

# Unification of the Gauge Theories

Abolfazl Jafari

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran

(Dated: May 1, 2024)

## Abstract

We take the Christoffel coefficients as an operator and introduce new mappings for quaternionic products to reach the theory of electrodynamics in general spacetime. With the help of the directional operator of the covariant derivative, we generalize the quaternionic mechanism to the theory of gravity and show that the Einstein equation has the freedom to choose the constant term in agreement with the covariant derivative.

Keywords: Quaternions, Theory of gravity, Christoffel connections, Riemann's curvature tensor, Ricci tensor

## I. INTRODUCTION

After general relativity succeeded in explaining the universe, numerous attempts were made to develop geometric electrodynamics. Most of these attempts were unsuccessful [1–11]. Our goal now is to attempt this objective in a significantly different way. We want to incorporate the theory of gravity into the mechanism of electrodynamics. This may help us with a higher appreciation of quantum gravity. Since the realization of quantum gravity is universally craved by physicists, it is possible to confirm the results of this work by separating the components of Riemann's curvature tensor. This is because the realization of quantum gravity, as a worthwhile goal, has forced us to propose a change in spacetime dimensions [12–19]. In tackling the enigma, we face local obstacles from quaternionic production, such as the contraction of the indices of tangent and cotangent spaces, but the result is crucially the same regardless of the space chosen. Such new perspectives will help us change the theory of gravity. We approach two types of structures, the spacetime metric and the quaternionic metric (quaternionic production under new metric). With quaternions, the transition from electrodynamics to gravity is possible, as will be shown. However, there is a fundamental difference between the two theories. Systematically, electrodynamics is a theory with two components which can be clarified as the strength tensor in four-dimensional space. If one extends electrodynamics to gravity theory using quaternions, there are four components in a four-dimensional spacetime. Hence, we encounter the concept of component separation. This change in the structure of the components leads to equations of motion and finally to Bianchi's identity [20–25].

The result of this article could be a modified gravitational theory (a modification of the Einstein equation), which formally assumes additional conditions [26–29]. The additional conditions added to the Einstein equation are seen strictly from a pure point of view, never achieved by the concept of geometry. We will derive Einstein's equa-

tion with additional conditions and prove its necessity. The interpretation of Einstein's historical theorem is one of the consequences of the theory that has the potential to be transformative [21, 22, 24]. It is an achievement to induce the behavior of gauge fields in gravity. The last theorem is complicated by Riemann's four-component curvature tensor. Of course, the theory of gravity has a gauge-theoretic property similar to that of gauge fields theory. The definition of the directional covariant derivative operator is an innovation in the present work. We will explain its action in a subsection, but presently we will use its results only.

**Clarification-** It is necessary to emphasize some definitions and denotations: (1) The vector and dual spaces (distinguished by  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^*$ ) are ordered, and denoted by  $\varepsilon^* \otimes \varepsilon$ ; other orders are not allowed.  $u^\alpha e_\alpha \in \varepsilon$  and  $u_\alpha e^\alpha \in \varepsilon^*$ ;  $e_\alpha$  and  $e^\alpha$  are also the tangent and cotangent unit vectors. (2) The notation of  $u_{\mu\nu\dots}^{\alpha\beta\dots} := u_{\mu\nu\dots}^{\alpha\beta\dots} \hat{e}^\alpha \times \hat{e}^\beta \cdots \otimes \hat{e}_\mu \times \hat{e}_\nu \cdots$  shows the multicomponent member. The notation is changed as follows; the  $u_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$  parts are denoted by  $u_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$ . (3) The interaction of indices occurs only from a vector to the dual space, left to right and vice versa, anyhow, from a vector space into the dual one. (4) Metric similarity transformations have no influence on the physical conditions.

**Establishing-** Introduction to "Ast" Mapping: We define the new mapping (ast product) based on its result as the only direction-independent action of the vector on its relevant dual space,

$$* : \varepsilon \vec{\times} \varepsilon^* \sim \text{is defined}$$

Also, the definition of the mapping as an action is:

$$* : \varepsilon^* \vec{\times} \varepsilon \sim \text{is defined}$$

In this way,  $\varepsilon^* \vec{\times} \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon \vec{\times} \varepsilon^*$  lead to invalid results. We can also represent this with  $e_\alpha \times e^\beta$  and so on. Now we follow the classical relation of the Christoffel coefficients; we define  $B^\mu_{\nu;\alpha} = B^\mu_{\nu,\alpha} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} B^\beta_\nu - \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\nu} B^\mu_\beta$  and the ast mapping, and we define the directed gamma operator as follows;

$$\hat{\Gamma} \vec{\times} \varepsilon^* \rightarrow \varepsilon^*, \quad \varepsilon \vec{\times} \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \varepsilon,$$

Here we will not mention the direction of the action. Therefore, operator  $\hat{\Gamma}$  obtains a structure of  $\varepsilon^* \times \varepsilon$  and

consequently  $\hat{\Gamma} \propto \varepsilon^* \times \varepsilon$ . We point out that the operation of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is the contraction. In summary,  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is an operator in the space of indices.

Due to assumption 1, the contraction of the indices occurs up to the allowed cases;  $\Sigma_\alpha \hat{e}_\alpha \times \hat{e}^\mu \hat{e}^\nu = \Sigma_\alpha (\delta_\alpha^\mu \hat{e}^\nu + \hat{e}^\mu \delta_\alpha^\nu)$ . We assign a Lorentz index of  $\hat{\Gamma}_\mu$  to the operator (see appendix).

**Metric-** According to the definition of **ast** mapping, the metric is a mapping of the form;

$$\mathbf{g}^{\text{up}} : \varepsilon^2 \times \varepsilon^* \rightarrow \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{down}} : \varepsilon^* \leftarrow \varepsilon \times \varepsilon^{*2} \quad (2)$$

The symbol "g" is the general metric element and comprises  $\varepsilon \times \varepsilon$  or  $\varepsilon^* \times \varepsilon^*$ . Equations 1 and 2 show that the metric (with a repeated index) does not obey the rules of the operator, and it is clear that any other interpretation of equations 1 and 2 would be wrong for the action of the metric. It can be shown that the metric effect does not play a role in the calculation of the components. Following the definition of **ast** mapping, the operator  $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa$  is represented as follows;

$$\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \equiv \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} (\Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha e^\beta e_\alpha)^\dagger = \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha e^\alpha e_\beta \quad (3)$$

We have considered the inverted version of  $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa$  as the operator due to the compatibility of the Christoffel connections with the role of the operator. Furthermore, except for the " $\kappa$ " index in  $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa$ , its other two indices are repeated and dummy. Anyway, according to assumption 2,  $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \equiv \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa e^\kappa$  (without summation), which is the missing part of relation equation 3. From this follows (a connection coefficient of the first kind):

$$\Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha \mathbf{g}_{\text{down}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma_{\beta\kappa\nu}^\alpha,$$

the mapping is, of course, not an operator. Due to the specificity of contracting indices, we will remove the indicator from the top of the letters. With the notation of

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha &\rightarrow \langle \alpha | \\ e^\alpha &\rightarrow | \alpha \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The above statements and results become easier to understand with the new representation, because the  $\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta$  operator yields " $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \Sigma_{\alpha,\beta} \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha |\alpha \rangle \langle \beta| \equiv \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha |\alpha \rangle \langle \beta|$ ". The **ast** mapping becomes more formal when referring to the "bra- ket" representations. Moreover, the representation corresponding to the "bra-ket" extends our possibilities for future calculations. The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are dummy indices in the  $\Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha |\alpha \rangle \langle \beta|$ -operator. They are used, among other things, for the gamma operator effect;

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa * u_\lambda &= \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha |\alpha \rangle \langle \beta| \lambda \rangle u_\lambda = \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha \delta_{\beta\lambda} u_\square |\alpha \rangle \\ &= \Gamma_{\lambda\kappa}^\alpha u_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In this process, the box is mated with the alpha vector. That is, the box takes the alpha index. Hence,

$$u^\lambda * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = u^\lambda \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\alpha \langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | = \Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^\lambda u^\beta. \quad (5)$$

We emphasize that in changing the  $u_\lambda$  notation, the position of the index  $\lambda$  in " $u_\lambda$ " is the main, but the name of the index changes in "**ast**" multiplication. However, we should use the **ast** mapping relations as simply as possible.

**Quaternions-** The relations that exist between the quaternions' generators  $\hat{q}_1 = I$ ,  $\hat{q}_2 = J$  and  $\hat{q}_3 = K$  hold under a Euclidean metric and satisfy the following relations:  $\hat{q}_i \cdot \hat{q}_j = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\hat{q}_i \times \hat{q}_j = \Sigma_k \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{q}_k$ . If we add  $\hat{q}_0 = \Im$  (as identity), we obtain the quaternion expressed by the Lorentz quantities (parameters of the Lorentz index). They are coupled and are called " $q$  vector". The Lorentz quantities (quantities carrying the Lorentz index) are represented by the quaternions. Thus, we have two representations of the Lorentz index: the four-vector and the  $q$ -vector. We will use the notation  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \dots$  to represent the quaternions and normally, we construct quaternions from four vectors:  $\mathbf{A} = A_0 \Im + A_k \hat{q}^k \equiv (\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbf{A}_k \hat{q}^k)_q$ . Bold letters are used for the space part of the four vectors. The four-vector representation has the basis of the spacetime metric, and the Euclidean metric is for the quaternion representation. This configuration has consequences for quaternionic products. We also consider the Lorentz index in coupling the physical parameters to the quaternion generator. In this way, we can generalize the range of the coupled quantities from four vectors to tensors. For the  $q$ -vectors  $\hat{\Gamma}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ , the extended Grassman quaternion multiplication " $\triangleright$ " (with  $*$ -product), is defined as follows [30–33]

$$\hat{\Gamma} \triangleright \mathbf{C} = (\hat{\Gamma}_0 * \mathbf{C}_0 - \hat{\Gamma} * \mathbf{C}, \hat{\Gamma} \vee \mathbf{C} + \hat{\Gamma} \wedge \mathbf{C})_q, \quad (6)$$

where  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are the vector products under the **ast** mapping:

$$\hat{\Gamma} \wedge \mathbf{C} = \epsilon^{ijk} (\hat{\Gamma}_i * \mathbf{C}_j) \hat{q}_k.$$

and

$$(\hat{\Gamma} \vee \mathbf{C})_k = \hat{\Gamma}_0 * \mathbf{C}_k - \hat{\Gamma}_k * \mathbf{C}_0.$$

According to the above,  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  add the spatial and temporal components to the above two commutators;

i) The commutator associated with the product " $*$ " is denoted by  $[\hat{\Gamma}, \mathbf{C}]_* = \hat{\Gamma} * \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C} * \hat{\Gamma}$ .

ii) Moreover, we can introduce a new commutator, i.e. the commutator associated with the multiplication of the quaternion  $[\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}]_\triangleright = \mathbf{B} \triangleright \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C} \triangleright \mathbf{B}$  containing the product " $*$ ", when at least one of them is operator.

Based on the operator rule for the connection coefficients  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , we introduce a new covariant derivative,

$$\Delta_\mu = \partial_\mu \Im - e \hat{\Gamma}_\mu \quad (7)$$

where " $e$ " is the coupling coefficient constant with a value of one. As mentioned earlier,  $\hat{\Gamma}_\mu$  contains two coupling

indices. Due to the operators  $\hat{\Gamma}$ 's and the "\*" -product  $\Delta_\mu$  is a semi-complete operator. Equation 7 with the "\*" -product has the expected effects

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\mu * \mathbf{B}_\kappa^\lambda &= \partial_\mu \mathbf{B}_\kappa^\lambda - e \Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^\alpha \mathbf{B}_\alpha^\lambda \\ &=: \mathbf{B}_{\kappa,\mu}^\lambda\end{aligned}$$

The multiplications used in this paper refer to the "\*" -product. Accordingly, the effect described above dictates the following action,

$$[\Delta_\mu, (\mathbf{BC})]_* = \mathbf{B}[\Delta_\mu, \mathbf{C}]_* + [\Delta_\mu, \mathbf{B}]_* \mathbf{C} \quad (8)$$

It follows that we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta_\mu, \mathbf{B}]_* &= \partial_\mu \mathbf{B} - e[\hat{\Gamma}_\mu, \mathbf{B}]_* \\ &\equiv \mathbf{B}_{,\mu}\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If we define  $g^{\alpha\beta}\hat{\Gamma}_\beta$  directly, we can write

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\Delta_\beta * \mathbf{B}_\lambda = g^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{B}_{\lambda,\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{B}_{\lambda;\beta} \equiv \mathbf{B}_\lambda{}^{;\alpha}$$

The covariant derivative is not complete. The incompleteness of the derivative operator is evident from equations 4 and 5.

$\mu$  and  $\zeta$  are free indices in  $\hat{\Gamma}_\mu * \mathbf{B}_\zeta$ . This means that  $\hat{\Gamma}_\mu * \mathbf{B}_\zeta$  ends up being a second rank tensor; In other words,  $\hat{\Gamma}_\mu * \mathbf{B}_\zeta \propto \Gamma_{\mu\zeta}^\alpha B_\alpha$  actually has the two free indices  $\mu$  and  $\zeta$  that can be considered as free indices;  $\Gamma_{\mu\zeta}^\alpha B_\alpha \equiv \Gamma_{\mu\zeta}^\alpha B_\alpha | \mu, \zeta \rangle$ . Equation 3, and our calculations in the "bra-ket" notation show that,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Gamma}_\nu * \hat{\Gamma}_\mu &= \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha | \beta \rangle \langle \alpha | \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa | \lambda \rangle \langle \kappa | + \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \mu \rangle \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa | \lambda \rangle \langle \kappa | \\ &= \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa | \beta \rangle \langle \delta_\alpha^\lambda \rangle \langle \kappa | + \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \delta_{\beta\mu} \rangle \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\kappa | \lambda \rangle \langle \kappa | \\ &= \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa | \beta \rangle \langle \kappa | + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}^\kappa | \lambda \rangle \langle \kappa |,\end{aligned}$$

furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\Gamma}_\nu * \hat{\Gamma}_\mu)^\dagger &= (\Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\kappa) | \beta \rangle \langle \kappa |^\dagger \\ &= (\Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\kappa) | \kappa \rangle \langle \beta |,\end{aligned}$$

so inverted version becomes

$$(\hat{\Gamma}_\nu * \hat{\Gamma}_\mu) * \mathbf{B}_\zeta = \Gamma_{\nu\zeta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa B_\kappa + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\zeta}^\kappa B_\kappa.$$

It also has the free indices,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\zeta$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Gamma}_\nu * (\hat{\Gamma}_\mu * \mathbf{B}_\zeta) &= \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | * (| \mu, \zeta \rangle \Gamma_{(\mu\zeta)}^\kappa B_\kappa) \\ &= \Gamma_{\nu\zeta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa B_\kappa + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\zeta}^\kappa B_\kappa.\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In addition,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa * (\hat{\Gamma}_\lambda * \mathbf{B}_\mu) = (\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa * \hat{\Gamma}_\lambda) * \mathbf{B}_\mu.$$

confirms that  $\Delta_\mu$  is an incomplete operator, satisfying the condition:

$$\Delta_\mu * (\mathbf{B}_\lambda \mathbf{C}_\kappa) = (\Delta_\mu * \mathbf{B}_\lambda) \mathbf{C}_\kappa + \mathbf{B}_\lambda (\Delta_\mu * \mathbf{C}_\kappa).$$

In particular,

$$[\hat{\Gamma}_\mu, \hat{\Gamma}_\nu]_* = (\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\zeta \Gamma_{\nu\zeta}^\kappa - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\zeta \Gamma_{\mu\zeta}^\kappa) (| \kappa \rangle \langle \lambda |).$$

It is clear that the free indices after transposition can be seen. In this way,  $(\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\zeta \Gamma_{\nu\zeta}^\kappa - \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\zeta \Gamma_{\mu\zeta}^\kappa) (| \kappa \rangle \langle \lambda |)$  as an operator contains the two free indices  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ . It becomes a simplicial  $[\hat{\Gamma}_\mu, \hat{\Gamma}_\nu]_*^\kappa | \lambda$  which has free  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  indices. Next, we follow the computation of  $[\Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta]_*$ . We compute the case without torsion,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa;\alpha;\beta} &= \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa - e \partial_\beta (\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa) - e \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\mu \partial_\mu \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \\ &\quad - e \hat{\Gamma}_\beta \partial_\alpha * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa + e^2 \hat{\Gamma}_\beta * (\hat{\Gamma}_\alpha * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa),\end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa;\beta;\alpha} &= \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa - e \partial_\alpha (\hat{\Gamma}_\beta * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa) - e \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \partial_\mu \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \\ &\quad - e \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha \partial_\beta * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa + e^2 \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha * (\hat{\Gamma}_\beta * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa),\end{aligned}$$

consequently

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa;\alpha;\beta} - \hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa;\beta;\alpha} = e \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} * \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa. \quad (11)$$

Eq.11 means

$$[\Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta]_* = e \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (12)$$

We are in a situation where the four components of the Riemann's curvature tensor have become a single operator with two distinct subspaces. Then, we will look at the roles of the components. With the action role and equation 10, and for a space with torsion, the new covariant derivative satisfies the following commutation relation,  $[\Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta]_* = e \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} + e^2 (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\lambda) \hat{\Gamma}_\lambda$ . But the effect of  $\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}$  on variable  $\mathbf{B}_\mu$  is now,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} * \mathbf{B}_\mu &= (\partial_\alpha \hat{\Gamma}_\beta - \partial_\beta \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha - e \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha * \hat{\Gamma}_\beta + e \hat{\Gamma}_\beta * \hat{\Gamma}_\alpha) * \mathbf{B}_\mu \\ &= R_{\mu\alpha\beta}^\lambda B_\lambda | \mu, \alpha, \beta \rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Another result is accessible;  $[\Delta_\mu, \mathbf{A}]_*$  reach to

$$[\Delta_\mu, \mathbf{A}_\beta]_* = \partial_\mu A_\beta^\alpha - g \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\lambda A_\lambda^\alpha + g \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha A_\beta^\lambda,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta_\mu, \mathbf{A}]_*^\alpha &= (\partial_\mu \mathbf{A} - g \hat{\Gamma}_\mu * \mathbf{A} + g \mathbf{A} * \hat{\Gamma}_\mu)^\alpha \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\beta^\alpha - g \Gamma_{\mu\zeta_i}^\kappa A_{\dots\zeta_{i-1}\kappa\zeta_{i+1}\dots}^{\dots\zeta_j\dots} \langle \beta | \vec{\zeta}_i \rangle \langle \vec{\zeta}_j | \alpha \rangle \\ &\quad - A_{\dots\zeta_i\dots}^{\dots\zeta_{j-1}\kappa\zeta_{j+1}\dots} \Gamma_{\kappa\mu}^{\zeta_i} \langle \beta | \vec{\zeta}_i \rangle \langle \vec{\zeta}_j | \alpha \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Now, considering relations 9 and 10, we can write:

**lemma-** The covariance derivative effect is independent of entering the components.

Since  $[\hat{\Gamma}_\mu, \mathbf{A}_\nu]_* + [\mathbf{A}_\mu, \hat{\Gamma}_\nu]_* = 0$ , we take  $\mathbf{A}$  to be as the gauge fields, then the change of the tensor of the field strength is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \mathbf{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathbf{A}_\mu \\ &= \Delta_\mu * \mathbf{A}_\nu - \Delta_\nu * \mathbf{A}_\mu\end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

## II. ELECTRODYNAMICS

In this section we present a mechanism according to which, first, the components of gauge fields  $\mathbf{A}$  are coupled with the generators of the quaternions ( $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ ). Then, a coupling between the components of the covariant derivative and the quaternion generators is established in the same way. The quaternion variables obtained in this way help us to reconstruct the strength tensor of the fields. Due to the semi completeness of the covariant derivative operator, we will introduce a new quaternion commutator to obtain the equation of motion and conclude the theory.

Based on the above, we will define new commonly used quatrain variables and operators. Starting from the tangent spaces, we introduce the operators and vectors as  $q$ -variables,  $z_t = (\imath A^0, \mathbf{A}^i \hat{q}_i)$  and  $D_t = (\imath \Delta_0, \mathbf{\Delta}_i \hat{q}_i)$ . The position of the indices is important here.  $\mathbf{A}$  vectors are the fields;  $\mathbf{A}$  is the vector potential and  $A_0 = \phi$  represents the scalar case. There are two more i.e.  $z_c = (\imath A_0, \mathbf{A}_i \hat{q}_i)$  and  $D_c = (\imath \Delta^0, \mathbf{\Delta}^i \hat{q}_i)$ .

We show that Maxwell's equations are accessible with augmented quaternions. The given content confirms the above definitions of the  $q$ -vectors. We emphasize that the quaternion mapping creates two separate spaces: the tangent and the cotangent  $q$ -vectors. Basically, the measures of these two spaces are connected by the new matrix elements, not by the Minkowski metric. Our calculations show that the law of direct and crossed multiplication be-

tween  $q$ -vectors is given by  $H^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \imath & \imath & \imath \\ -\imath & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -\imath & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -\imath & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

where

$$H^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \imath & \imath & \imath \\ -\imath & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\imath & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -\imath & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} := \eta^{\mu\nu} + H_d^{\mu\nu},$$

$\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$  is the Minkowski metric. With the help of the matrix  $H^{\mu\nu}$  we define the inverse matrix

$$\tilde{H}^{\mu\nu} = (H^{\mu\nu})^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\imath & -\imath & -\imath \\ \imath & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ \imath & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \imath & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{H}_d^{\mu\nu},$$

We also introduce a new tensor i.e.  $n_k^{ij}$  that follows from the behavior of the quaternions and has the following properties: it is antisymmetric with respect to the exchange of indices, it can participate in the raising and lowering of indices, it is spatial, but without counting, and contains a temporal index. The  $n_k^{ij}$ -symbol is used when its indices are all on the same row. When all indices are in a row, it is similar to the Levi-Civita symbol. We will see later where the temporal index is located. Since the product of the quaternions is a quaternion, the new  $q$ -variable in the quaternion space can be named as

$\mathbf{l}$ , so we obtain  $D_t \triangleright z_c = (\imath l_0, l_i \hat{q}_i) \equiv \mathbf{l}_c$ . Considering the indices, we obtain

$$\mathbf{l}_c = (\imath l_0, \mathbf{l})$$

(using the upper indices), our calculations show that

$$\mathbf{l}_c = (\mathbf{A}_{\nu,\mu} \eta^{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{A}_{\nu,\mu} H_d^{\mu\nu})$$

based on this result and Eq.9, we arrive at

$$\imath l_0 = \mathbf{A}_{\nu,\mu} \eta^{\mu\nu} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \mathbf{A}_{\nu,\mu} H_d^{\mu\nu}$$

are available with the following definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{l}_k &= \imath \mathcal{E}_{0k} + \frac{1}{2} n_k^{ij} \mathcal{E}_{ij} \\ &\equiv \imath \mathcal{E}_{0k} + D_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$  is given by Eq.14. The equation of motion is the directed action of the covariant derivative on the corresponding obtained quaternion variables and vice versa. Due to the incompleteness of the derivative effects, in order to obtain the equation of motion, we must define a new commutator as follows:  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\triangleright} : \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  which is the same as  $[\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}]_{\triangleright} = (\eta^{\mu\nu} [\mathbf{B}_{\mu}, \mathbf{C}_{\nu}]_*, [\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}]_*^{\vee} + [\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}]_*^{\wedge})$ . Due to the electrodynamics in Minkowski spacetime, the commutator of the motion has the following form (under the matrix  $\tilde{H}$ ):

$$[(\imath g^{0\zeta} \Delta_{\zeta}, g^{s\zeta} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\zeta}), \mathbf{l}_c]_{\triangleright}^{\tilde{H}} = (g^{\mu\zeta} \mathbf{l}_{\nu;\zeta} \eta^{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{l}_{\nu;\mu} \tilde{H}_d^{\mu\nu}) = \mathbf{J}_c,$$

$\mathbf{J}_c = (\imath \mathbf{J}_0, \mathbf{J}_i \hat{q}_i)$  is the quaternion form of current density. So the equation of motion expands to,

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\mu\zeta} \mathbf{l}_{\nu;\zeta} \eta^{\mu\nu} &= \imath \mathbf{J}_0, \\ \mathbf{l}_{\nu;\mu} \tilde{H}_d^{\mu\nu} &= \mathbf{j}_k \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For any  $\mathbf{B}$  without upper indices, the equality  $\Delta * \mathbf{B} \equiv [\Delta, \mathbf{B}]_*$  holds, and

$$\Delta_{\nu} * \mathbf{B}_{\mu} = \mathbf{B}_{\mu,\nu} \equiv \mathbf{B}_{\mu;\nu} \quad (17)$$

If  $\mathbf{B}$  carries no upper indices, then  $\Delta_{\nu} * \mathbf{B}_{;\mu} = \mathbf{B}_{;\mu;\nu}$  and  $\Delta_{\mu} * g_{\kappa\lambda} = 0$ ,  $\Delta_{\mu} * g^{\kappa\lambda} \neq 0$ . Therefore, equations 8 and 16 based on equation 17 lead to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{0\zeta} \mathbf{l}_{0;\zeta} - \imath g^{s\zeta} \mathcal{E}_{0s;\zeta} - g^{s\zeta} D_{s;\zeta} &= \imath \mathbf{J}_0, \\ -\imath g^{0\zeta} (\imath \mathcal{E}_{0k;\zeta} + D_{k;\zeta}) - n_{ks}^l g^{s\zeta} (\imath \mathcal{E}_{0l;\zeta} + D_{l;\zeta}) \\ &\quad + \imath g^{k\zeta} \mathbf{l}_{0;\zeta} = \mathbf{J}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and finally, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} -\imath g^{0\zeta} \mathbf{l}_{0;\zeta} - g^{s\zeta} \mathcal{E}_{0s;\zeta} &= \mathbf{J}_0, \\ g^{s\zeta} D_{s;\zeta} &= 0, \\ \imath g^{k\zeta} \mathbf{l}_{0;\zeta} + g^{0\zeta} \mathcal{E}_{0k;\zeta} - n_{ks}^l g^{s\zeta} D_{l;\zeta} &= \mathbf{J}_k, \\ g^{0\zeta} D_{k;\zeta} + n_{ks}^l g^{s\zeta} \mathcal{E}_{0l;\zeta} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From the equations of motion, it follows that  $g^{s\zeta}D_{s;\zeta} = 0$ , which gives a Bianchi identity (without time index):  $\frac{1}{2}n_s^{ij}g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{ij;\zeta} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{sij}\mathcal{E}_{ij;s} = 0$ . Moreover, the fourth equation is  $g^{0\zeta}D_{k;\zeta} + n_{ks}{}^l g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{0l;\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}n_k^{ij}\mathcal{E}_{ij}{}^{;0} + n_k{}^{sl}\mathcal{E}_{0l;s} = 0$  which gives another Bianchi identity:  $\frac{1}{2}n_{k0}{}^{ij}\mathcal{E}_{ij}{}^{;0} + n_k{}^{s0l}\mathcal{E}_{0l;s} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{k0ij}\mathcal{E}_{ij;0} + \varepsilon^{ks0l}\mathcal{E}_{0l;s} = 0$ . Since  $n_{ks}{}^l g^{s\zeta}D_{l;\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ksl}g^{s\zeta}\varepsilon^{lij}\mathcal{E}_{ij;\zeta} = g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{ks;\zeta}$ , equation 19 is thus

$$\begin{aligned} -g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{0s;\zeta} &= J_0 + \imath g^{0\zeta}l_{0;\zeta}, \\ g^{0\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{0k;\zeta} - g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{ks;\zeta} &= J_k - \imath g^{k\zeta}l_{0;\zeta} \end{aligned}$$

to summarize,

$$g^{\mu\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu;\zeta} = J_\nu, \quad (20)$$

where

$$J_\nu = J_\nu + \imath\eta_{\nu\mu}g^{\mu\zeta}l_{0;\zeta}. \quad (21)$$

The above relations are simplified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} l_0{}^{;0} - l_s{}^{;s} &= \imath j_0 \\ -\imath l_k{}^{;0} + \imath l_0{}^{;k} - n_{ki}{}^j l_j{}^{;i} &= j_k \end{aligned}$$

From Eqs.11 or 12, we get the relation  $n_{ki}{}^j l_j{}^{;i;k} = \frac{e}{2}n_{ki}{}^j \hat{R}^{ik} * l_j$  and  $\imath(l_k{}^{;k;0} - l_k{}^{;0;k}) = \imath e \hat{R}^{k0} * l_k$ . If we substitute these points, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j_0{}^{;0} + j_k{}^{;k} &= -\imath\eta_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\zeta}l_{0;\zeta}{}^{;\nu} + \imath e \hat{R}^{k0} * l_k - \frac{e}{2}n_{ki}{}^j \hat{R}^{ik} * l_j \\ &= -\imath\eta_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\zeta}l_{0;\zeta}{}^{;\nu} \\ &+ \frac{\imath e}{2}(n_k{}^{ij}\hat{R}^{k0} * \mathcal{E}_{ij} - n_{ki}{}^j \hat{R}^{ik} * \mathcal{E}_{0j}) \\ &- \frac{e}{4}(4\hat{R}^{k0} * \mathcal{E}_{0k} + n_{ki}{}^j n_j{}^{mn}\hat{R}^{ik} * \mathcal{E}_{mn}) \end{aligned}$$

However, it can be seen that  $n_{ki}{}^j n_j{}^{mn}\hat{R}^{ik} * \mathcal{E}_{mn} = \varepsilon_{kij}\varepsilon^{jmn}\hat{R}^{ik} * \mathcal{E}_{mn} = 2\hat{R}^{nm} * \mathcal{E}_{mn} = 2\hat{R}_{\nu\mu} * \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} - 4\hat{R}_{0\mu} * \mathcal{E}^{\mu 0}$ . Moreover, the second and third terms are zero because the equations of motion  $n_k{}^{ij}\hat{R}^{0k} * \mathcal{E}_{ij} + n_{ki}{}^j \hat{R}^{ik} * \mathcal{E}_{0j} = 0$ . This result is independent of the physical conditions and has nothing to do with the sources. Substituting the results, we get

$$j_0{}^{;0} + j_k{}^{;k} + \imath\eta_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\zeta}l_{0;\zeta}{}^{;\nu} = -\frac{e}{2}\hat{R}^{\nu\mu} * \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \quad (22)$$

Now we derive equation 20 and from the fact that

$$g^{\nu\kappa}\Delta_\kappa * g^{\mu\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu;\zeta} = g^{\nu\kappa}\Delta_\kappa * J_\nu,$$

we have:  $g^{\mu\zeta}\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu;\zeta} = g_{\nu\beta}\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha}$ . On the other hand,  $g_{\nu\beta}\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha}$  and the action of the derivative operator on the  $\nu$  set is proportional to the low index set. From this follows:  $g^{\nu\kappa}\Delta_\kappa * g_{\nu\beta}\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha} = \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha;\beta}$ . The same logic applies to the  $g^{\nu\kappa}\Delta_\kappa * J_\nu = J_{\nu;\kappa}g^{\kappa\nu}$ . With these interpretations of

equation 13, we can write:  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\mu;\nu} = -\mathcal{E}^{\nu\mu}{}_{;\nu;\mu} + e\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} * \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}$ , and finally, we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\mu;\nu} = \frac{e}{2}\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} * \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \quad (23)$$

According to equations 22, 23, and the above, the continuity equation is given as follows:

$$j_0{}^{;0} + j_k{}^{;k} + \imath\eta_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\zeta}l_{0;\zeta}{}^{;\nu} = \frac{e}{2}\hat{R}^{\mu\nu} * \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu},$$

But,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}{}^{;\mu;\nu} = A_{\nu;\mu}{}^{;\mu;\nu} - A_{\mu;\nu}{}^{;\mu;\nu} = e\hat{R}^{\mu\nu}A_{\nu;\mu}$  and based on the relation in 13, we reach  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}{}^{;\mu;\nu} \rightarrow -eR_\mu{}^{\lambda\mu\nu}A_{\lambda;\nu} - eR_\nu{}^{\lambda\mu\nu}A_{\mu;\lambda} = -eR_\nu{}^{\lambda\mu\nu}\mathcal{E}_{\mu\lambda} = 0$ , which means that the condition  $J_j{}^{;\nu} = 0$  as continuity equation is in agreement with reference [6]. Inserting equation 14, equation 20 becomes

$$g^{\mu\zeta}(\Delta_\mu * A_\nu - \Delta_\nu * A_\mu)_{;\zeta} = J_\nu,$$

similar to the result of [34], or

$$g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\zeta * \Delta_\mu * A_\nu - g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\zeta * \Delta_\nu * A_\mu = J_\nu,$$

but  $g^{\mu\zeta}A_{\mu;\nu;\zeta} = g^{\mu\zeta}(\Delta_\nu * \Delta_\zeta + e\hat{R}_{\nu\zeta}) * A_\mu$ , which gives us

$$g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\zeta * \Delta_\mu * A_\nu - e g^{\mu\zeta}\hat{R}_{\nu\zeta} * A_\mu = J_\nu + g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\nu * \Delta_\zeta * A_\mu.$$

Since  $g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\nu * \Delta_\zeta * A_\mu = g^{0\zeta}A_{0;\zeta;\nu} + g^{s\zeta}A_{s;\zeta;\nu}$  and the given condition that  $A^\kappa{}_{;\kappa} = 0 = g^{\kappa\lambda}A_{\lambda;\kappa} = g^{0\kappa}A_{0;\kappa} + g^{s\kappa}A_{s;\kappa}$ , we can derive that  $g^{s\zeta}A_{s;\zeta} = -g^{0\zeta}A_{0;\zeta}$ . We obtain the following equation [35–39]:

$$g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\zeta * \Delta_\mu * A_\nu - e g^{\mu\zeta}R^\alpha{}_{\mu\nu\zeta}A_\alpha = J_\nu,$$

is, by substitution of the Ricci tensor, equivalent to

$$g^{\mu\zeta}\Delta_\zeta * \Delta_\mu * A_\nu - eR_\nu{}^\alpha A_\alpha = J_\nu, \quad (24)$$

which is

$$g^{\mu\zeta}A_{\nu;\mu;\zeta} - eR_\nu{}^\alpha A_\alpha = J_\nu.$$

To reach the final goal (Eq.24), the relation  $A_{s;s} - A_{0;0} = A_s{}^{;\zeta}g_{s\zeta} - A_0{}^{;\zeta}g_{0\zeta}$  must be established, and this is a precondition (due to assumption 4). If the precondition is satisfied, the continuity equation is  $j_0{}^{;0} + j_k{}^{;k} = 0$ .

To determine the position of the time index in the new tensor  $n_k{}^{ij}$ , it is sufficient to define:

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta},$$

so that  $G^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\nu} = 0$ . For the case  $\mu = 0$  the second equation of motion in Eq.19 is now valid:

$$G^{0k}{}_{;k} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{0sij}\mathcal{E}_{ij;s}, \quad (25)$$

and for the case  $\mu \neq 0$  we get the fourth equation of motion in Eq.19

$$G^{l\zeta}{}_{;\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{l0ij}\mathcal{E}_{ij;0} + \varepsilon^{li0j}\mathcal{E}_{0j;i} = 0 \quad (26)$$

which gives the position of the time index in the comparison.

### III. GEOMETRY

In this section, the gauge fields are replaced by the Christoffel connections  $\mathbf{A}$  to  $\Gamma$ . This change does not affect the definition of the covariant derivative operator. Using the same method of the previous section and multiplying the four components of the Riemann's curvature tensor into two two-component subspaces, we define the quaternion variables as  $z_c = (\imath\hat{\Gamma}_0, \hat{\Gamma}_i\hat{q}^i)$  and  $D_c = (\imath\Delta^0, \Delta^i\hat{q}_i)$ . It is clear that  $\hat{\Gamma}^0 = g^{0\zeta}\hat{\Gamma}_\zeta$ , and this is a general case. If we set the above points and emphasize the position of the indicators, we can write

$$l_c = (\hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,\mu}\eta^{\mu\nu}, \hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,\mu}\tilde{H}_d^{\mu\nu})$$

With the help of these preliminary remarks, and similar to the relation in 15, we arrive at the definitions

$$l_0 = \hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,\mu}\eta^{\mu\nu}$$

and

$$l_k = \hat{\Gamma}_{\nu,\mu}\tilde{H}_d^{\mu\nu},$$

which are written in the short form

$$\begin{aligned} l_k &= \imath\mathcal{F}_{0k} + \frac{1}{2}n_k^{ij}\mathcal{F}_{ij} \\ &= \imath\mathcal{F}_{0k} + \mathcal{D}_k \end{aligned}$$

The new notation  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$  (Eq.14), is only to visually separate the variable of this part from that of the previous part, i.e.,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ . Therefore, all the previous relationships and their placements are maintained with this transformation. Thus, the relation in 18 will be the equation of motion for the new fields  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ . By entering the quaternion current density and separating the main equations into real and imaginary components, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\imath g^{0\zeta}l_{0;\zeta} - g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{F}_{0s;\zeta} &= J_0, \\ g^{s\zeta}D_{s;\zeta} &= 0, \\ \imath g^{k\zeta}l_{0;\zeta} + g^{0\zeta}\mathcal{F}_{0k;\zeta} - n_{ks}{}^l g^{s\zeta}D_{l;\zeta} &= J_k, \\ g^{0\zeta}D_{k;\zeta} + n_{ks}{}^l g^{s\zeta}\mathcal{F}_{0l;\zeta} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The relationship between the dynamics of the geometric tensor and the sources is clear and obvious. Comparing the results from the previous section, it is clear that the properties of the gauge field strength tensor apply to both components of Riemann's curvature tensor. These performances emphasize that the Christoffel connections have the gauge property. Equations 25 and 27 give the source less equations:

$$\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{0kij}\hat{R}_{ij;k} = 0.$$

and from equation 26

$$\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{l0ij}\hat{R}_{ij;0} + \varepsilon^{li0j}\hat{R}_{0j;i} = 0 \quad (28)$$

Eq.28 represents the Bianchi identities and can be derived from  $\hat{G}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ . For the Bianchi identities, the sub-components of the released space behave independently. But in the second relation, which is independent of the source, the behavior of the second Bianchi identity is intertwined with the basis of the subcomponents. The remaining two relations of the basic equation of motion (Eq.27) are as follows:

$$g^{\mu\zeta}\hat{R}_{\mu\nu;\zeta} = J_\nu, \quad (29)$$

which  $J_\nu$  in Eq.29, still gives the current density as Eq.21. together with  $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{;\mu;\nu} = 0$  and the condition  $J_\nu{}^{;\nu} = 0$ . Besides the gauge condition, the precondition

$$\eta_{\nu\mu}g^{\mu\zeta}l_{0;\zeta} = 0,$$

is also conceivable.

A new tensor,  $G^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$ , can be introduced here:

$$G^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{\kappa\lambda\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\theta\pi}R^{\theta\pi}{}_{\alpha\beta},$$

which gets its special form:

$$G^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{k\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\lambda R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\alpha\beta} + R_{\nu\alpha}{}^{\lambda\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, if we use the equation of motion (Eq.27)

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu;\kappa} &= \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{\kappa\lambda\theta\pi}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\theta\pi;\kappa} = 0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda\theta\pi}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}J^\beta{}_{\theta\pi} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\lambda \mathcal{R}_{;\mu} \\ &\quad + R^{\lambda\alpha}{}_{\nu\alpha;\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$\mathcal{R}$  is the Ricci scalar. As far as we know

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\alpha\lambda\theta\pi}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}J^\beta{}_{\theta\pi} &= -\delta_\alpha^\lambda \det \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\mu^\lambda & \delta_\nu^\lambda & \delta_\beta^\lambda \\ \delta_\mu^\theta & \delta_\nu^\theta & \delta_\beta^\theta \\ \delta_\mu^\pi & \delta_\nu^\pi & \delta_\beta^\pi \end{pmatrix} J^\beta{}_{\theta\pi} \\ &= (4-1)!(-2\delta_\mu^\lambda J_{\nu\beta}^\beta + 2\delta_\nu^\lambda J_{\mu\beta}^\beta - 2\delta_\beta^\lambda J_{\mu\nu}^\beta) \\ &:= \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

so Eq.30 becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\lambda \mathcal{R}_{;\mu} + R^{\lambda\alpha}{}_{\nu\alpha;\mu} + \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = 0, \quad (31)$$

if one now sets  $\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}^\lambda := \mathcal{T}_{\nu;\mu}^\lambda$  and that based on the equation 9,  $-\frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\lambda \mathcal{R}_{;\mu} + R^{\lambda\alpha}{}_{\nu\alpha;\mu} = [\Delta_\mu, \frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\lambda \mathcal{R} + R^{\lambda\alpha}{}_{\nu\alpha}]_*$ , one finds a more completely form of 31,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\delta_\nu^\lambda \mathcal{R} + R^{\lambda\alpha}{}_{\nu\alpha} = -\mathcal{T}_\nu^\lambda + \mathcal{C}_\nu^\lambda$$

certainly

$$\mathcal{C}_{\nu;\mu}^\lambda = 0 \quad (32)$$

is like the famous case  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda\nu} = -\Lambda g_{\lambda\nu}$ . It turns out that  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda\nu} = f_{\lambda\nu}(\mathbf{g})$  (for example  $(e^{\kappa\mathbf{g}})_{\lambda\nu}$ ,  $\mathbf{g}$  is the matrix for the metric).  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda\nu}$ , is a geometrical quantity, not matter. However, it can be considered as general background matter and can be absorbed by the tensor  $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda\nu}$  and become zero. In the case of lower indices,

$$-\frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\beta}g_{\beta\nu}\mathcal{R} + g^{\lambda\beta}R_{\beta\nu\alpha}^{\alpha} = -g^{\lambda\beta}\mathbf{T}_{\beta\nu} + g^{\lambda\beta}\mathcal{C}_{\beta\nu} \quad (33)$$

or

$$\mathbf{R}_{\lambda\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\lambda\nu}\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{C}_{\lambda\nu} = \mathbf{T}_{\lambda\nu} \quad (34)$$

In the last equation, the characters of geometry and matter are separated. The fact that in Einstein's theory of gravitation Einstein's term (in agreement with the covariant derivative) is not the only way to choose  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda\nu}$  is another result of this mechanism. We must also consider some constants that indicate the spacetime constraints to solve the equation of gravity. It is a question of finding the constant from equation 34, because it is not a unique quantity. For any kind of principal change in the constant of equation 34 (constant in agreement with covariant derivative), we naturally enter a new universe. Among the possible options for the constant  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda\nu}$ , equation 34 makes a perturbation theorem. What can be obtained, however, is the non-uniqueness of Einstein's famous theorem.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

Here, we have used a quaternion mechanism to form new vectors. We have given  $q$ -vectors as physical quantities-such as events in the manifold of spacetime-corresponding to the position of the Lorentz indices and coupled to the generators of quaternion algebra. By introducing the operator  $\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu}$  and the mapping "ast" (\*-product), we then succeeded in obtaining the theory of gauge fields in a general spacetime. If we introduce the new antisymmetric tensor  $n_k^{ij}$  and use the equations of gauge theory motion, we obtain the Bianchi identities. We have also shown that the Bianchi identities are physical relations, not mathematical structures. As we proceeded, we replaced the gauge fields with the Christoffel coefficients and followed the improved quaternion mechanism to access the theory of gravity. Our calculations have shown that with this substitution and with more degrees of freedom for Riemann's curvature tensor, the gravitation theory is still followed and that the Einstein constant term is a necessity for the equation of motion. The results of gravity are much higher than those of electrodynamic theory, and the reason for this difference is Riemann's curvature four-component tensor. As for the Bianchi identities in gravity, we have concluded that the identities are part of the equations of motion. Perhaps the most important result after the derivation of the

equation of gravity is the formalization of the constant term (as Einstein's constant term) in the Einstein equation. We have also established that the constant term is exclusively geometric. Having decomposed the four-component Riemann's curvature tensor into one with two component subsections, another result of this work is to emphasize the independent behavior of the two component subsections of the curvature tensor. The result of the present work is a commentary on [27, 28] and many other references and matches the physical data with the solutions of the Einstein equation, with many corrections to the Einstein theory of gravity using the unprincipled modification approach to the Einstein equation (modifications that do not apply to equation 32). By separating the components of the Riemann's curvature tensor, we can also develop a new approach to quantum gravity. The conclusion of this article is that any type of manipulation in Einstein's equation is not correct and must be compatible with the condition, "Covariant derivative is equal to zero".

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that, proving gravitational issues, such as dark matter, Einstein's equation can't take any additional terms. Modifying Einstein's equation is possible only by vanishing covariant derivative terms.

#### VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Shahrekord University for providing the research grant.

#### VII. APPENDIX

After defining the Christoffel coefficients  $\Gamma_{\kappa\beta}^{\alpha}$ , according to assumption 3 and using the "bra-ket" notation, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}^{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\beta,\kappa} + \mathbf{g}_{\kappa\mu,\beta} - \mathbf{g}_{\beta\kappa,\mu}) \\ &= g^{\mu\alpha}(g_{\mu\beta,\kappa} + g_{\kappa\mu,\beta} - g_{\beta\kappa,\mu})e_{\alpha}e_{\mu} \times e^{\mu}e^{\kappa}e^{\beta} \\ &= \Gamma_{\kappa\beta}^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}e^{\kappa}e^{\beta} = e_{\alpha}(\Gamma_{\kappa\beta}^{\alpha}e^{\kappa})e^{\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

this occurs when  $\kappa$  is selected. Actually, to define a gamma operator in the space of indices, we need to choose either  $\kappa$  or  $\beta$ . Now, if we choose the  $\hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa}$  operator, then we do not have summation on  $\kappa$  while there is summation on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In this way, we will have

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa} = (\Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^{\alpha})|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha| := \hat{\Gamma}_{\kappa} \quad (36)$$

#### References

- 
- [1] J. M. Overduin, P. S. Wesson, *Physics Report* **283**, (1997) 303-378
- [2] G. Atondo-Rubio, J.A. Nieto, L. Ruiz, and J. Silvas, *Revisia Mexicana De Fizica* **54**, 3 (2008) 188–193
- [3] P. S. Wesson, *Five-dimensional physics: classical and quantum consequences of Kaluza-Klein Cosmology*, (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2006)
- [4] F. Cabral, F. S. N. Lobo, *Foundations of Physics* **47**, 2 (2017) 208-228
- [5] Hubert F. M. Goenner, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **7**, 2 (2004)
- [6] F. Cabral, F. S. N. Lobo, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **132**, (2017)
- [7] P. S. Wesson, *Spacetime Matter, Modern Kaluza–Klein Theory*, (World Scientific Pub Co Inc. 1999)
- [8] David J. gross, M. J. Perry, *Nucl. Phys. B* **226**, 1 (1983) 29-48
- [9] P. S. Wesson, J. Ponce de Leon, *Astron. Astrophys.* **294**, (1995) 1-7
- [10] L. Williams, 48th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE **AIAA** (2012) 3916
- [11] P. S. Wesson, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **24**, 1 (2015) 1530001
- [12] Herbert W. Hamber, *Quantum Gravitation*, (Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2009)
- [13] C. Kiefer, *Quantum Gravity*, (Oxford University Press Inc. New York 2007)
- [14] G. Calcagni, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **38**, 16 (2021) 165005 and, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **38**, 16 (2021) 165006
- [15] G. Calcagni, M. Ronco, *Nucl. Phys. B* **923**, (2017) 144-167
- [16] G. Calcagni, D. Rodriguez Fernandez, M. Ronco, *Eur. Phys. J. C. Part Fields* **77**, 5 (2017) 335.
- [17] C. Rovelli, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **1**, 1 (1998)
- [18] A. Ashtekar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 18 (1986) 2244–2247
- [19] A. Ashtekar, C. Rovelli, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 5 (1992) 1121–1150 and A. Ashtekar, C. Rovelli, L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 6 (1991) 1740–1755
- [20] J. Weber, *General Relativity and Gravitational waves*, (New York: Dover Publications, INC. 1961)
- [21] C. W. Misner, Kip S. Throne, and John Archibal Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (San Fransisco: W. H. Freeman and Company, 1973)
- [22] Robert M. Wald, *General Relativity*, (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1984)
- [23] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*, (New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1972)
- [24] R. D’inverno, *Introducing Einstein’s Relativity*, (New York: Oxford University Press Inc. 1998)
- [25] M. Ludvigsen, *General Relativity*, (Cambridge University Press, 2004)
- [26] Gary T. Horowitz, Robert M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 2 (1978)
- [27] L. Parisi, R. Canonico, *Journal of Geometry and Symmetry in Physics (JGSP)* **22**, (2011) 51–65
- [28] A. Y. Abdelmohssin Faisal, *Progress in Physics* **14**, (Printed in the United States of America: 1-4 2018)
- [29] Sangwha-Yi, *International Journal of Advanced Research in Physical Science (IJARPS)* **8**, 9 (2021) PP 5-7
- [30] A. Waser, *Quaternions in electrodynamics*, On-line: [http://www. aw-verlag](http://www.aw-verlag.com) (2000)
- [31] M. J. Walker, *American Journal of Physics* **24**, (1956) 515-522
- [32] M. E. Kansu, M. Tanish, and S. Demir, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* (2020) 135:187
- [33] S. De Leoy, P. Rotelli, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **22**, (1996) 1137–1150
- [34] A. I. Arbab, *Optik, International Journal for Light and Electron Optics*, **241** (2021) 167009
- [35] L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. Let.* **44**, 23 (1980)
- [36] L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 8 (1980)
- [37] J. Lindgren, J. Liukkonen, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1956**, (2021) 012017
- [38] A. I. Arbab, *Optik* **247**, (2021) 167940
- [39] C. R.D. Bunney, G. Gradoni, *IEEE Ant. Propagation Magazine* **64**, 3 (2022)