

# ON ORBIT CATEGORIES WITH DG ENHANCEMENT

LI FAN, BERNHARD KELLER AND YU QIU

**ABSTRACT.** We show that pretriangulated dg categories enjoy a universal property and deduce that the passage to an orbit quotient commutes with the dg quotient. In particular, for a triangulated category with dg enhancement and an endofunctor, there exists a unique triangulated orbit category.

As an application, we prove that for any connective, smooth and proper dg algebra  $A$ , its perfect derived category is equivalent to the generalized  $(\mathbb{X} - 1)$ -cluster category of  $A$ . This implies that the orbit  $m$ -cluster category of  $A$  is equivalent to the generalized  $m$ -cluster category of  $A$ , which implies a conjecture by Ikeda-Qiu for the case when  $A$  is a smooth proper graded gentle algebra.

*Key words:* dg orbit categories, pretriangulated hall, cluster categories, Calabi-Yau categories

## 1. INTRODUCTIONS

### 1.1. Motivations.

**Cluster categories.** Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ] and cluster phenomena have been spotted in various areas in mathematics, as well as in the realm of physics, including, algebraic/symplectic geometry, geometric topology and representation theory. The cluster category associated with a finite-dimensional hereditary algebra  $A$  over a field  $\mathbf{k}$  was introduced by Buan-Marsh-Reineke-Reiten-Todorov [BMRRT] to categorify cluster algebras. It is defined as the orbit category

$$\mathcal{C}_2(A) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)/\tau^{-1} \circ [1],$$

where  $\tau$  is the AR-translation functor and  $[1]$  is the shift functor. Although the bounded derived category  $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$  and the perfect derived category  $\text{per } A$  coincide in this case when we generalize, it makes more sense to replace  $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$  by  $\text{per } A$  in the definition as cluster mutations lift to silting mutations in  $\text{per } A$ . In general, orbit categories of triangulated categories may not be triangulated, but Keller [Ke1] gave a triangulated structure on the cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_2(A)$  and furthermore, it is 2-Calabi-Yau.

Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra of finite global dimension. Then  $\text{per } A$  admits a Serre functor  $\mathbb{S} := - \overset{L}{\otimes}_A DA$ . For any integer  $m \geq 2$ , we define  $\Sigma_m$  to be the automorphism  $\mathbb{S} \circ [-m]$  of  $\text{per } A$ . The orbit category  $\text{per } A/\Sigma_m$  has a triangulated hull

$$\mathcal{C}_m(A) := \langle A \rangle_B / \text{per } B$$

by [Ke1, Theorem 2], where  $B$  is the dg algebra  $A \oplus DA[-m-1]$ . Here  $\mathcal{C}_m(A)$  is also called the  $m$ -cluster category of  $A$  and coincides with  $\text{per } A/\Sigma_m$  when  $A$  is hereditary.

With motivations from (higher) cluster category, Amiot-Guo-Keller [Ami, Guo, Ke4] defined a generalized version of cluster category. For an integer  $N = m + 1$ , the  $N$ -Calabi-Yau completion [Ke4] of  $A$  is the derived dg tensor algebra

$$\Pi_N A = T_A(A^\vee[N-1]) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (A^\vee[N-1])^{\otimes_A^p},$$

where  $A^\vee$  is a cofibrant replacement of the dg bimodule  $\mathrm{RHom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$ , and  $A^e$  is the dg algebra  $A^{op} \otimes A$ . Let  $\mathrm{per} \Pi_N A$  be the perfect derived category and  $\mathrm{pvd} \Pi_N A$  be the perfectly valued derived category. Amiot-Guo-Keller [Ami, Guo, Ke4] defined the generalized  $(N-1)$ -cluster category as Verdier quotient

$$\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A) := \mathrm{per} \Pi_N A / \mathrm{pvd} \Pi_N A.$$

In the case when  $\mathrm{gldim} A \leq N$ , if

$$H^p(\Pi_N A) = 0 \text{ for } p > 0 \text{ and } \dim H^0(\Pi_N A) < \infty,$$

then the generalized  $(N-1)$ -cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$  is Hom-finite and there is a triangulated equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A) \simeq \mathcal{C}_{N-1}(A).$$

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$  is  $(N-1)$ -Calabi-Yau.

We aim to give a general construction of (pre)triangulated hull of dg orbit categories which can be applied to define the orbit  $m$ -cluster category of a dg algebra  $A$  which is connective, proper and smooth. In particular,  $\mathrm{per} A$  has a dg enhancement  $\mathcal{A}$  whose objects are the perfect cofibrant dg  $A$ -modules. We would like to study the orbit categories for dg categories.

**$\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau completion as a  $q$ -deformation.** The  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau categories of type  $A_n$  was introduced in [KS], motivated by the study of Fukaya categories, mirror symmetry and knot/link invariants. More recently, Ikeda-Qiu [IQ1, IQ2] used  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau categories to introduce a  $q$ -deformation of Bridgeland's space of stability conditions. For a smooth, proper and graded gentle algebra  $A$ , Ikeda-Qiu [IQ1] defined its  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau completion as the differential bigraded tensor algebra

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A = T_A(A^\vee[\mathbb{X}-1]) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (A^\vee[\mathbb{X}-1])^{\otimes_A^p},$$

where  $[\mathbb{X}-1]$  indicates the shift by bidegree  $(-1, 1)$ , and the generalized  $(\mathbb{X}-1)$ -cluster category as the Verdier quotient

$$\mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) := \mathrm{per}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) / \mathrm{pvd}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A),$$

where  $\mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  is the perfect derived category and  $\mathrm{pvd} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  is the perfectly valued derived category. They realized the perfect derived category as the generalized  $(\mathbb{X}-1)$ -cluster category, that is, via a triangle equivalence

$$\mathrm{per} A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A). \tag{1.1}$$

The projection  $\pi_N : \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow \Pi_N A$  naturally induces a collapsing functor in the perfect derived categories which can be restricted to the perfectly valued derived categories. Moreover, the Serre functor  $(\mathbb{X}-1)$  of  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$  induced from  $\mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  becomes the

Serre functor  $\tau^{-1} \circ [1]$  of  $\text{per } A$  under the equivalence (1.1). Ikeda-Qiu also showed the commutative diagram between short exact sequences of triangulated categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pvd } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } A \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow /[\mathbb{X}-N] & & \downarrow /[\mathbb{X}-N] & & \downarrow /_{\tau^{-1} \circ [2-N]} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pvd } \Pi_N A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } \Pi_N A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{N-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

in the hereditary case [IQ1] and conjecture it also holds in the case when  $A$  is a smooth, proper and connective graded gentle algebra [IQ2].

## 1.2. The construction and applications.

**Our construction.** For a dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  with a bimodule  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ , we first define the left lax quotient  $\mathcal{A}/_u F^{\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  (Definition 3.5) and show the universal property that it satisfies (Proposition 3.8). We then define the dg orbit category  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}$  as the dg localization of  $\mathcal{A}/_u F^{\mathbb{N}}$  (Definition 3.11). Moreover, if there is a pretriangulated structure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the dg orbit category  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}$  may not be pretriangulated. A natural way is to take its pretriangulated hull  $\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})$ , which is unique in the homotopy category (Theorem 3.17). Therefore, we can naturally define a triangulated orbit category associated to some triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  with a dg enhancement as follows (see Definition 3.19).

**Theorem/Definition 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category endowed with a dg enhancement  $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}$  and  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be a dg bimodule. If the induced functor  $H^0(F) : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A})$  is an equivalence, then there is a well-defined triangulated orbit category of  $\mathcal{T}$  in a canonical way, which is defined as the category  $H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}))$ .*

The dg orbit category we defined is functorial in a natural way. Moreover, taking dg quotients commutes with taking dg orbit categories (Corollary 3.18).

**Applications.** For a connective, smooth and proper dg algebra  $A$  and an integer  $m \geq 2$ , since the perfect derived category  $\text{per } A$  has a canonical dg enhancement  $\mathcal{A}$ , we can define its orbit  $m$ -cluster category from our construction of dg orbit category (see Definition 4.1).

**Definition 1.2.** The orbit  $m$ -cluster category associated to  $A$  is defined as the triangulated orbit category  $H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{S}_m^{\mathbb{Z}}))$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  is the dg replacement of  $\Sigma_m$ .

In particular, when  $A$  is hereditary, it coincides with the cluster category in the sense of [BMRRT]. When  $\text{gldim } A \leq m$ , it is triangulated equivalent to the generalized  $m$ -cluster category.

We use our results on the (pre)triangulated hull of dg orbit categories to generalize Ikeda-Qiu's results (1.1) and (1.2) to the case when  $A$  is a connective, smooth and proper dg algebra (see Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.6).

**Theorem 1.3.** *We have that (1.1) and (1.2) hold. In particular, the generalized  $(\mathbb{X}-1)$ -cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$  is Hom-finite and  $(\mathbb{X}-1)$ -Calabi-Yau.*

**1.3. Contents.** The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic concepts about dg categories. In Section 3, we give the construction of the dg orbit category explicitly and show the universal property that it satisfies (Theorem 3.17). In Section 4, we give some applications of dg orbit categories, including the cluster categories for general dg algebras in Definition 4.1 and the exactness of the passage to dg orbit categories (Theorem 4.6).

**1.4. Notations and Terminology.** We fix an algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{k}$ . All categories will be  $\mathbf{k}$ -categories. The composition of morphisms is from right to left, that is, the composition of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ . If  $\phi : K \rightarrow L$  is a morphism of complexes, we define its *homotopy kernel* to be  $\Sigma^{-1} \text{Cone}(\phi)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set in  $\mathcal{T}$ . We denote by  $\text{thick}(\mathcal{P})$  the smallest full triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathcal{P}$  and closed under direct summands.

For a dg algebra  $A$  over  $\mathbf{k}$ , we say  $A$  is *proper* if  $A$  is perfect as a dg module over  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $A$  is *smooth* if  $A$  is perfect as a dg module over  $A^e = A^{op} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A$ , and that  $A$  is *connective* if  $H^i(A) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ .

**Acknowledgment.** This article is part of Fl's Ph. D. thesis under the supervision of Qy. Fl is grateful to Bernhard Keller for his guidance and patience. Qy is supported by National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2020YFA0713000) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12031007 and 12271279).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Differential graded categories.** A  $\mathbf{k}$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of the following data:

- a class of objects  $\text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,
- a  $\mathbf{k}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ ,
- and compositions which are  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear associative maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}(X, Y) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \\ g \otimes f &\mapsto g \circ f \end{aligned}$$

admitting units  $\text{id}_X \in \mathcal{A}(X, X)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A *differential graded category* (dg category for short) is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -category  $\mathcal{A}$  whose morphism spaces are complexes of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules and whose compositions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(Y, Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}(X, Y) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X, Z) \\ g \otimes f &\mapsto g \circ f \end{aligned}$$

are chain maps, namely they satisfy the graded Leibniz rule

$$d(g \circ f) = d(g) \circ f + (-1)^{|g|} g \circ d(f) \tag{2.1}$$

for all homogeneous morphisms  $f$  and  $g$ .

From the definition, we have that for each  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , the identity  $\text{id}_X$  is a closed morphism of degree 0. The *opposite dg category*  $\mathcal{A}^{op}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the dg category with the same objects as  $\mathcal{A}$ . The morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}^{op}$  are defined as  $\mathcal{A}^{op}(X, Y) := \mathcal{A}(Y, X)$  and the composition of any homogeneous morphisms  $f$  and  $g$  is defined as  $g \circ_{op} f := (-1)^{|f| \cdot |g|} f \circ g$ . The *underlying category*  $Z^0(\mathcal{A})$  has the same objects as  $\mathcal{A}$  and morphisms which are defined by

$$(Z^0\mathcal{A})(X, Y) := Z^0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)),$$

where  $Z^0$  is the 0th cocycle space of the complex  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ . The *homotopy category*  $H^0(\mathcal{A})$  has the same objects as  $\mathcal{A}$  and morphisms defined by

$$(H^0\mathcal{A})(X, Y) := H^0(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)),$$

where  $H^0$  is the 0th homology of  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ .

**Example 2.2.** We have the dg category  $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathbf{k})$  whose objects are all complexes

$$V = \left( \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^n, d_V \right)$$

of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules and whose morphism complexes  $\mathcal{H}om(V, W)$  have their  $p$ th component given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om(V, W)^p &= \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(V^n, W^{n+p}) \\ &= \{f: V \rightarrow W \mid f \text{ is homogeneous of degree } p\}. \end{aligned}$$

The differential is given by

$$d(f) = d_W \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_V. \quad (2.2)$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two dg categories. A *dg functor*  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear functor such that for any  $X, Y \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ , the morphisms

$$F(X, Y): \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(FX, FY)$$

are chain maps.

For a dg functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , we define  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear functors

$$Z^0(F): Z^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow Z^0(\mathcal{B}) \text{ and } H^0(F): H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$$

as follows. We define  $Z^0(F)(X) := F(X)$  and  $Z^0(F)(X, Y) := Z^0F(X, Y)$ , where

$$Z^0F(X, Y): Z^0\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow Z^0\mathcal{B}(FX, FY)$$

is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear map for any objects  $X, Y \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ . Analogously, We define

$$H^0(F)(X) := F(X) \text{ and } H^0(F)(X, Y) := H^0F(X, Y),$$

where we have

$$H^0F(X, Y): H^0\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow H^0\mathcal{B}(FX, FY).$$

Let  $F, G: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be two dg functors. The *morphism complex*  $\mathcal{H}om(F, G)$  has  $p$ th component given by data  $\eta = (\eta_X)_{X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})}$  of morphisms  $\eta_X: F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$  of degree  $p$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying

$$G(f) \circ \eta_X = (-1)^{p \cdot |f|} \eta_Y \circ F(f) \quad (2.3)$$

for all homogenous  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . The differential is given by

$$(d\eta)_X = d_{\mathcal{B}}(\eta_X). \quad (2.4)$$

Thus we have a dg category  $\text{Fun}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , which is called *functor dg category*, with objects as dg functors from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  and morphisms as above.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two dg categories. A *quasi-equivalence* is a dg functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that

- $F(X, Y): \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(FX, FY)$  is a quasi-isomorphism for any objects  $X, Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and
- the induced functor  $H^0(F): H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$  is essentially surjective.

We say that  $F$  is *quasi-fully faithful* if it satisfies the former condition, and *quasi-essentially surjective* if it satisfies the latter one.

The *category of small dg categories*  $\text{dgc}at_{\mathbf{k}}$  has all small dg categories as objects and dg functors as morphisms. It has a monoidal structure given by the tensor product of categories: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two dg categories. The dg category  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  has objects given by  $\text{obj}(\mathcal{A}) \times \text{obj}(\mathcal{B})$  and morphism spaces given by

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})((X, X'), (Y, Y')) := \mathcal{A}(X, X') \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y, Y')$$

for objects  $X, Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X', Y'$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *The pair  $(\text{dgc}at_{\mathbf{k}}, \otimes)$  is a closed symmetric monoidal category with internal Hom given by*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \text{Fun}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).$$

By [Tab], there is a cofibrantly generated model structure on  $\text{dgc}at_{\mathbf{k}}$  whose weak equivalences are the quasi-equivalences. We denote the corresponding homotopy category by

$$\text{Hqe} := \text{Ho}(\text{dgc}at_{\mathbf{k}}).$$

**2.2. Dg functors as dg modules.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a small dg category. A *right dg  $\mathcal{A}$ -module* is a dg functor

$$\mathcal{A}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathbf{k}).$$

We denote the dg category of right dg  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules by  $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A})$ . In other words, it is the functor dg category

$$\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}) := \text{Fun}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathbf{k})).$$

The Yoneda embedding of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the functor

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}),$$

which is defined on objects by  $X \mapsto X^\wedge := \mathcal{A}(?, X)$ . We have that the *category of dg modules*  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$  is  $Z^0(\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}))$ .

The *derived category*  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is the localization of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$  with respect to the class of quasi-isomorphisms. The *perfect derived category*  $\text{per } \mathcal{A}$  is the full subcategory of compact objects of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ . The *perfectly valued derived category*  $\text{pvd } \mathcal{A}$  is the full subcategory of dg modules  $M$  of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  such that the underlying complex  $M(X)$  is perfect over  $\mathbf{k}$  for each

$X$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . An important special case is the one when the dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  has a single object  $*$ . We then identify  $\mathcal{A}$  with the dg algebra  $A = \mathcal{A}(*, *)$  and write  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $\text{per } A$ ,  $\text{pvd } A$  for the corresponding derived categories.

Similarly, we can also define the bigraded case. Let  $\text{Grm}(\mathbf{k})$  be the category of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules  $M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} M_p$  with grading shift given by  $(M[\mathbb{X}])_p = M_{p+1}$ . It is a symmetric monoidal abelian  $\mathbf{k}$ -category. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k})$  be the dg category of complexes over  $\text{Grm}(\mathbf{k})$ , that is, we have  $\mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{C}_{dg}(\text{Grm}(\mathbf{k}))$  and it is a monoidal dg category. The *differential bigraded category* (dbg category for short)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a category enriched in  $\mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k})$ . Its derived category  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is the category of differential bigraded modules  $M : \mathcal{A}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dbg}(\mathbf{k})$  localized at the  $s : M \rightarrow L$  such that  $s_p X : L_p X \rightarrow M_p X$  is a quasi-isomorphism for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  is compactly generated by  $\{X^\wedge[p\mathbb{X}], p \in \mathbb{Z}, X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})\}$ . In this case, the perfect derived category  $\text{per}(\mathcal{A})$  is the thick subcategory generated by  $\{X^\wedge[p\mathbb{X}], p \in \mathbb{Z}, X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})\}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dbg category with one object  $*$ , then we can identify  $\mathcal{A}$  with the dbg algebra  $A = \mathcal{A}(*, *)$ . If  $\mathbf{k}$  is regarded as a dbg algebra with trivial grading shift and we denote it  $\mathbf{K}$ , then  $\text{per}(\mathbf{K})$  is the thick subcategory generated by  $\mathbf{K}[p\mathbb{X}]$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.

$$\text{per}(\mathbf{K}) := \{M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{K}) \mid \sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim H^{p, q}(M) < \infty\}.$$

Moreover, the perfectly valued derived category

$$\text{pvd}(\mathcal{A}) := \{M \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \mid MX \in \text{pvd}(\mathbf{K}), \forall X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

For two dg categories  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ , a *dg  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule* is a right  $\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module. We denote by  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  the full subcategory of the dg derived category  $\mathcal{D}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B})$  whose objects are the cofibrant dg  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodules  $X$  which are *right quasi-representable*, i.e. the  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $X(? , A)$  is quasi-isomorphic to a representable dg  $\mathcal{B}$ -module for each object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The details of dg derived categories can be found in [Ke2, Section 4.4]. We also set  $\text{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) := H^0(\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}))$ . The composition

$$\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) \times \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$$

is given by the tensor product  $(Y, X) \mapsto YX := X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} Y$ . Notice that this coincides with the derived tensor product since we work over a field. Each  $X \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  yields a derived functor

$$? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} X : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}),$$

and with the above definition, the derived functor associated with  $X \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} Y$  is the composition of the functors

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} X} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{? \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{B}} Y} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}).$$

We have a canonical dg functor

$$\text{Fun}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \tag{2.5}$$

taking a dg functor  $F$  to  $X_F : A \mapsto \mathcal{D}(?, FA)$ . By [Toe], we have a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes in  $H^0(\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}))$  and the set of morphisms  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\text{Hqe}$ .

**2.3. Dg localizations, dg quotients and pretriangulated dg categories.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dg category and  $S$  a set of morphisms of  $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ . We say that a morphism  $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\text{Hqe}$  makes  $S$  *invertible* if the induced functor  $H^0(G) : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$  takes each  $s \in S$  to an isomorphism.

**Theorem/Definition 2.6** ([Toe, Section 8.2]). *There is a universal morphism  $Q_{loc} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]$  in  $\text{Hqe}$  which makes  $S$  invertible, i.e. for any morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of  $\text{Hqe}$  which makes  $S$  invertible, there exists a unique morphism  $\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & & \\ \downarrow Q_{loc} & \searrow G & \\ \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}] & \dashrightarrow_{\exists! \overline{G}} & \mathcal{B} \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

*commutes. More precisely, the morphism  $Q_{loc}$  induces an isomorphism*

$$Q_{loc} : \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}], \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})_S \quad (2.7)$$

*in  $\text{Hqe}$ , where  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})_S$  is the full subcategory of  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  with objects as dg bimodules that make  $S$  invertible. We call  $\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]$  the dg localization of  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $S$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a full dg subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that a morphism  $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of  $\text{Hqe}$  *annihilates*  $\mathcal{N}$  if the induced functor  $H^0(G) : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$  takes all objects of  $\mathcal{N}$  to zero objects.

**Theorem/Definition 2.7** ([Dri, Ke2]). *There is a universal morphism  $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}$  in  $\text{Hqe}$  which annihilates  $\mathcal{N}$ , i.e. for any morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of  $\text{Hqe}$  which annihilates  $\mathcal{N}$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & & \\ \downarrow p & \searrow G & \\ \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N} & \dashrightarrow_{\exists! \overline{G}} & \mathcal{B} \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

*commutes. More precisely, the morphism  $p$  induces an isomorphism*

$$p : \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{N}} \quad (2.9)$$

*in  $\text{Hqe}$ , where  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{N}}$  is the full subcategory of  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  with objects as dg modules that annihilate  $\mathcal{N}$ . We call  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}$  the dg quotient of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

The small dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *pretriangulated* if the image of the Yoneda functor

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}))$$

is stable under shifts in both directions and extensions. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *strictly pretriangulated* if the image of the Yoneda functor

$$Z^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow Z^0(\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}))$$

is stable under shifts in both directions in  $Z^0(\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}))$  and under graded split extensions. In this case  $Z^0(\mathcal{A})$  is a Frobenius category when endowed with the graded split short exact sequences and  $H^0(\mathcal{A})$  is a triangulated category.

**Theorem/Definition 2.8** ([Ke2, Section 4.5]). *There is a canonical morphism in  $\text{Hqe}$*

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A})$  is pretriangulated such that for any pretriangulated dg category  $\mathcal{B}$  and any morphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of  $\text{Hqe}$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  which makes the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow G & \\ \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\exists! \bar{G}} & \mathcal{B} \end{array} \quad (2.11)$$

commutative. We call  $\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A})$  the pretriangulated hull of  $\mathcal{A}$  and define the triangulated hull of  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}))$ .

The functor  $\text{pretr}$  preserves quasi-equivalences [Dri] and is left adjoint to the inclusion of the full subcategory of pretriangulated dg categories into the homotopy category  $\text{Hqe}$ .

### 3. THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ORBIT CATEGORIES

**3.1. The left-lax-equivariant category and quotient.** Let  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  be dg categories and  $F_1 \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2), F_2 \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$  be two dg bimodules. We define a dg category associated to these data as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** We define the dg category  $\text{Pairs}(F_1, F_2)$  as follows:

- objects are pairs  $(G_1, G_2, \gamma)$ , where  $G_i$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_i$ - $\mathcal{B}_i$ -bimodule in  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- morphisms are morphisms  $\gamma : G_2 F_1 \rightarrow F_2 G_1$  between dg bimodules (which are not required to be isomorphisms); and
- the complex of morphisms from  $(G_1, G_2, \gamma)$  to  $(G'_1, G'_2, \gamma')$  is defined as the homotopy kernel of the morphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1)}^\bullet(G_1, G'_1) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_2)}^\bullet(G_2, G'_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)}^\bullet(G_2 F_1, F_2 G'_1) \quad (3.1)$$

sending  $(b_1, b_2)$  to  $\gamma' \circ b_2 F_1 - F_2 b_1 \circ \gamma$ .

Concretely, a morphism of degree  $n$  from  $(G_1, G_2, \gamma)$  to  $(G'_1, G'_2, \gamma')$  is given by a pair  $(b_1, b_2, h)$ , where  $b_i \in \text{Hom}^n(G_i, G'_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $h \in \text{Hom}^{n-1}(G_2 F_1, F_2 G'_1)$  with differential given by

$$d(b_1, b_2, h) = (db_1, db_2, dh - (-1)^{|b_1|} F_2 b_1 \circ \gamma + (-1)^{|b_2|} \gamma' \circ b_2 F_1). \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G_2 F_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & F_2 G_1 \\
b_2 F_1 \downarrow & \dashrightarrow h & \downarrow F_2 b_1 \\
G'_2 F_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & F_2 G'_1
\end{array}$$

The identity is the natural morphism  $\text{id} : G_i \rightarrow G_i$  with  $h = 0$  since the square above obviously commutes when  $b_i = \text{id}$  and  $h = 0$ . The composition of  $(b_1, b_2, h) : (G_1, G_2, \gamma) \rightarrow (G'_1, G'_2, \gamma')$  with  $(b'_1, b'_2, h') : (G'_1, G'_2, \gamma') \rightarrow (G''_1, G''_2, \gamma'')$  is given by

$$(b'_1, b'_2, h') \circ (b_1, b_2, h) = (b'_1 b_1, b'_2 b_2, F_2 b'_1 \circ h + (-1)^{|b_2|} h' \circ b_2 F_1). \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G_2 F_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & F_2 G_1 \\
\downarrow b_2 F_1 & \dashrightarrow h & \downarrow F_2 b_1 \\
G'_2 F_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & F_2 G'_1 \\
\downarrow b'_2 F_1 & \dashrightarrow h' & \downarrow F_2 b'_1 \\
G''_2 F_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma''} & F_2 G''_1
\end{array}$$

$(b'_2 \circ b_2) F_1$  on the left and  $F_2(b'_1 \circ b_1)$  on the right of the middle square.

**Remark 3.2.** The category we defined above is a dg category. Firstly, by the definition in (3.2), the map  $d$  is a differential. Moreover, one easily checks that the graded Leibniz rule holds.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dg category and  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  a dg bimodule.

**Definition 3.3.** For a dg category  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\mathbb{N}$ -left-lax-equivariant category  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lIEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ -left-lax-equivariant functors from  $(\mathcal{A}, F)$  to  $(\mathcal{B}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$  is defined to be the dg category  $\text{Pairs}(F, \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Concretely, objects in  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lIEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  are pairs  $(G, \gamma)$ , where  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule in  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\gamma : GF \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of dg bimodules. Notice that we do not require  $\gamma$  to be an isomorphism.

For two dg categories  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$ , a bimodule  $H \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  induces a functor from  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lIEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  to  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lIEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}')$ , which sends  $(G, \gamma)$  to  $(HG, H\gamma)$ .

Next we define  $\mathcal{A}/_u F^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the functor  $Q_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/_u F^{\mathbb{N}}$  and the morphism of functors  $q : Q_{\mathbb{N}} F \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}}$  as follows.

**Definition 3.5.** The dg category  $\mathcal{A}/_u F^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which is called the *left lax quotient of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $F$* , is the dg category whose

- objects are the same as the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and
- morphisms are given by  $\mathcal{A}/_u F^{\mathbb{N}}(X, Y) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(X, F^p Y)$ .

The identities and compositions are the natural ones:

- identities:  $\text{id}_X \in \mathcal{A}(X, X) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(X, F^p X)$ ;
- compositions: given  $f \in \mathcal{A}(X, F^p Y)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}(Y, F^q Z)$ , their composition is  $g \diamond f = (F^p g) \circ f$ .

**Remark 3.6.** Let us check that  $\mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}}$  is indeed a dg category. The graded Leibniz rule

$$d(g \diamond f) = d(g) \diamond f + (-1)^{|g|} g \diamond d(f) \quad (3.4)$$

holds in  $\mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}}$  because it holds in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $F$  is a dg functor. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g \diamond f) &= d((F^p g) \circ f) = d(F^p g) \circ f + (-1)^{|F^p g|} (F^p g) \circ d(f) \\ &= (F^p d(g)) \circ f + (-1)^{|g|} (F^p g) \circ d(f) \\ &= d(g) \diamond f + (-1)^{|g|} g \diamond d(f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

**Remark 3.7.** For a dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  and a cofibrant dg  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $F$ , the dg category  $\mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}}$  is isomorphic to the tensor category  $T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$  defined by

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) = \mathcal{A} \oplus F \oplus (F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F) \oplus \cdots \oplus F^{\otimes_{\mathcal{A}} n} \oplus \cdots.$$

Indeed, we can regard  $\mathcal{A}$  as the identity bimodule  $(X, Y) \mapsto \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  and we have an isomorphism of bimodules

$$\mathcal{A}(X, F^p Y) = \mathcal{A}(X, F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F Y) = (F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F)(X, Y),$$

using the translation between functors and bimodules. For an  $\mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $G$ , there is an exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G & \xrightarrow{\phi} & T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & & & \searrow & & \nearrow \text{quasi-iso.} \\ & & & & & & \text{Cone}(\phi) \end{array}$$

The canonical dg functor  $Q_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}}$  acts on

- objects: it sends  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$  to  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}})$ , and
- morphisms: it sends  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to  $f \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(X, F^p Y)$ .

The canonical morphism of dg functors  $q : Q_{\mathbb{N}} F \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}}$  acts on the objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  as

$$qX := \text{id}_{FX} \in \mathcal{A}(FX, FX) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}(FX, F^{p+1} X). \quad (3.6)$$

We use the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q_{\mathbb{N}} F X : F X & & & & & & \\ & \searrow \text{id}_{FX} & & & & & \\ Q_{\mathbb{N}} X : X & & F X & & F^2 X & & F^3 X & \cdots \end{array}$$

to illustrate the definition.

For morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}/_U F^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we have the factorization property. That is, each morphism  $f : Q_{\mathbb{N}} X \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}} Y$  uniquely decomposes into a finite sum  $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

and each  $f_p$  uniquely factors as  $f_p = q^p Y \circ Q_{\mathbb{N}} g_p$  for some  $g_p : X \rightarrow F^p Y$ . Here we write  $q^p X$  to denote the composition of morphisms

$$Q_{\mathbb{N}} X \xleftarrow{q^X} Q_{\mathbb{N}} F X \xleftarrow{q^{FX}} Q_{\mathbb{N}} F^2 X \xleftarrow{q^{F^2 X}} \dots \xleftarrow{q^{F^{p-1} X}} Q_{\mathbb{N}} F^p X. \quad (3.7)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{=: q^p X}$

In the following proposition, we use the factorization property to check the universal property of the left lax quotient.

**Proposition 3.8.** *For any dg category  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{U}F^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{RN}\text{-}\mathbf{lIEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}) \\ G &\mapsto (GQ_{\mathbb{N}}, Gq). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* We prove that it is a quasi-equivalence in  $\mathrm{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{\mathbf{k}}$ , which induces an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$ . We need to check that  $\Phi$  is quasi-fully faithful and quasi-essentially surjective.

For  $G \in \mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{U}F^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})$ , we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Cone}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G) \rightarrow G \quad (3.9)$$

by Remark 3.7. The composition  $GQ_{\mathbb{N}}$  is the restriction of  $G$  from  $T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  and  $GQ_{\mathbb{N}}F$  is the restricted bimodule  $G$  composed with  $F$ . Clearly, the restriction  $GQ_{\mathbb{N}}$  is still right quasi-representable. Since  $T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$  is cofibrant as a left dg  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $G$  is cofibrant over  $T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ , the bimodule  $GQ_{\mathbb{N}}$  is cofibrant over  $\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Thus, we have  $GQ_{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

Recall that  $\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F), \mathcal{B})$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ . For any two objects  $G, G' \in \mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{U}F^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F), \mathcal{B})}^{\bullet}(G, G') \\ &\cong \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B})}(G, G') \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F)^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B})}(\mathrm{Cone}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G), G') \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F), \mathcal{B})}^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Cone}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G), G') \\ &\cong \Sigma^{-1} \mathrm{Cone}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F), \mathcal{B})}^{\bullet}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G, G')) \\ &\quad \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F), \mathcal{B})}^{\bullet}(T_{\mathcal{A}}(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G, G') \\ &\cong \Sigma^{-1} \mathrm{Cone}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})}^{\bullet}(G, G') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})}^{\bullet}(F \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} G, G')) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{RN}\text{-}\mathbf{lIEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})}(\Phi G, \Phi G'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Here, the second quasi-isomorphism follows from (3.9), the third isomorphism follows from the fact that both the cone and  $G'$  are cofibrant objects, the fourth isomorphism follows from the property of complexes when taking cones, the fifth isomorphism follows from restriction, and the last one is by Definition 3.3. Moreover, by (3.10), we also obtain that  $\Phi$  is a dg functor, i.e. compatible with the differentials in the corresponding morphism spaces.

Next, we show the essential surjectivity of  $H^0\Phi$ . Given an object  $(H, \eta) \in \mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$ , we construct the corresponding object  $G \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $GQ_{\mathbb{N}} = H$  and  $Gq = \eta$  hold on objects. For any  $Q_{\mathbb{N}}X \in \mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define the right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $G_0$  by

$$G_0(Z, Q_{\mathbb{N}}X) := H(Z, X). \quad (3.11)$$

Note that  $G_0$  is right quasi-representable because  $H$  is. Now we define a left  $\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}$ -module structure on  $G_0$ . For any morphism  $f : Q_{\mathbb{N}}X \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}}Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we define the left action of  $f$  on  $G_0$  using the decomposition  $f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_N$  of  $f$  as above. We define the left multiplication  $\lambda_f : G_0(Z, Q_{\mathbb{N}}X) \rightarrow G_0(Z, Q_{\mathbb{N}}Y)$  by

$$\lambda_f(g) = \sum_{p=0}^N \eta^p \circ \lambda_{f_p}(g), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $g \in G_0(Z, Q_{\mathbb{N}}X)$  and  $\lambda_{f_p} : H(Z, X) \rightarrow H(Z, F^pY)$  is given by the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure of  $H$ . Here we write  $\eta^p$  for the composition of morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H & \xleftarrow{\eta} & HF & \xleftarrow{\eta^F} & HF^2 & \xleftarrow{\eta^{F^2}} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{\eta^{F^{p-1}}} & HF^p. \end{array} \quad (3.13)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{=: \eta^p}$

We choose  $G$  as a cofibrant resolution of  $G_0$ . Then  $\Phi G$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $(H, \eta)$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is a quasi-equivalence of dg categories.  $\square$

Let  $S$  be a set of morphisms in  $H^0(\mathcal{A})$  stable under  $H^0(F)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]$  the dg localization of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $S$ , cf. Section 2.3, so that we have an isomorphism

$$\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}], \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})_S \quad (3.14)$$

in Hqe for each dg category  $\mathcal{B}$ . In particular, it follows that  $F$  induces a dg bimodule  $F' \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}], \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}])$  such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{A} \\ Q_{loc} \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_{loc} \\ \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{F'} & \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}] \end{array} \quad (3.15)$$

commutes in Hqe.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a dg category. We have a canonical isomorphism in Hqe*

$$\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]/uF'^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})_S, \quad (3.16)$$

where the right hand side denotes the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  whose objects are the pairs  $(G, \gamma)$  such that  $G$  makes  $S$  invertible.

*Proof.* From (3.8) in Proposition 3.8, we have the isomorphism

$$\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]/uF'^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}], F', \mathcal{B})$$

in  $\text{Hqe}$ . On the other hand, the right hand side is exactly the dg category

$$\{(G, \gamma) \in \mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}) \mid G \text{ makes } S \text{ invertible}\},$$

which is  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})_S$ .  $\square$

Let  $\overline{S}$  be the image of  $S$  under the projection functor  $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}})$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}})[\overline{S}^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]/uF^{\mathbb{N}} \quad (3.17)$$

in  $\text{Hqe}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a dg category. We have canonical isomorphism in  $\text{Hqe}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}) &\simeq \mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})_S \\ &\simeq \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})_S \\ &= \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})_{\overline{S}} \\ &= \text{rep}_{dg}((\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}})[\overline{S}^{-1}], \mathcal{B}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where the first equivalence is from Proposition 3.9, the second one is from Proposition 3.8 and the last one is by definition of dg localizations.  $\square$

### 3.2. The dg orbit category.

**Definition 3.11.** For a dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  with a bimodule  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ , the *dg orbit category*  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is defined to be the dg localization  $(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}})[q^{-1}]$  of  $\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to the morphisms  $qX : Q_{\mathbb{N}}FX \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{N}}X$  for any  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ .

We denote the localization functor by  $Q_{loc} : \mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and define the quotient functor as the composition

$$Q_{\mathbb{Z}} := Q_{loc} \circ Q_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad (3.19)$$

For each object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have a canonical quasi-isomorphism  $Q_{loc} \circ qX : Q_{\mathbb{Z}}FX \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{Z}}X$ .

**Remark 3.12.** Suppose that  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  induces an equivalence  $H^0F : H^0\mathcal{A} \rightarrow H^0\mathcal{A}$ . Then for  $X, Y \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ , the morphism space  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}(X, Y)$  is quasi-isomorphic to the direct sum of the complexes  $\mathcal{A}(X, F^pY)$  for all  $p \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}(F^{-p}X, Y)$  for all  $p < 0$ . Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})(X, Y) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (H^0\mathcal{A})(X, (H^0F)^pY).$$

**Definition 3.13.** We define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant category  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant functors from  $(\mathcal{A}, F)$  to  $(\mathcal{B}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}})$  as the full dg subcategory of  $\mathbf{RN}\text{-lEq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  whose objects are the pairs  $(G, \gamma)$ , where  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule in  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\gamma : GF \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of dg bimodules such that  $\gamma X$  is an isomorphism in  $H^0(\mathcal{B})$  for any  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a dg category. Then the dg functor  $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$  in (3.19) induces*

- an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$ :

$$\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}). \quad (3.20)$$

- a bijection from the set of morphisms  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$  to the set of isomorphism classes in  $H^0(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}))$ .

*Proof.* By combining the universal property of dg localization and Proposition 3.8, we obtain the following isomorphisms in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{rep}_{dg,q}(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}) \\ G & \mapsto & G \circ Q_{loc} & \mapsto & (GQ_{\mathbb{Z}}, GQ_{locQ}). \end{array} \quad (3.21)$$

Here,  $\mathrm{rep}_{dg,q}(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the full subcategory of  $\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{B})$  consisting of bimodules whose associated functors  $H^0(\mathcal{A}/uF^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$  send  $qX$  to an isomorphism in  $H^0(\mathcal{B})$  for any  $X \in \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ . This shows (1). Part (2) is an immediate consequence because isomorphism classes in  $\mathrm{rep}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}) = H^0(\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}))$  are in bijection with morphisms  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

Similar to (the proof of) Proposition 3.9 and Corollary 3.10, we have the following proposition and corollary.

**Proposition 3.15.** *In the setting of Proposition 3.9, we have a canonical isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$*

$$\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]/F^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})_S, \quad (3.22)$$

where the right hand side denotes the full subcategory of  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  whose objects are the pairs  $(G, \gamma)$ , where  $G$  makes  $S$  invertible.

**Corollary 3.16.** *We have a canonical isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$*

$$(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})[\overline{S}^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{A}[S^{-1}]/F^{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Next, we assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pretriangulated dg category. In general, even if  $\mathcal{A}$  is pretriangulated, the dg category  $\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is not necessarily pretriangulated. To get a pretriangulated quotient, we have to take the pretriangulated hull. For any pretriangulated dg category  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathrm{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}) \quad (3.24)$$

in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$ . By combining this with Theorem 3.14, we obtain our main theorem below.

**Theorem 3.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a pretriangulated dg category. Then the dg functor*

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})$$

*induces*

- an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{Hqe}$

$$\mathrm{rep}_{dg}(\mathrm{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}). \quad (3.25)$$

- a bijection from the set of morphisms  $\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  in  $\text{Hqe}$  to the set of isomorphism classes in  $H^0(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B}))$ . In particular, for each pair  $(G, \gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$ , there is a unique morphism  $\overline{G}$  in  $\text{Hqe}$  making the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A} & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{\forall G \in \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})} & \\
 \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{G}} & \mathcal{B}
 \end{array} \tag{3.26}$$

commutative.

**Corollary 3.18.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a full dg subcategory such that  $H^0(\mathcal{N})$  is stable under  $H^0(F)$ , so that  $F$  induces dg bimodules  $F_{\mathcal{N}} \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$  and  $F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}} \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})$ . We have a canonical isomorphism in  $\text{Hqe}$*

$$\text{pretr}((\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})/\text{pretr}(\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}). \tag{3.27}$$

*Proof.* For a pretriangulated dg category  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have canonical isomorphisms in  $\text{Hqe}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rep}_{dg}(\text{pretr}((\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B}) &\simeq \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}, F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{B}) \\
 &\simeq \mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{N}} \\
 &\simeq \text{rep}_{dg}(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{N}} \\
 &= \text{rep}_{dg}(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B})_{\text{pretr}(\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}})} \\
 &\simeq \text{rep}_{dg}(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})/\text{pretr}(\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{B}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{N}}$  denotes the full subcategory of  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$  whose objects are the pairs  $(G, \gamma)$  with  $G$  annihilating  $\mathcal{N}$ . Here, the first and third equivalences are from Theorem 3.17, the fourth equality is because that the image of  $\mathcal{N}$  under the quotient  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})$  is  $\text{pretr}(\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$  and the second and the fifth equivalences are by the construction of dg quotients.  $\square$

In particular, if the pretriangulated dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dg enhancement of some triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ , we can naturally define a triangulated orbit category associated to  $\mathcal{T}$  as follows.

**Definition 3.19.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category endowed with a dg enhancement  $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}$  and  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be a dg bimodule. If the induced functor  $H^0(F) : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{A})$  is an equivalence, then the *triangulated orbit category* of  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined as the category  $H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}))$ .

**3.3. Commutativity between orbit quotients and dg quotients.** We continue to discuss the functoriality of the orbit category. Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$  be two dg categories with dg bimodules  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  and  $F' \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}')$ . Let  $G \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$  be a dg bimodule with an isomorphism  $\gamma : GF \rightarrow F'G$  of  $\text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be another dg category and  $(H, \eta)$  be an object in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}', F', \mathcal{B})$ . Then the composition

$$HGF \xrightarrow{H\gamma} HF'G \xrightarrow{\eta G} HG \tag{3.29}$$

yields an object  $(HG, \eta G \circ H\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$ , which implies that  $G$  induces a functor from  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}', F', \mathcal{B})$  to  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Eq}(\mathcal{A}, F, \mathcal{B})$ . Thus we have an induced functor

$$\overline{G} : \mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'/F'^{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (3.30)$$

by (3.21). Therefore, we deduce a functor between pretriangulated hulls and the corresponding triangulated categories:

$$\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}'/F'^{\mathbb{Z}}) \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})) \rightarrow H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}'/F'^{\mathbb{Z}})). \quad (3.32)$$

We make the following assumptions.

**Assumption 3.20.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  a triangulated subcategory. We denote the corresponding Verdier quotient by  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose we have a dg enhancement  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  given by an equivalence  $\Phi : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}$ . Let  $F \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be a dg bimodule such that  $\Phi \circ H^0(F) \circ \Phi^{-1}$  is an equivalence  $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  and induces an equivalence  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be the full dg subcategory whose objects are those  $X \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(X)$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

With the assumptions above, we have that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a dg enhancement of  $\mathcal{S}$  and, by the main result of [Dri], the dg quotient  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}$  becomes a dg enhancement for the Verdier quotient  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$ . By the universal property of the dg quotient, the dg module  $F$  then induces a bimodule  $F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}} \in \text{rep}_{dg}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})$  such that  $H^0(F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}})$  corresponds to the auto-equivalence of  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{S}$  induced by  $\Phi \circ H^0(F) \circ \Phi^{-1}$ . We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{array}{c} \text{\scriptsize } F_{\mathcal{N}} \\ \curvearrowright \\ \mathcal{N} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{i} & \begin{array}{c} \text{\scriptsize } F \\ \curvearrowright \\ \mathcal{A} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{p} & \begin{array}{c} \text{\scriptsize } F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}} \\ \curvearrowright \\ \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N} \end{array} \end{array}$$

where  $i$  is inclusion and  $p$  the dg quotient functor. By the functoriality of the dg orbit categories, we obtain the induced sequence

$$\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

**Proposition 3.21.** *Under Assumption 3.20, we have a short exact sequence of triangulated categories*

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}/F_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{tr} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})^{tr} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{tr} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.33)$$

where the notation  $(-)^{tr}$  denotes the functor  $H^0 \circ \text{pretr}$ .

*Proof.* Since we work over a field, the conditions in [Dri, Theorem 3.4] hold naturally. We deduce from Corollary 3.18 that there are equivalences

$$\left( (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N})/F_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right)^{tr} \simeq \left( (\mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}})/(\mathcal{N}/F^{\mathbb{Z}}) \right)^{tr} \simeq \left( \mathcal{A}/F^{\mathbb{Z}} \right)^{tr} / \left( \mathcal{N}/F^{\mathbb{Z}} \right)^{tr},$$

which implies (3.33).  $\square$

## 4. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we study the applications. Let  $A$  be a connective, smooth and proper dg algebra (e.g. a finite-dimensional algebra of finite global dimension over a perfect field). We have that its perfect derived category  $\text{per } A$  and its perfectly valued (=finite-dimensional) derived category  $\text{pvd } A$  coincide.

**4.1. Higher cluster categories without heredity assumption.** A first goal is to define its  $m$ -cluster category in a canonical way from Definition 3.19, where  $m \geq 2$  is an integer. The perfect derived category  $\text{per } A$  admits a Serre functor given by the left derived functor

$$\mathbb{S} := - \overset{L}{\otimes}_A DA,$$

where  $DA = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, \mathbf{k})$  is the  $\mathbf{k}$ -dual of the bimodule  $A$ . We write the auto-equivalence

$$\Sigma_m := \mathbb{S} \circ [-m]$$

of  $\text{per } A$ . The triangulated category  $\text{per } A$  has a canonical dg enhancement given by the dg category  $\mathcal{A}$  of perfect cofibrant dg  $A$ -modules. For any two objects  $P, P'$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the morphism space  $\text{Hom}_A^n(P, P')$  of degree  $n$  consists of the homogeneous  $A$ -linear morphisms  $f : P \rightarrow P'$  of graded objects of degree  $n$ . The differential is given by

$$d(f) = d_{P'} \circ f - (-1)^n f \circ d_P.$$

Let  $X \rightarrow DA$  be a cofibrant resolution of  $DA$  in the category of dg  $A$ -bimodules. We take  $\mathfrak{S}_m := - \otimes_A X[-m]$  to be the tensor product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_m : \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ P &\mapsto P \otimes_A X[-m]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We notice that  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  itself is not a dg equivalence but the induced triangle functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathfrak{S}_m) : H^0(\mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{A}) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \Sigma_m : \text{per } A &\xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{per } A \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

is an equivalence. In general, the orbit category  $\text{per } A/\Sigma_m$  in the sense of [Kel] is not triangulated. However, we can use Definition 3.19 to obtain a triangulated category.

**Definition 4.1.** With the assumption above, the *orbit  $m$ -cluster category* associated to  $A$  is defined as the triangulated orbit category  $H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{S}_m^{\mathbb{Z}}))$ .

As shown in [Kel], when  $A$  is hereditary, the dg orbit category  $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{S}_m^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is already pretriangulated. So we have

$$H^0(\text{pretr}(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{S}_m^{\mathbb{Z}})) = H^0(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{S}_m^{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{A})/H^0(\mathfrak{S}_m) \simeq \text{per } A/\Sigma_m. \tag{4.3}$$

**4.2. Generalized  $\mathbb{X}$ -cluster categories via perfect derived categories.** We consider the  $\mathbb{X}$ -version of the generalized cluster category in the sense of [Ami, Guo, Ke4]. We aim to realize the generalized  $(\mathbb{X} - 1)$ -cluster category of  $A$  as its perfect derived category, which is a generalization of [IQ1, Theorem 6.7].

Recall that the  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau completion [IQ1] of  $A$  is the differential bigraded tensor algebra

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A = T_A(A^\vee[\mathbb{X} - 1]) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (A^\vee[\mathbb{X} - 1])^{\otimes_A^p},$$

where  $A^\vee$  is a cofibrant replacement of the dg bimodule  $\mathrm{RHom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  and  $[\mathbb{X} - 1]$  indicates the shift by bidegree  $(-1, 1)$ , where the first component being the cohomological degree. Let  $\mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$  be the perfect derived category and  $\mathrm{pvd} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$  be the perfectly valued derived category, cf. section 2.2. The generalized  $(\mathbb{X} - 1)$ -cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A)$  is defined by the short exact sequence of triangulated categories:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{pvd} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A \longrightarrow \mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Notice that  $\mathrm{pvd} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$  is  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau, i.e. it has a Serre functor given by  $[\mathbb{X}]$ . The inclusion (morphism of dg algebras)  $A \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$  induces a triangle functor

$$\iota_A^{\mathbb{X}}: ? \otimes_A^L \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A : \mathrm{per} A \rightarrow \mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$$

mapping  $A$  to  $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The functor  $\iota_A^{\mathbb{X}}$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* It follows from direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A, (\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A)[k]) &= \mathrm{Hom}_A(A, (\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A)[k]) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_A(A, \left(\bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (A^\vee[\mathbb{X} - 1])^{\otimes_A^p}\right)[k]) \\ &= \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} H^{k-p+p\mathbb{X}}((A^\vee)^{\otimes_A^p}) \\ &= H^k(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{per} A}(A, A[k]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

□

**Theorem 4.3.** *The composition*

$$i_* : \mathrm{per} A \xrightarrow{\iota_A^{\mathbb{X}}} \mathrm{per} \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A) \quad (4.6)$$

*is a triangle equivalence.*

*Proof.* Our strategy is based on Theorem 1.1 of [IY]. Since  $A$  is smooth, the bimodule  $A^\vee[\mathbb{X} - 1]$  is perfect and the  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau completion  $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$  of  $A$  is smooth. Since  $A$  is connective, we may assume that the truncation  $\tau_{\leq 0}A = A$  so that we have a canonical dg algebra morphism  $A \rightarrow H^0A$ . Let  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $H^0A$ ,  $P_i = (\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A)e_i$  and  $S_i$  the head of the indecomposable projective  $H^0A$ -module  $H^0P_i$ . We also view the  $S_i$ 's as simple dg  $A$ -modules via the restriction along  $A \rightarrow H^0A$ . Using the restriction along the canonical

projection  $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow A$ , we also view the  $P_i$ 's and  $S_i$ 's as dg  $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$ -modules. We define  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{pvd } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  as the thick subcategory generated by  $\{S_i \mid i \in I\}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  the thick subcategory generated by  $\{P_i \mid i \in I\}$ .

For a dg  $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$ -module  $M$ , we have a canonical triangle

$$M_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{X}}[1],$$

where

$$M_{\mathcal{X}} = \bigoplus_{j \leq 0} M^{*,j} \quad \text{and} \quad M^{\mathcal{Y}} = \bigoplus_{j > 0} M^{*,j}.$$

The corresponding  $\mathbb{X}$ -truncation functors  $M \mapsto M_{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $M \mapsto M^{\mathcal{Y}}$  define a canonical t-structure

$$\text{pvd}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) = \mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{Y},$$

where

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{thick}(\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbb{X}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Y} = \text{thick}(\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{Z}_{< 0}\mathbb{X}]).$$

Here, the notation  $\mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{Y}$  denotes the subcategory  $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$  and indicates that we have  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = 0$ . Notice that this is a stable t-structure, i.e. both aisles  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are stable under differential shifts in both directions. We write  $\mathcal{X}^{\perp}$  and  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{Y}$  for the left and right orthogonals of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  in the perfect derived category  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$ . We claim that

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} \cap {}^{\perp}\mathcal{Y}[1] = \mathcal{P}.$$

By the orthogonality relations between ‘‘projectives’’ and ‘‘simples’’, we have that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A}(P_i[a + b\mathbb{X}], S_j)$$

vanishes unless  $i = j$  and  $a = b = 0$ . Now, let  $Z \in \text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$ . By Lemma 2.14 in [Pla],  $Z$  is quasi-isomorphic to a minimal perfect dg module, cf. [Pla, Definition 2.13], with underlying graded module

$$Z = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P_{i_{\lambda}}[a_{\lambda} + b_{\lambda}\mathbb{X}]$$

and differential  $d$  whose components lie in

$$\text{rad}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) = \text{rad}(A) \oplus \bigoplus_{p > 0} A^{\vee}[\mathbb{X} - 1]^{\otimes_A p}.$$

Therefore, the dimension of

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A}(Z, S_j[r + s\mathbb{X}]) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A}(P_{i_{\lambda}}[a_{\lambda} + b_{\lambda}\mathbb{X}], S_j[r + s\mathbb{X}])$$

equals the number of  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $i_{\lambda} = j$ ,  $a_{\lambda} = r$  and  $b_{\lambda} = s$ . By choosing  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s < 0$ , we see that

$${}^{\perp}\mathcal{Y} = \text{thick}(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbb{X}]).$$

By  $\mathbb{X}$ -Calabi-Yau duality, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A}(S_j[r + s\mathbb{X}], Z) = D \text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A}(Z, S_j[r + (s + 1)\mathbb{X}]).$$

By choosing  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \geq 0$ , we see that

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \text{thick}(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\mathbb{X}]).$$

By our construction, the subcategory  ${}^\perp\mathcal{Y}[1]$  equals  ${}^\perp\mathcal{Y}$ , hence  $\mathcal{X}^\perp \cap {}^\perp\mathcal{Y}[1] = \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore, we obtain the theorem by combining this with the following proposition and applying Theorem 1.1 in [IY].  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Both  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\perp)$  and  $({}^\perp\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$  are torsion pairs in  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$ .*

*Proof.* From the discussion above, it suffices to show that they generate  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$ . For  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A = {}^\perp\mathcal{Y} \perp \mathcal{Y}$ , we claim that:  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[\mathbb{X}] \perp \mathcal{S}$ . Let us abbreviate  $A^\vee[\mathbb{X} - 1]$  by  $\Theta$ , so that  $\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A$  can be written as  $T_A\Theta$ . There is a canonical triangle

$$T_A\Theta \otimes_A \Theta \rightarrow T_A\Theta \rightarrow A \rightarrow (T_A\Theta \otimes_A \Theta)[1]. \quad (4.7)$$

Since  $A$  is proper and connective, the dg module  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since we can write  $T_A\Theta \otimes_A \Theta$  as  $(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A) \otimes_A A^\vee[\mathbb{X} - 1]$  and  $A^\vee \in \text{per}(A^e)$ , the dg module  $T_A\Theta \otimes_A \Theta$  is in  $\text{thick}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} A)[\mathbb{X}]$ , which is in  $\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{X}]$ . By combining this with the triangle (4.7), we obtain the claim.

Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{P}[-m\mathbb{X}] \subseteq \mathcal{P}[-m\mathbb{X} + \mathbb{X}] \perp \mathcal{S}[-m\mathbb{X}]$$

for any positive integer  $m$ . By induction, we have

$$\text{thick}(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}_{<0}\mathbb{X}]) \subseteq \mathcal{P} \perp \text{thick}(\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{Z}_{<0}\mathbb{X}]).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A = \text{thick}(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbb{X}]) \perp \text{thick}(\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{Z}_{<0}\mathbb{X}]) = {}^\perp\mathcal{Y} \perp \mathcal{Y}.$$

As for  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A = \mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{X}^\perp$ , we also claim that  $\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{X}] \subseteq \mathcal{S} \perp \mathcal{P}$ . For dg modules  $L, M \in \text{pvd } A$ , since  $A$  is smooth and proper, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(M \overset{L}{\otimes}_A A^\vee, L) \simeq D \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(L, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}A}(M, L \overset{L}{\otimes}_A DA). \quad (4.8)$$

This shows that the functor  $? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A A^\vee$  is left adjoint to  $? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A DA$ . Since the latter functor is an equivalence, it follows that  $? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A A^\vee$  and  $? \overset{L}{\otimes}_A DA$  are quasi-inverse equivalences. Let us write  $\Theta' = DA[1 - \mathbb{X}]$ . If we apply  $? \otimes_A \Theta'$  to the canonical triangle

$$A[-1] \rightarrow T_A\Theta \otimes_A \Theta \rightarrow T_A\Theta \rightarrow A,$$

we get that

$$A[-1] \otimes_A \Theta' \rightarrow T_A\Theta \rightarrow T_A\Theta \otimes_A \Theta' \rightarrow A \otimes_A \Theta'. \quad (4.9)$$

By a similar discussion as before, we have that the first term is in  $\mathcal{S}[-\mathbb{X}]$  and the third term is in  $\mathcal{P}[-\mathbb{X}]$ , hence the claim holds.

Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{P}[m\mathbb{X}] \subseteq \mathcal{S}[(m - 1)\mathbb{X}] \perp \mathcal{P}[(m - 1)\mathbb{X}]$$

for any positive integer  $m$ . By induction, we have

$$\text{thick}(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\mathbb{X}]) \subseteq \text{thick}(\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\mathbb{X}]) \perp \mathcal{P}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}}A = \text{thick}(\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbb{X}]) \perp \text{thick}(\mathcal{P}[\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\mathbb{X}]) = \mathcal{X} \perp \mathcal{X}^\perp. \quad \square$$

Notice that the category  $\mathcal{D}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$  is enriched over the derived category of *graded* vector spaces (and so are its full subcategories  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  and  $\text{pvd } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$ ), but the quotient  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$  is only enriched over the derived category of vector spaces. We can obtain the following corollary directly from Theorem 4.3.

**Corollary 4.5.** *The bigraded cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)$  is Hom-finite. Moreover, it is its Serre functor  $(\mathbb{X} - 1)$  induced from  $\text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A$  becomes the Serre functor  $\tau^{-1} \circ [1]$  of  $\text{per } A$  under the equivalence  $i_*$  in (4.6).*

**4.3.  $N$ -reductions as taking orbits.** Let  $N \geq 3$  be an integer and  $A$  be a connective, smooth and proper dg algebra. Recall that the  $N$ -Calabi-Yau completion [Ke4] of  $A$  is the derived dg tensor algebra

$$\Pi_N A = T_A(A^\vee[N-1]) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} (A^\vee[N-1])^{\otimes_A^p}.$$

Let  $\text{per } \Pi_N A$  and  $\text{pvd } \Pi_N A$  be its perfect derived category and perfectly valued derived category respectively. The generalized  $(N-1)$ -cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$  is defined by the short exact sequence of triangulated categories:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{pvd } \Pi_N A \longrightarrow \text{per } \Pi_N A \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Notice that  $\text{pvd } \Pi_N A$  is  $N$ -Calabi-Yau and the generalized  $(N-1)$ -cluster category  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$  is Hom-finite if we have

$$\begin{cases} H^p(\Pi_N A) = 0, & \text{for } p > 0, \\ \dim H^0(\Pi_N A) < \infty; \end{cases}$$

or equivalently, we have

$$\begin{cases} H^{p+N-1}(A^\vee) = 0, & \text{for } p > 0, \\ H^{p(N-1)}((A^\vee)^{\otimes_A^p}) = 0, & \text{when } p \gg 0. \end{cases}$$

For example, the conditions hold if  $A$  is a finite-dimensional algebra concentrated in degree 0 and  $\text{gldim } A < N$ . If  $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_N A)$  is Hom-finite, then it is  $(N-1)$ -Calabi-Yau, cf. [Guo, Theorem 4.9].

There is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, a+bN=p} (\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A)_b^a \rightarrow (\Pi_N A)^p.$$

On the level of differential (bi)graded algebras, there is a projection

$$\pi_N: \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow \Pi_N A \quad (4.11)$$

collapsing the double degree  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbb{X}$  into  $a + bN \in \mathbb{Z}$ , that induces a functor

$$\pi_N: \text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow \text{per } \Pi_N A$$

which restricts to  $\pi_N: \text{pvd } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A \rightarrow \text{pvd } \Pi_N A$ . Next we prove our main application. It generalizes Corollary 6.8 of [IQ1], where the case of a finite-dimensional path algebra  $A$  was treated. It confirms Conjecture 8.2 of [IQ2] for those graded gentle algebras which are smooth, proper and connective.

**Theorem 4.6.** *We have the following commutative diagram between short exact sequences of triangulated categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pvd } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } \Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } A \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow /[\mathbb{X}-N] & & \downarrow /[\mathbb{X}-N] & & \downarrow / \tau^{-1} \circ [2-N] \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{pvd } \Pi_N A & \longrightarrow & \text{per } \Pi_N A & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{N-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

*Proof.* The triangulated categories in (4.4) all have natural dg enhancements which satisfy Assumption 3.20 and  $\pi_N$  is the corresponding collapsing functor. By Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.5, the collapsing functor  $\pi_N$  becomes  $\tau^{-1} \circ [2-N]$  under the triangle equivalence  $i_* : \mathcal{C}(\Pi_{\mathbb{X}} A) \rightarrow \text{per } A$ . By the uniqueness of the triangulated orbit categories in Theorem 3.17, we have that the categories in (4.10) are the triangulated orbit categories respectively. Combining this with Proposition 3.21, we obtain the commutative diagram (4.12).  $\square$

Moreover, it also implies that the orbit  $(N-1)$ -cluster category in Definition 4.1 is triangulated equivalent to the generalized  $(N-1)$ -cluster category.

#### REFERENCES

- [Ami] Claire Amiot, Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **59** (2009) 2525–2590. ([arXiv:0805.1035](#))
- [BMRRT] Aslak Bakke Buan, Bethany Marsh, Markus Reineke, Idun Reiten and Gordana Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, *Adv. Math.* **204** (2006) 572–618. ([arXiv:math/0402054](#))
- [Dri] Vladimir Drinfeld, DG quotients of DG categories, *J. Algebra* **272** (2004) no. 2 643–691. ([arXiv:math/0210114](#))
- [DWZ] H. Derksen, J. Weyman and A. Zelevinsky, Quivers with potentials and their representations I: Mutations, *Selecta Mathematica* **14** (2008) 59–119. ([arXiv:0704.0649](#))
- [FZ] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, ‘Cluster algebras I: Foundations’, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002) 497–529. ([arXiv:math/0104151](#))
- [Guo] Lingyan Guo, Cluster tilting objects in generalized higher cluster categories. ([arXiv:1005.3564](#))
- [HI] Norihiko Hanihara and Osamu Iyama, Enhanced Auslander-Reiten duality and Morita theorem for singularity categories. ([arXiv:2209.14090v2](#))
- [Ke1] Bernhard Keller, On triangulated orbit categories, *Doc. Math.* **10** (2005) 551–581. ([arXiv:math/0503240](#))
- [Ke2] Bernhard Keller, On differential graded categories, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 151–190, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006. ([arXiv:math/0601185](#))
- [Ke3] Bernhard Keller, On triangulated orbit categories, [correction](#).
- [Ke4] Bernhard Keller with an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh, Deformed Calabi-Yau completions, *J. reine angew. Math.* **654** (2011) 125–180. ([arXiv:0908.3499](#))
- [KY] Bernhard Keller and Dong Yang, Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011) 2118–2168. ([arXiv:0906.0761](#))
- [KS] Mikhail Khovanov and Paul Seidel, Quivers, floer cohomology and braid group actions, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002) 203–271. ([arXiv:0006056](#))
- [IQ1] A. Ikeda and Y. Qiu,  $q$ -Stability conditions on Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$  categories, *Compos. Math.* **159** (2023) 1347–1386. ([arXiv:1807.00469](#))
- [IQ2] Akishi Ikeda and Yu Qiu,  $q$ -Stability conditions via quadratic differentials for Calabi-Yau- $\mathbb{X}$  categories. ([arXiv:1812.00010](#))
- [IY] Osamu Iyama and Dong Yang, Quotients of triangulated categories and Equivalences of Buchweitz, Orlov and Amiot–Guo–Keller, *Amer. J. Math.* **142** (2020) 1641–1659. ([arXiv:1702.04475](#))

- [Pla] Pierre-Guy Plamondon, Cluster characters for cluster categories with infinite-dimensional morphism spaces, *Adv. Math.* **227** (2011) 1–39. ([arXiv:1002.4956v2](#))
- [Tab] Goncalo Tabuada, Differential graded versus simplicial categories, *Topology and its Applications* **157** (2010) 563–593. ([arXiv:0711.3845](#))
- [Toe] Bertrand Toën, The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory, *Invent. math.* **167** (2007) 615–667. ([arXiv:math/0408337](#))

FL: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, 100084 BEIJING, CHINA.

*Email address:* [fan-117@tsinghua.org.cn](mailto:fan-117@tsinghua.org.cn)

B. KELLER: UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ AND SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75013 PARIS, FRANCE

*Email address:* [bernhard.keller@imj-prg.fr](mailto:bernhard.keller@imj-prg.fr)

QY: YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, 100084 BEIJING, CHINA. & BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, YANQI LAKE, BEIJING, CHINA

*Email address:* [yu.qiu@bath.edu](mailto:yu.qiu@bath.edu)