \partial O \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution v in $W^{1,p}(O)$.

Proof. We first prove that there exists a solution $v \in W^{1,p}(O)$ for the problem (2.5). Indeed, by $g \in W^{1/p',p}(\partial O)$ and the converse trace theorem for Sobolev spaces (see, for instance, [26, Section 2.5.7, Theorem 5.7]), we find that there exists a function $w_1 \in W^{1,p}(O)$ such that $w_1 = g$ on ∂O . Moreover, it is easy to find that $-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla w_1) \in W_0^{-1,p}(O)$. Furthermore, from the assumption that $p \in (2, q(\mathcal{L}_{D,O}))$, it follows that there exists a unique $w_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(O)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla w_2) = f + \operatorname{div}(A\nabla w_1) & \text{in } O, \\ w_2 = 0 & \text{on } \partial O \end{cases}$$

Thus, $v := w_1 + w_2 \in W^{1,p}(O)$ is a solution of the problem (2.5).

Now, we show the solution of (2.5) is unique. Assume that $v_1, v_2 \in W^{1,p}(O)$ are solutions of (2.5). Then $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla(v_1 - v_2)) = 0$ in O and $v_1 - v_2 = 0$ on ∂O . Thus, $v_1 = v_2$ almost everywhere in O. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.9.

Now, we prove Lemma 2.8 by using Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9.

Proof of Lemma 2.8. By the assumption that $f \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ has compact support and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $f \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,2}(\Omega)$, which, combined with the Lax–Milgram theorem, further implies that the Dirichlet problem (2.4) has a unique solution $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Next, we show $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We first assume that

$$p \in \left(2, \min\left\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R}), \frac{2n}{n-2}\right\}\right)$$

when $n \ge 3$ or $p \in (2, \min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\})$ when n = 2.

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $0 \leq \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \leq 1$, supp $(\varphi_1) \subset B(0, R + 1)$, $\varphi_1 \equiv 1$ on B(0, R), and $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \equiv 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Extend *u* by zero in Ω^c and let $u = u_1 + u_2$, where $u_1 := u\varphi_1$ and $u_2 := u\varphi_2$. Then

$$\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u_2) = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla(u\varphi_2))$$
 in \mathbb{R}^n

From $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and the assumptions that $\varphi_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi_2 \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R+1)$, we infer that $u\varphi_2 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B(0, R+1))$ and hence $\nabla(u\varphi_2) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which, together with the assumption $A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, further implies that $A\nabla(u\varphi_2) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, it is easy to prove that

$$\operatorname{div}(A\nabla(u\varphi_2)) = f\varphi_2 - A\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_2 - \operatorname{div}(uA\nabla \varphi_2) := g$$

in the weak sense. By the assumption $f \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $f\varphi_2 \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)$. Meanwhile, from $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and the assumptions that $\varphi_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq \varphi_2 \leq 1$, and $\varphi_2 \equiv 1$

on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R + 1)$, we deduce that $A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_2 \in L^2(\Omega \cap B(0, R + 1))$, which, combined with the Sobolev inequality, further implies that $A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi_2 \in W^{-1,p}(B(0, R + 1))$. Moreover, by $u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we find that $u \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$, which, together with the assumptions that $\varphi_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\sup p(\nabla \varphi_2) \subset B(0, R + 1)$, further implies that $Au \nabla \varphi_2 \in L^p(\Omega \cap B(0, R + 1))$ and hence $\operatorname{div}(Au \nabla \varphi_2) \in W_0^{-1,p}(\Omega \cap B(0, R + 1))$. Thus, we have $g \in W_0^{-1,p}(\Omega \cap B(0, R + 1))$. Extend g by zero in Ω^c . Then $g \in \dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore,

$$-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u_2) = g \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which, combined with $p \in (2, q(\mathcal{L}))$, further implies that $u_2 \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, from $u = u_2$ on $\partial B(0, R + 1)$ and the trace theorem for Sobolev spaces (see, for instance, [26, Section 2.5.4, Theorem 5.5]), it follows that $u \in W^{1/p',p}(\partial B(0, R + 1))$. Meanwhile, we have

(2.6)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega_{R+1}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = u_2 & \text{on } \partial B(0, R+1), \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_{R+1} := \Omega \cap B(0, R+1)$. By the assumption $p < q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_{R+1}})$ and Lemma 2.9, we conclude that the problem (2.6) has a unique solution in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_{R+1})$, which further implies that $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_{R+1})$. From this, $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $u_2 \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the fact that $u = u_2$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R+1)$, we deduce that $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with any given

$$p \in \left(2, \min\left\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_{R+1}}), \frac{2n}{n-2}\right\}\right)$$

when $n \ge 3$ or any given $p \in (2, \min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_{R+1}})\})$ when n = 2. Then, using an bootstrap argument (see, for instance, [1, p. 63]), we find that $u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with any given $p \in (2, \min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_{R+1}})\})$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.10. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain. Take a large $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Omega^c \subset B(0, R)$ and let $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0, R)$. Assume that $\min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\} > n$. Let p > 2 and $p \in [n, \min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\})$. Assume further that $f \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) := \left\{ w \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_D w = 0 \right\}.$$

Then the problem

(2.7)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution u in $\dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)/\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega)$ and there exists a positive constant independent of f such that

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla u - \nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C \|f\|_{\dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)}$$

Remark 2.11. Note that the above lemma is non-trivial only if $\min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\} > n$. This is not surprise, since by [20, Theorem 1.1] and a similar proof of [20, Theorem 1.4] via using the role of $q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})$ instead of using [28, Theorem B & Theorem C] there, the Riesz operator $\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ is bounded for $p \in (1, n]$. In this case the kernel $\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$ must be trivial, i.e., equal zero.

Proof of Lemma 2.10. By the Closed Range Theorem of Banach (see, for instance, [8, Theorem 5.11-5]), we find that there exists a vector-valued function $F \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $f = \operatorname{div} F$ in Ω . Extend F by zero in Ω^c and still denote this extension by F. Let $\tilde{f} := \operatorname{div} F$. Then $\tilde{f} \in W^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From the assumption that $p \in (2, q(\mathcal{L}))$ and the definition of the interval $(q(\mathcal{L}))', q(\mathcal{L}))$, it follows that there exists a unique $w \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ up to constants such that

$$\mathcal{L}w = \widetilde{f} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, consider the Dirichlet problem

(2.8)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla z) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ z = -w & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then the problem (2.8) has a unique solution $z \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Indeed, take a large $R \in (0,\infty)$ such that $\Omega^c \subset B(0,R)$ and let $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0,R)$. By $w \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude that $w \in W^{1/p',p}(\partial\Omega)$. Let u_z satisfy

(2.9)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u_z) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ u_z = -w & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u_z = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(0, R). \end{cases}$$

Then, from Lemma 2.9, we infer that the problem (2.9) has a unique solution $u_z \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_R)$. Extend u_z by zero on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R)$. Then $u_z \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Let *v* satisfy

(2.10)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla v) = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u_z) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By $u_z \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_z \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R)$, we conclude that $\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u_z) \in \dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ has compact support. From this and Lemma 2.8, it follows that the problem (2.10) has a unique solution $v \in \dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, the problem (2.8) has a unique solution $z = u_z + v \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $u = w + z \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a solution of the problem (2.7). Meanwhile, by the definition of the space $\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$, we find that the problem (2.7) has a unique

Meanwhile, by the definition of the space $\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$, we find that the problem (2.7) has a unique solution in $\dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)/\mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$. Furthermore, it is easy to show that

$$||f||_{\dot{W}_{0}^{-1,p}(\Omega)} = ||\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)||_{\dot{W}_{0}^{-1,p}(\Omega)} \leq ||u||_{\dot{W}_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)/\mathcal{A}_{0}^{p}(\Omega)},$$

which, together with the Open Mapping Theorem of Banach (see, for instance, [8, Theorem 5.6-2]), further implies that

$$||u||_{\dot{W}_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)/\mathcal{A}_{0}^{p}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{\dot{W}_{0}^{-1,p}(\Omega)}$$

namely

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla u - \nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.10.

Now, we prove Theorem 2.4 by using Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 1.1(i).

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $2 . By Theorem 1.1(i) together with a duality argument, we see that for any given <math>q \in (1, \infty)$ and any $g \in L^q(\Omega)$,

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2}g\right\|_{\dot{W}_0^{-1,q}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

From this and Lemma 2.10, we infer that

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)} \|\nabla f - \nabla \phi\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L}_D f\|_{\dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)} = \left\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} \mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} f\right\|_{\dot{W}_0^{-1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \left\|\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2} f\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.12. Let $n \ge 2$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Suppose that $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD), or $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism from $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. The case $A \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ follows from [18, Theorem 1]. For the case $A \in \text{VMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (*GD*), it follows from [21] (see also [20, Theorem 5.1 & Proposition 5.2]) that $\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1/2}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for 1 . Thus we have

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{L}^{-1} \operatorname{div}\|_{p \to p} < \infty,$$

which gives the desired conclusion.

The following was essentially obtained in [28].

Lemma 2.13. Let $n \ge 2$ and $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. If $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a positive constant ε_0 , depending only on n and the Lipschitz constant of O, such that, for any given $p \in (\frac{4+\varepsilon_0}{3+\varepsilon_0}, 4+\varepsilon_0)$ when n = 2 or $p \in (\frac{3+\varepsilon_0}{2+\varepsilon_0}, 3+\varepsilon_0)$ when $n \ge 3$, $\mathcal{L}_{D,O}$ is an isomorphism from $W_0^{1,p}(O)$ to $W_0^{-1,p}(O)$. In particular, if $\partial O \in C^1$, it holds that $\varepsilon_0 = \infty$; that is, $\mathcal{L}_{D,O}$ is an isomorphism from $W_0^{1,p}(O)$ to $W_0^{-1,p}(O)$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Next, we give some characterizations of the space $\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega)$ in some special cases.

Proposition 2.14. Let $n \ge 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain, and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that

$$\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_D u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\}.$$

(i) Take a large $R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Omega^c \subset B(0, R)$ and let $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0, R)$. Assume that $\min\{q(\mathcal{L}), q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R})\} > n$. When 2 ,

$$\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) = \{ c(u_0 - 1) : c \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

where u_0 is the unique solution in $\dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the problem

(2.11)
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u_0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_0 = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If Ω is C^1 , and $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, or $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD), then for any 2 , $<math>\mathcal{R}^p_0(\Omega) = \{c(u_0 - 1) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with u_0 being the same as in (2.11).

(iii) Assume $\mathcal{L}_D := \Delta_D$ and Ω is $C^{1,1}$. If $n \ge 3$ and $p \in [n, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) = \{c\phi_* : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where ϕ_* is the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta \phi_* = 0 & in \ \Omega, \\ \phi_* = 0 & on \ \partial \Omega, \\ \phi_*(x) \to 1 & as \ |x| \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

If n = 2 and $p \in (2, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) = \{c\phi_* : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where ϕ_* is a harmonic function in Ω satisfying that $\phi_* = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and

$$\begin{cases} \phi_*(x) = -c_0 \ln |x| + O(|x|^{-1}), \\ \nabla \phi_*(x) = -c_0 \nabla \ln |x| + O(|x|^{-2}), \\ \nabla^2 \phi_*(x) = O(|x|^{-2}), \end{cases}$$

as $|x| \to \infty$. Here c_0 is a constant and the notation $O(|x|^{-2})$ means that $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{|x|^{-2}}{O(|x|^{-2})}$ exists and is finite.

Proof. We first show (i). For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, let

$$\mathcal{K}^p\left(\mathcal{L}_D^{1/2}\right) := \left\{ \phi \in \dot{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_D^{1/2}\phi = 0 \right\}.$$

For $2 and <math>\phi \in \mathcal{R}_0^p(\Omega)$, extend ϕ by zero in Ω^c . Then the extension of ϕ , still denoted by ϕ , belongs to $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies that

$$\operatorname{div}(A\nabla\phi) = 0$$
 in Ω , $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla\phi) = 0$ in Ω^c , and $\phi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Since $\phi \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} \in W^{-1/p,p}(\partial \Omega)$, where $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} := (A\nabla \phi) \cdot \nu$ denotes the *conormal derivative* of ϕ on $\partial \Omega$, and $W^{-1/p,p}(\partial \Omega)$ denotes the dual space of $W^{1/p,p'}(\partial \Omega)$. Moreover, it is easy to show that div $(A\nabla \phi)$, as a distribution in \mathbb{R}^n , satisfies that, for any $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \operatorname{div}(A\nabla\phi), \varphi \rangle = -\left\langle \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\nu}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\partial\Omega}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\partial\Omega}$ denotes the duality pairing between $W^{-1/p,p}(\partial\Omega)$ and $W^{1/p,p'}(\partial\Omega)$. Furthermore, let *h* denote the distribution defined by div $(A\nabla\phi)$; that is, for any $\varphi \in C_{\rm c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle h, \varphi \rangle = \langle \operatorname{div}(A \nabla \phi), \varphi \rangle = - \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}, \varphi \right)_{\partial \Omega},$$

which, combined with the Sobolev trace embedding theorem (see, for instance, [26, Section 2.5.4, Theorem 5.5]), further implies that, for any $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{split} |\langle h, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{W^{-1/p, p}(\partial \Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{W^{1/p, p'}(\partial \Omega)} \lesssim \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{W^{-1/p, p}(\partial \Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{W^{1, p'}(\Omega^c)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{W^{-1/p, p}(\partial \Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{W}^{1, p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{split}$$

By this, we conclude that $h \in \dot{W}^{-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and h has a compact support. Thus, from Lemma 2.7, it follows that the problem, that $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla w) = h$ in \mathbb{R}^n , has a unique solution in $\dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ up to constants. Therefore, $w - \phi \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla(w - \phi)) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . By this and the assumption $2 , we find that <math>w - \phi = c$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$, which, together with the fact that $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla\phi) = 0$ in Ω , implies that the restriction of w to Ω is the unique solution in $\dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the problem that $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla w) = 0$ in Ω and w = c on $\partial\Omega$. Thus, $w = cu_0$ with u_0 being the same as in (2.11) and $\phi = c(u_0 - 1)$.

Now, we prove (ii). If $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, or $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (*GD*), from Lemma 2.12, we infer that $q(\mathcal{L}) = \infty$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.13, it holds that $q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R}) = \infty$. Therefore, for any $2 , it holds that <math>\mathcal{A}_0^p(\Omega) = \{c(u_0 - 1) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with u_0 being the same as in (2.11). Therefore, the conclusion of (ii) holds.

The conclusion of (iii) was obtained in [1, Theorem 2.7 and Remark 2.8] (see also [29, Remarks 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5]) and we omit the details here. This finishes the proof of Proposition 2.14. \Box

We prove Theorem 1.3 by using Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.14.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that $A \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD), or $A \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $2 . Take a large constant <math>R \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\Omega^c \subset B(0, R - 1)$ and let $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0, R)$. Then Ω_R is a bounded C^1 domain of \mathbb{R}^n . By Lemma 2.12, we find that $q(\mathcal{L}) = \infty$. Moreover, from Lemma 2.13, we infer that $q(\mathcal{L}_{D,\Omega_R}) = \infty$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, we conclude that (1.3) holds for any given $2 . The fact <math>\mathcal{H}_0^p(\Omega) = \{c(u_0 - 1) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ follows from Proposition 2.14. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3 Proofs for the Neumann operator

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5. Denote by Q the set of all closed axisparallel cubes with non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n . We also need the following Calderón–Zygmund decomposition for functions in $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $n \ge 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain, and $p \in (1, \infty)$. For any given $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, there exist a countable index set J, cubes $\{Q_j\}_{j \in J} \subset Q$, and measurable functions $g, \{b_j\}_{j \in J}$ on Ω such that the following properties hold.

- (i) $f = g + \sum_{i \in J} b_i$ holds pointwise almost everywhere;
- (ii) For any $j \in J$, supp $(b_j) \subset Q_j$ and $\sum_{i \in J} \mathbf{1}_{Q_i} \leq 12^n$;
- (iii) $g \in \dot{W}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $||g||_{\dot{W}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C\alpha$;
- (iv) For any $j \in J$, $b_j \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $||b_j||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \leq C\alpha^p |Q_j|$;
- (v) If $p \in (1, n)$, then, for any $j \in J$, $b_j \in L^{p^*}(\Omega) \le C\alpha |Q_j|^{1/p}$, where $p^* := np/(n-p)$;
- (vi) $\sum_{j\in J} |Q_j| \leq \frac{C}{\alpha^p} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p;$
- (vii) $g \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $||g||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le C ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}$;

(viii) For any subset $\widetilde{J} \subset J$, the summation $\sum_{j \in \widetilde{J}} b_j$ converges unconditionally in $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Here, $C \in [1, \infty)$ *is a constant independent of* f, g, $\{b_i\}_{i \in J}$, and α .

Proof. We prove the present lemma by borrowing some ideas from the proof of [12, Lemma 7.2]. By [17, Theorem 3.4], we find that Ω is a uniform domain of \mathbb{R}^n , which, combined with [24, Theorem 2] (see also [7, Theorem 1.2]), further implies that Ω is a $\dot{W}^{1,p}$ -extension domain. Thus, there exists an $\tilde{f} \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{f}|_{\Omega} = f$ and

(3.1)
$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, let

$$U := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}\left(|\nabla \widetilde{f}|^p \right)(x) > \alpha^p \right\},\$$

where \mathcal{M} denotes the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* on \mathbb{R}^n . Then U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

If $U = \emptyset$, then, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\nabla \widetilde{f}(x)| \leq [\mathcal{M}(|\nabla \widetilde{f}|^p)(x)]^{1/p} \leq \alpha$, which implies that, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, $|\nabla f(x)| \leq \alpha$. Therefore, in the case that $U = \emptyset$, let $J := \emptyset$ and g := f. The conclusion of Lemma 3.1 holds in this case.

Now, we assume that $U \neq \emptyset$. From the weak-(p, p) type boundedness of \mathcal{M} (see, for instance, [32, p. 13, Theorem 1]) and (3.1), we infer that

(3.2)
$$|U| \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} ||\nabla \widetilde{f}||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p < \infty.$$

Therefore, $F := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$ is a non-empty closed set of \mathbb{R}^n . By the Whitney decomposition theorem for U (see, for instance, [14, Appendix J.1]), we find that there exists an at most countable index set J and a collection of cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i \in J} \subset Q$ such that

- (a) $U = \bigcup_{j \in J} \frac{8}{9}Q_j, \frac{8}{9}Q_j^\circ \cap \frac{8}{9}Q_k^\circ = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$, where Q_j° denotes the interior of Q_j ;
- (b) for any $j \in J$, $Q_j \subset U$, and $\sum_{i \in J} \mathbf{1}_{Q_i} \le 12^n$;
- (c) For any $j \in J$, $\frac{5}{6}\ell(Q_j) \le d(Q_j, F) \le 4\ell(Q_j)$ and $12\sqrt{n}Q_j \cap F \ne \emptyset$, where $\ell(Q_j)$ denotes the side length of Q_j .

Then, from the term (b) and (3.2), it follows that

(3.3)
$$\sum_{j\in J} |Q_j| \le \int_U \sum_{j\in J} \mathbf{1}_{Q_j}(x) \, dx \le |U| \le \frac{1}{\alpha^p} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p < \infty,$$

which implies that the conclusion (vi) holds.

Furthermore, let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a partition of unity on U, that is, $\sum_{j\in J} \varphi_j = 1$ on U, satisfying that

- (d) for any $j \in J$, $\varphi_j \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_j) \subset Q_j^{\circ}$;
- (e) for any $j \in J$, $\varphi_j \equiv 1$ on $\frac{8}{9}Q_j$ and $\|\varphi_j\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \ell(Q_j)\|\nabla\varphi_j\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$.

For any $j \in J$, let $\widetilde{b}_j := \varphi_j(\widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f}_{Q_j})$ with $\widetilde{f}_{Q_j} := \oint_{Q_j} \widetilde{f} \, dy$. Then, define $\widetilde{g} := \widetilde{f} - \sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{b}_j$, $g := \widetilde{g}|_{\Omega}$, and $b_j := \widetilde{b}_j|_{\Omega}$ for any $j \in J$. Thus, the conclusion (i) holds.

Next, we show (iv), (v), and (viii). It is easy to find that, for any j, $\nabla \tilde{b}_j = \varphi_j \nabla \tilde{f} + (\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_{Q_j}) \nabla \varphi_j$. By this, the term (e), and Poincaré's inequality, we conclude that

$$(3.4) \left\| \nabla \widetilde{b}_{j} \right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})} \leq \left\| \varphi_{j} \nabla \widetilde{f} \right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})} + \left\| \left(\widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f}_{Q_{j}} \right) \nabla \varphi_{j} \right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})} \\ \lesssim \left\| \nabla \widetilde{f} \right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})} + \ell(Q_{j})^{-1} \left\| \widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f}_{Q_{j}} \right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})} \lesssim \left\| \nabla \widetilde{f} \right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})}$$

For any $j \in J$, let $Q_j^* := 12 \sqrt{n}Q_j$. Using the term (c), we can take some $y_j \in Q^* \cap F$. Then, from (3.4) and supp $(\tilde{b}_j) \subset Q_j$, it follows that

$$\left\|\nabla \widetilde{b}_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} = \left\|\nabla \widetilde{b}_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})}^{p} \lesssim \left\|\nabla \widetilde{f}\right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j}^{*})}^{p} \lesssim |Q_{j}|\mathcal{M}\left(|\nabla \widetilde{f}|^{p}\right)(y_{j}) \lesssim \alpha^{p}|Q_{j}|,$$

which further implies that

$$\|b_j\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \|\nabla b_j\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \le \left\|\nabla \widetilde{b}_j\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \le \alpha^p |Q_j|.$$

Thus, the conclusion of (iv) holds. Moreover, if $p \in (1, n)$, by Poincaré's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{split} \|b_{j}\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)} &\leq \left\|\widetilde{b}_{j}\right\|_{L^{p^{*}}(Q_{j})} \lesssim \left\|\widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f}_{Q_{j}}\right\|_{L^{p^{*}}(Q_{j})} \lesssim |Q_{j}|^{1/p^{*}}|Q_{j}|^{-1/p}\ell(Q_{j}) \left\|\nabla\widetilde{f}\right\|_{L^{p}(Q_{j})} \\ &\leq |Q_{j}|^{1/p^{*}}\ell(Q_{j}) \left[\mathcal{M}\left(|\nabla\widetilde{f}|^{p}\right)(y_{j})\right]^{1/p} \leq \alpha |Q_{j}|^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, let \widetilde{J} be any given subset of J. Then, form (3.4) and the term (b), we infer that

$$(3.5) \qquad \left\| \sum_{j \in \widetilde{J}} \widetilde{b}_{j} \right\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} \lesssim \sum_{j \in \widetilde{J}} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{j}} \left| \nabla \widetilde{f}(y) \right|^{p} dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Q}_{j}}(y) \left| \nabla \widetilde{f}(y) \right|^{p} dy$$
$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \nabla \widetilde{f}(y) \right|^{p} dy = \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p} < \infty.$$

Thus, the conclusion of (viii) holds.

Finally, we prove (iii) and (vii). By (3.5), we conclude that

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J} b_j\right\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

which, together with the conclusion (i), implies that $g \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and

$$||g||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left\| f - \sum_{j \in J} b_j \right\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, (vii) holds. Moreover, it is easy to find that, for almost every $x \in F$,

(3.6)
$$\left|\nabla \widetilde{g}(x)\right|^{p} = \left|\nabla \widetilde{f}(x)\right|^{p} \le \mathcal{M}\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{f}\right|^{p}\right)(x) \le \alpha^{p}.$$

Meanwhile, from the assumption that $\sum_{j \in J} \varphi_j = 1$ on U, we deduce that $\sum_{j \in J} \nabla \varphi_j = 0$ on U, which further implies that it holds on U that

(3.7)
$$\nabla \widetilde{g} = \nabla \widetilde{f} - \sum_{j \in J} \left[\varphi_j \widetilde{f} + \left(\widetilde{f} - \widetilde{f}_{\mathcal{Q}_j} \right) \nabla \varphi_j \right] = \sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{f}_{\mathcal{Q}_j} \nabla \varphi_j.$$

Let $x \in U$ and fix an index $j_0 \in J$ such that $x \in Q_{j_0}$. For any cube Q_j that contains x, by the term (c), we conclude that

(3.8)
$$\frac{5}{6}\ell(Q_j) \le d(Q_j, F) \le d(x, F) \le d(Q_{j_0}, F) + \ell(Q_{j_0}) \le 5\ell(Q_{j_0}).$$

Similarly, we also have $\frac{5}{6}\ell(Q_{j_0}) \leq 5\ell(Q_j)$. Let $Q_{j_0}^* := 14\sqrt{n}Q_{j_0}$ and $J_x := \{j \in J : x \in Q_j\}$. Then J_x is a finite set and, for any $j \in J_x$, $Q_j \subset Q_{j_0}^*$. Using (3.7) and the fact that $\sum_{j \in J} \nabla \varphi_j = 0$ on U again, we find that

$$\nabla \widetilde{g}(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \left(\widetilde{f}_{\mathcal{Q}_j} - \widetilde{f}_{\mathcal{Q}_{j_0}^*} \right) \nabla \varphi_j(x) = \sum_{j \in J_x} \left(\widetilde{f}_{\mathcal{Q}_j} - \widetilde{f}_{\mathcal{Q}_{j_0}^*} \right) \nabla \varphi_j(x),$$

which, combined with the terms (b), (c), and (e), (3.8), and Poincaré's inequality, further implies that

$$\begin{split} |\nabla \widetilde{g}(x)| &\lesssim \sum_{j \in J_x} \frac{1}{\ell(Q_j)} \left| \widetilde{f}_{Q_j} - \widetilde{f}_{Q_{j_0}^*} \right| \lesssim \sum_{j \in J_x} \frac{1}{\ell(Q_j)} \int_{Q_{j_0}^*} \left| \widetilde{f}(y) - \widetilde{f}_{Q_{j_0}^*} \right| \, dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in J_x} \left(\int_{Q_{j_0}^*} \left| \nabla \widetilde{f}(y) \right|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \left(\int_{Q_{j_0}^*} \left| \nabla \widetilde{f}(y) \right|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left[\mathcal{M} \left(|\widetilde{f}|^p \right) (z_{j_0}) \right]^{1/p} \le \alpha, \end{split}$$

where z_0 is a fixed point belonging to $Q_{j_0}^* \cap F$. Thus, for any given $x \in U$, $|\nabla g(x)| = |\nabla \tilde{g}(x)| \leq \alpha$, which, together with (3.6), implies that, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, $|\nabla g(x)| \leq \alpha$, and hence (iii) holds. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Moreover, we also need the following off-diagonal estimates for the semigroup $\{e^{-t\mathcal{L}_N}\}_{t>0}$, which are the direct corollary of the Gaussian upper bound estimate of $\{p_{t,\mathcal{L}_N}\}_{t>0}$ (see, for instance, [15]).

Lemma 3.2. Let $n \ge 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an exterior Lipschitz domain, and let \mathcal{L}_N be the operator $-\operatorname{div}(A\nabla \cdot)$ on Ω subject to the Neumann boundary condition. Assume further that 1 . Then there exist positive constants <math>C and c such that, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, any closed sets $E, F \subset \Omega$, and any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset E$,

$$\left\|e^{-t\mathcal{L}_N}f\right\|_{L^q(F)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{q})}e^{-\frac{[d(E,F)]^2}{ct}}\|f\|_{L^p(E)}.$$

Now we show Theorem 1.5 by using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}$, we find that $\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}$ is bounded from $\dot{W}_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, from the boundedness of $\nabla \mathcal{L}_N^{-1/2}$ on $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (1,2]$ and a duality argument, we infer that $\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}$ is bounded from $\dot{W}^{1,q}(\Omega)$ to $L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \in [2,\infty)$.

Next, we prove the boundedness of $\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}$ from $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (1,2)$. Using the boundedness of $\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}$ from $\dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$ and the real interpolation theory of Sobolev spaces, to prove the boundedness of $\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}$ from $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (1,2)$, it suffices to show that, for any given $p \in (1,2)$ and for any $f \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$,

(3.9)
$$\left|\left\{x \in \Omega : \left|\mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} f(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^{p}} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p}$$

We prove (3.9) by borrowing some ideas from the proof of [12, Proposition 8.1]. Let $p \in (1, 2)$, $f \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then, from Lemma 3.1, it follows that there exist measurable functions g and $\{b_j\}_{j\in J}$ being the same as in Lemma 3.1 such that f = g + b in the sense of pointwise with $b := \sum_{j\in J} b_j$. Then we have

(3.10)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} f(x) \right| > \alpha \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} g(x) \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} b(x) \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right| \\ &=: \mathbf{I}_{1} + \mathbf{I}_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We first deal with the term I_1 . By both (iii) and (vii) of Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

(3.11)
$$I_{1} \leq \frac{4}{\alpha^{2}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} g \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \|g\|_{\dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \alpha^{2-p} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p} = \frac{1}{\alpha^{p}} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p}$$

Next, we estimate the term I₂. Let $\{Q_j\}_{j \in J} \subset Q$ be the same as in Lemma 3.1. From the fact that supp $(b_j) \subset Q_j$ for any $j \in J$, Lemma 3.1(v), and Hölder's inequality, we deduce that, for any $j \in J$,

$$||b_j||_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \alpha |Q_j|^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.1(vi), we conclude that $\sup_{j \in J} \ell(Q_j) \leq \alpha^{-p/n} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p/n} < \infty$, which, together with Lemma 3.1(vi) again, further implies that

$$\sum_{j\in J} |Q_j|^{1+\frac{p}{n}} < \infty.$$

From this, Lemma 3.1(ii), and (3.12), it follows that

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J} |b_j|\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \lesssim \sum_{j\in J} \left\||b_j|\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \lesssim \alpha^p \sum_{j\in J} |Q_j|^{1+\frac{p}{n}} < \infty.$$

Thus, $b = \sum_{j \in J} b_j \in L^p(\Omega)$ and for any subset \widetilde{J} of J, $\sum_{i \in \widetilde{J}} b_j$ converges in $L^p(\Omega)$.

From the equality

$$\mathcal{L}_N^{1/2}b = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b \, dt$$

(see, for instance, [27, (8.2)]), we deduce that

(3.13)
$$\left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} b(x) \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right|$$
$$= \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{N} e^{-t^{2} \mathcal{L}_{N}} b(x) dt \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right|$$
$$\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{N} e^{-t^{2} \mathcal{L}_{N}} b(x) dt \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right|.$$

For any $j \in J$, denote the side length of Q_j by ℓ_j and write $r_j := 2^{\ell}$ for the unique $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2^{\ell} \leq \ell_j < 2^{\ell+1}$. This is, $r_j \leq \ell_j < 2r_j$. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we split the integral in the right-hand side of (3.13) into

$$(3.14) \qquad \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{N} e^{-t^{2} \mathcal{L}_{N}} b(x) dt \right| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\} \right| \\ \leq \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_{j} \vee 2^{-k}} \mathcal{L}_{N} e^{-t^{2} \mathcal{L}_{N}} b_{j}(x) dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \alpha}{8} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{r_{j} \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{N} e^{-t^{2} \mathcal{L}_{N}} b_{j}(x) dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \alpha}{8} \right\} \right|,$$

where $r_j \bigvee 2^{-k} := \max\{r_j, 2^{-k}\}$. Now, we estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (3.14). Without loss of generality, we may assume $r_j > 2^{-k}$. It is easy to find that

(3.15)
$$\left\| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{8} \right\} \right\|$$
$$\leq \left| \bigcup_{j \in J} 4Q_j \right| + \frac{64}{\pi \alpha^2} \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus (\bigcup_{j \in J} 4Q_j)} \sum_{j \in J} \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j dt \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

By Lemma 3.1(vi), we conclude that

(3.16)
$$\left| \bigcup_{j \in J} 4Q_j \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p.$$

Furthermore, for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $||v||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v(x) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus (\bigcup_{j \in J} 4Q_j)}(x) \left[\sum_{j \in J} \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right] dx \right|$$

Some inequalities related to Riesz transform on exterior Lipschitz domains

$$\leq \sum_{j\in J} \int_{\Omega\setminus(4Q_j)} |v(x)| \left| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| \, dx.$$

For any fixed $j \in J$, splitting $\Omega \setminus (4Q_j)$ into the annuli $\{S_m(Q_j) \cap \Omega\}_{m=2}^{\infty}$ and using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we find that

(3.17)
$$\int_{\Omega \setminus 4Q_j} |v(x)| \left| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) dt \right| dx$$
$$\leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} ||v||_{L^2(S_m(Q_j) \cap \Omega)} \left\| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j dt \right\|_{L^2(S_m(Q_j) \cap \Omega)}$$

Identifying *v* with its zero extension to \mathbb{R}^n , we conclude that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \ge 2$ and for any $y \in Q_j$,

(3.18)
$$||v||^2_{L^2(S_m(Q_j)\cap\Omega)} \le 2^{nm} \ell^n_j \mathcal{M}(|v|^2)(y),$$

where \mathcal{M} denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, from (3.12), it follows that $||b_j||_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \alpha \ell_j^{n/p+1}$, which, combined with Lemma 3.2, further implies that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \ge 2$,

$$(3.19) \qquad \left\| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j \, dt \right\|_{L^2(S_m(Q_j) \cap \Omega)} \leq \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \left\| \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j \right\|_{L^2(S_m(Q_j) \cap \Omega)} dt$$
$$\leq \alpha \ell_j^{\frac{n}{p}+1} \int_0^{r_j} t^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}-2} e^{-c4^{m-1}r_j^2/t^2} \, dt$$
$$\sim \alpha \ell_j^{\frac{n}{p}+1} (4^m r_j^2)^{\frac{n}{4}-\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{4^m}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{cs}{4}} \, ds$$

Let $\gamma := -\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{p} + 1$. Using (3.19) and $2r_j \ge \ell_j$, we obtain that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \ge 2$,

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j \, dt \right\|_{L^2(S_m(Q_j) \cap \Omega)} &\lesssim \alpha \ell_j^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-(m-1)\gamma} \int_{4^{-m}}^{\infty} s^{\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{cs}{4}} \, ds \\ &\lesssim \alpha \ell_j^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-(m-1)\gamma} e^{-c4^{m-3}} \int_0^{\infty} s^{\frac{\gamma}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{cs}{4}} \, ds, \end{split}$$

which, together with both (3.17) and (3.18), further implies that, for any $y \in Q_j$,

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus (4Q_j)} |v(x)| \left| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| \, dx \lesssim \alpha \ell_j^n \left[\mathcal{M} \left(|v|^2 \right)(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n}{2} - \gamma)m} e^{-c4^{m-3}}$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus(4Q_j)} |v(x)| \left| \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| \, dx \lesssim \alpha \, \int_{Q_j} \left[\mathcal{M}\left(|v|^2 \right)(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dy.$$

By this and Lemma 3.1(ii), we conclude that

(3.20)
$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v(x) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus (\bigcup_{j \in J} 4Q_j)}(x) \left[\sum_{j \in J} \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) dt \right] dx \right|$$

Renjin Jiang and Sibei Yang

$$\lesssim \alpha \int_{\bigcup_{j\in J} Q_j} \left[\mathcal{M}(|v|^2)(y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} dy.$$

Furthermore, from the Kolmogorov inequality (see, for instance, [14, Exercise 2.1.5]), Lemma 3.1(iv), and $||v||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\bigcup_{j\in J}Q_j} \left[\mathcal{M}\left(|v|^2\right)(y)\right]^{1/2} dy \lesssim \left|\bigcup_{j\in J}Q_j\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{p}{2}} \|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

which, combined with (3.20), further implies that

$$\left\|\mathbf{1}_{\Omega\setminus(\bigcup_{j\in J}4Q_j)}\sum_{j\in J}\int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j}\mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2\mathcal{L}_N}b_j\,dt\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{p}{2}}\|f\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

By this, (3.14), and (3.15), we find that

(3.21)
$$\left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{2^{-k}}^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \alpha}{8} \right\} \right| \lesssim \alpha^{2-p} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$$

Finally, we estimate the second term on the right-hand side of (3.14). For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re z > 0$, define

$$f(z) := \int_1^\infty z e^{-t^2 z} \, dt.$$

Then, for any angle $\psi \in (0, \pi/2)$, $f \in H_0^{\infty}(S_{\psi}^+)$, where $S_{\psi}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| < \psi\}$ and $H_0^{\infty}(S_{\psi}^+)$ denotes the set of all holomorphic functions g satisfying $|g(z)| \le C \min\{|z|^s, |z|^{-s}\}$ for any $z \in S_{\psi}^+$ and for some constants $C, s \in (0, \infty)$. From the functional calculus of \mathcal{L}_N , it follows that, for any $r \in (0, \infty)$, the operator $f(r^2 \mathcal{L}_N)$ is bounded on both $L^2(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$. Meanwhile, by the functional calculus of \mathcal{L}_N again and the Fubini theorem, we conclude that

$$f(r^{2}\mathcal{L}_{N}) = \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{2}\mathcal{L}_{N}e^{-t^{2}r^{2}\mathcal{L}_{N}} dt = r \int_{r}^{\infty}\mathcal{L}_{N}e^{-t^{2}\mathcal{L}_{N}} dt.$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $J_m := \{j \in J : r_j \lor 2^{-k} = 2^m\}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{j\in J} \int_{r_j \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j dt = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j\in J_m} \int_{2^m}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j dt$$
$$= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j\in J_m} \frac{1}{2^m} f\left(4^m \mathcal{L}_N\right) b_j,$$

which further implies that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{r_j \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{8} \right\} \right|$$

20

Some inequalities related to Riesz transform on exterior Lipschitz domains

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_m} \frac{1}{2^m} f(4^m \mathcal{L}_N) b_j \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p$$

From this and the fact that $\sum_{j \in J_m} b_j$ converges in $L^p(\Omega)$, we infer that

(3.22)
$$\left\| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{r_j \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) dt \right\| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{8} \right\} \right\|$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(4^m \mathcal{L}_N) \left(\sum_{j \in J_m} 2^{-m} b_j \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p.$$

Moreover, by [12, Lemma 8.2], we find that, for any function sequence $\{u_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset L^2(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$,

$$\left\|\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}f(4^{m}\mathcal{L}_{N})u_{m}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \left\|\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|u_{m}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)},$$

which, combined with (3.22) and p/2 < 1, further implies that

$$(3.23) \qquad \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{r_j \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{8} \right\} \right|$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \left\| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{j \in J_m} 2^{-m} b_j \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{j \in J_m} 2^{-m} b_j(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \, dx$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{j \in J_m} |2^{-m} b_j(x)| \right)^p \, dx.$$

Furthermore, from Lemma 3.1(ii), it follows that, for fixed $x \in \Omega$, the sum for *j* contains at most 12^n non-zero terms, which, together with (3.23), further implies that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{r_j \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{8} \right\} \right|$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in J_m} 2^{-mp} |b_j(x)|^p \, dx \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j^{-p} \int_{\Omega} |b_j(x)|^p \, dx.$$

By this, (3.12), and both (v) and (vi) of Lemma 3.1, we conclude that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \Omega : \left| \sum_{j \in J} \int_{r_j \vee 2^{-k}}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_N e^{-t^2 \mathcal{L}_N} b_j(x) \, dt \right| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{8} \right\} \right|$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j \in J} \ell_j^{-p} |Q_j|^{\frac{p}{n}+1} \sim \sum_{j \in J} |Q_j| \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^p} ||f||_{\dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p,$$

which, combined with (3.10), (3.11), (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), and (3.21), further implies that (3.9) holds. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. It follows from Theorem 1.5 that for any given $p \in (1, \min\{p_{\mathcal{L}}, 3 + \varepsilon\})$ when $n \ge 3$ or $p \in (1, \min\{p_{\mathcal{L}}, 4 + \varepsilon\})$ when n = 2, it holds for any $f \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ that

(3.24)
$$C^{-1} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le \left\|\mathcal{L}_{N}^{1/2} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)},$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, and the Lipschitz constant of Ω .

If $A \in \text{VMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (GD) or $A \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then Lemma 2.12 shows that $p(\mathcal{L}) = \infty$. If $\partial \Omega \in C^1$, then Theorem 1.2 shows that $\epsilon = \infty$. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements. The second author would like to thank Professor Zhongwei Shen for some helpful discussions on the topic of this paper.

References

- C. Amrouche, V. Girault and J. Giroire, Dirichlet and Neumann exterior problems for the n-dimensional Laplace operator: an approach in weighted Sobolev spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 76 (1997), 55–81. [5, 9, 13]
- [2] P. Auscher, On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^p-estimates of Riesz transforms associated to elliptic operators on ℝⁿ and related estimates, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (2007), no. 871, xviii+75 pp. [2]
- [3] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X. T. Duong and S. Hofmann, Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 37 (2004), 911–957. [2]
- [4] P. Auscher and Ph. Tchamitchian, Square roots of elliptic second order divergence operators on strongly Lipschitz domains: L^p theory, Math. Ann. 320 (2001), 577–623. [2, 6]
- [5] H. Brezis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011. [7]
- [6] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), 259–275. [7]
- [7] S.-K. Chua, Extension theorems on weighted Sobolev spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 41 (1992), 1027–1076. [14]
- [8] P. G. Ciarlet, Linear and Nonlinear Functional Analysis with Applications, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 2013. [10]
- [9] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569–645. [3]
- [10] T. Coulhon and X. T. Duong, Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 1151–1169. [2]
- [11] T. Coulhon, R. Jiang, P. Koskela and A. Sikora, Gradient estimates for heat kernels and harmonic functions, J. Funct. Anal. 278 (2020), Paper No. 108398, 67 pp. [2]
- [12] M. Egert, L^p-estimates for the square root of elliptic systems with mixed boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations 265 (2018), 1279–1323. [14, 17, 21]
- [13] J. Geng, W^{1,p} estimates for elliptic problems with Neumann boundary conditions in Lipschitz domains, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 2427–2448. [2]
- [14] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis, third edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 249, Springer, New York, 2014. [14, 20]

- [15] P. Gyrya and L. Saloff-Coste, Neumann and Dirichlet Heat Kernels in Inner Uniform Domains, Astérisque (2011), no. 336, viii+144 pp. [16]
- [16] A. Hassell and A. Sikora, Riesz transforms in one dimension, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2009), 823–852. [2, 3, 4]
- [17] D. Herron and P. Koskela, Uniform, Sobolev extension and quasiconformal circle domains, J. Anal. Math. 57 (1991), 172–202. [14]
- [18] T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone, Riesz transforms and elliptic PDEs with VMO coefficients, J. Anal. Math.74 (1998), 183–212. [4, 6, 11]
- [19] R. Jiang, Riesz transform via heat kernel and harmonic functions on non-compact manifolds, Adv. Math. 377 (2021), Paper No. 107464, 50 pp. [2]
- [20] R. Jiang and F. Lin, Riesz transform on exterior Lipschitz domains, arXiv: 2203.00835. [1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 11]
- [21] R. Jiang and F. Lin, Riesz transform under perturbations via heat kernel regularity, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 133 (2020), 39–65. [2, 4, 11]
- [22] D. Jerison and C. Kenig, The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains, J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), 161–219. [4]
- [23] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961), 415–426. [3]
- [24] P. W. Jones, Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces, Acta Math. 147 (1981), 71–88. [14]
- [25] R. Killip, M. Visan and X. Zhang, Riesz transforms outside a convex obstacle, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2016), 5875–5921. [2, 3, 4]
- [26] J. Nečas, Direct Methods in the Theory of Elliptic Equations, Translated from the 1967 French original by Gerard Tronel and Alois Kufner, Editorial coordination and preface by Šárka Nečasová and a contribution by Christian G. Simader, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. [7, 8, 9, 12]
- [27] E. M. Ouhabaz, Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005. [2, 18]
- [28] Z. Shen, Bounds of Riesz transforms on L^p spaces for second order elliptic operators, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55 (2005), 173–197. [2, 9, 11]
- [29] Z. Shen and J. Wallace, Uniform W^{1,p} estimates and large-scale regularity for Dirichlet problems in perforated domains, J. Funct. Anal.285 (2023), Paper No. 110118, 33 pp. [13]
- [30] A. Sikora, Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of wave equation, Math. Z. 247 (2004), 643–662. [2]
- [31] L. Song and L. Yan, Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators on weighted Hardy spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), 1466–1490. [2]
- [32] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. [3, 14]

Renjin Jiang

Academy for Multidisciplinary Studies, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, People's Republic of China

E-mail: rejiang@cnu.edu.cn(R. Jiang)

Sibei Yang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Complex Systems, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

E-mail: yangsb@lzu.edu.cn(S. Yang)