

THE QUANTUM RABI MODEL: TOWARDS BRAAK'S CONJECTURE

ZEEV RUDNICK

ABSTRACT. We establish a density one version of Braak's conjecture on the fine structure of the spectrum of the quantum Rabi model, as well as a recent conjecture of Braak, Nguyen, Reyes-Bustos and Wakayama on the nearest neighbor spacings of the spectrum. The proof uses a three-term asymptotic expansion for large eigenvalues due to Boutet de Monvel and Zielinski, and a number theoretic argument from uniform distribution theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we address a conjecture of Braak [1] about the fine structure of the spectrum of the quantum Rabi model (QRM), a fundamental model of light-matter interaction, which describes the interaction between a two-level atom (qubit) coupled to a quantized, single-mode harmonic oscillator, see the survey [7].

The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$H = \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \Delta \sigma_z + g \sigma_x (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^\dagger)$$

where $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are the Pauli matrices of the two-level system, assumed to have level splitting 2Δ ; \mathbf{a}^\dagger and \mathbf{a} are the creation and annihilation operators of the harmonic oscillator with frequency set to be unity; and $g > 0$ measures the strength of the coupling between the systems.

The Rabi Hamiltonian commutes with a parity operator $P = (-1)^{\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}} \sigma_z$, and hence the Hilbert space of states decomposes into the ± 1 -eigenspaces of P which are preserved by H . The Rabi model Hamiltonian in each of the parity eigenspaces can be described by the Jacobi matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_\pm(0) & a(1) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ a(1) & d_\pm(1) & a(2) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & a(2) & d_\pm(2) & a(3) & \dots \\ \dots & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Date: May 7, 2024.

with

$$d_{\pm}(k) = k \pm (-1)^k \Delta, \quad a(k) = g\sqrt{k}.$$

The spectrum of H breaks up into a union of two parity classes. The spectrum in each parity class is non-degenerate, and this allows a unique labeling of the corresponding eigenvalues in increasing order

$$E_1^+ < E_2^+ < \dots < E_n^+ < \dots$$

and likewise for the negative parity class $\{E_n^-\}$.

The eigenvalues in each parity class satisfy $E_n^{\pm} = n - g^2 + o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [6, 8], so that for n sufficiently large, each interval $[n, n+1]$ contains at most 4 shifted eigenvalues $E_n^{\pm} + g^2$. Braak [1] conjectured that

Conjecture 1.1 (Braak’s G-conjecture). *For a given parity class, all intervals $[n, n+1]$ contains at most two shifted eigenvalues, two intervals containing no shifted eigenvalues are not adjacent, and two intervals containing two shifted eigenvalues are also not adjacent.*

In this note, we show that Braak’s conjecture holds for “almost all” n , in the following sense:

Theorem 1.2. *Fix $\Delta > 0$ and $g > 0$. For all but at most $O(N^{3/4+o(1)})$ values of $n \leq N$, the interval $(n, n+1)$ contains exactly two shifted eigenvalues of one of the parity classes, and none for the other parity class, while the adjacent intervals $(n-1, n)$ and $(n+1, n+2)$ contain exactly two eigenvalues of the other parity class and none of the first parity class. Moreover, neither n nor $n \pm 1$ are shifted eigenvalues.*

In particular, almost all intervals $[n, n+1]$ contain exactly two elements of the shifted spectrum.

Concerning the last assertion, there are special choices of the parameters g and Δ for which there are “exceptional” eigenvalues E such that $E + g^2$ is an integer, see [7, §3.2] and the references therein, and our theorem excludes $n - g^2$ being one of these eigenvalues for almost all n .

An application of Theorem 1.2 is to prove a recent conjecture of Braak, Nguyen, Reyes-Bustos and Wakayama [2] on the nearest neighbor spacings of the full spectrum. Denote by $\{E_k\}$ the ordered eigenvalues of H of both parity classes:

$$E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots$$

In [2], the nearest neighbor spacings $\delta_n := E_{n+1} - E_n$ were classified into three types: *positive* if both E_n, E_{n+1} fell into the positive parity class, *negative* if both fell into the negative parity class, and *mixed* if

one of the pair was positive and one negative. Based on numerical observation, it was conjectured [2, eq 14] that

Conjecture 1.3 (Spacings conjecture for the QRM). *The frequencies of the three different types of nearest neighbor spacings are $1/4, 1/4, 1/2$, respectively.*

This clearly follows from the full conjecture of Braak, but since we establish that Braak's conjecture holds for 100% of n 's, we have also established Conjecture 1.3.

Finally, we examine the value distribution of the normalized deviations

$$\delta_n^\pm := n^{1/4} (E_n^\pm - (n - g^2)).$$

As an application of the method of proof of Theorem 1.2, we show that the deviations in each parity class satisfy an arcsine law:

Theorem 1.4. *For any subinterval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [-\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}}, \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}}]$, we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \#\{n \leq N : \delta_n^\pm \in [\alpha, \beta]\} = \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{dy}{\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi g}{\Delta^2} - y^2}}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 starts with an approximation to the eigenvalues due to Boutet de Monvel and Zielinski [3] and concludes with a number-theoretic argument.

Acknowledgement: I thank Daniel Braak, Eduard Ianovich and Masato Wakayama for helpful discussions, and a referee for corrections to an earlier version of the paper.

This research was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 786758).

2. THE CASE OF GOOD n 'S

Boutet de Monvel and Zielinski [3] proved a three term expansion for the eigenvalues in each parity class:

$$(1) \quad E_n^\pm = n - g^2 \mp \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}} \frac{(-1)^n \cos(\theta_n)}{n^{1/4}} + O(n^{-1/2+o(1)}),$$

where

$$\theta_n = 4g\sqrt{n} - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

(this approximation was apparently proposed in [4], see also [8]).

Fix $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ small and let $N \gg 1$. We say that $n \in [N/2, N]$ is “good” if

$$|\cos(\theta_n)| > N^{-1/4+\delta}.$$

Otherwise we say that n is “bad”.

Let $x_n^\pm = E_n^\pm + g^2$ be the shifted eigenvalues, and denote by $\mathcal{X}^\pm = \{x_n^\pm\}$ the shifted spectra in each parity class.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N \geq N_0(g, \Delta)$ be sufficiently large. If $n \in [N/2, N]$ is “good” then $n, n \pm 1$ are not shifted eigenvalues and either*

i) the interval $(n, n+1)$ contains both x_n^- and x_{n+1}^- : $(n, n+1) \cap \mathcal{X}^- = \{x_n^-, x_{n+1}^-\}$, and no elements of \mathcal{X}^+ while the intervals $(n-1, n)$ and $(n+1, n+2)$ contain no elements of \mathcal{X}^- , $(n-1, n)$ contains both x_{n-1}^+ and x_n^+ , while $(n+1, n+2)$ contains both x_{n+1}^+ and x_{n+2}^+ .

or

ii) Otherwise, the same holds with the roles of \mathcal{X}^- and \mathcal{X}^+ reversed.

Proof. Let $N \geq N_0(g, \Delta)$ be large, and take $n \in [N/2, N]$. Then

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)$$

since

$$\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n = 4g\sqrt{n+1} - 4g\sqrt{n} = \frac{4g}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} \sim \frac{2g}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Hence

$$\cos(\theta_{n+1}) = \cos(\theta_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right),$$

and likewise

$$\cos(\theta_{n-1}) = \cos(\theta_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right).$$

and the same holds for $\cos(\theta_{n\pm 2})$.

Therefore, for “good” n , if $\cos(\theta_n) > N^{-1/4+\delta}$ then $\cos(\theta_{n\pm 1}), \cos(\theta_{n\pm 2}) > \frac{1}{2}N^{-1/4+\delta}$ and in particular have the same sign as $\cos(\theta_n)$, and an analogous statement holds true if $\cos(\theta_n) < -N^{-1/4+\delta}$.

Let’s assume that $(-1)^n \cos(\theta_n) > N^{-1/4+\delta}$. Then

$$x_n^- - n = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi}g} \frac{(-1)^n \cos(\theta_n)}{n^{1/4}} + O(n^{-1/2+o(1)}) > \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi}g} N^{-1/4+\delta} > 0$$

so that $x_n^- \in (n, n+1)$. Moreover,

$$(-1)^{n+1} \cos(\theta_{n\pm 1}) = -(-1)^n \cos(\theta_{n\pm 1}) < -\frac{1}{2}N^{-1/4+\delta} < 0$$

because $\cos(\theta_{n\pm 1})$ has the same sign and roughly the same size as $\cos(\theta_n)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1}^- - (n+1) &= \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \cos(\theta_{n+1})}{n^{1/4}} + O(n^{-1/2+o(1)}) \\ &< -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}} N^{-1/2+\delta} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $x_{n+1}^- \in (n, n+1)$. Likewise $x_{n-1}^- < n-1$ so that $x_{n-1}^- \in (n-2, n-1)$, and $x_{n+2}^- \in (n+2, n+3)$, $x_{n-2}^- \in (n-2, n-1)$. Thus

$$\mathcal{X}^- \cap (n, n+1) = \{x_n^-, x_{n+1}^-\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}^- \cap (n-1, n) = \emptyset = \mathcal{X}^- \cap (n+1, n+2)$$

in this case. Furthermore, for the other parity class, we have

$$x_n^+ - n = -\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}} \frac{(-1)^n \cos(\theta_n)}{n^{1/4}} + O(n^{-1/2+o(1)}) < -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}} N^{-1/2+\delta} < 0$$

so that $x_n^+ \in (n-1, n)$, and arguing as above we see that $x_{n+1}^+, x_{n+2}^+ \in (n+1, n+2)$ and $x_{n-1}^+, x_{n-2}^+ \in (n-1, n)$, so that

$$\mathcal{X}^+ \cap (n-1, n) = \{x_{n-2}^+, x_{n-1}^+\}, \quad \mathcal{X}^+ \cap (n+1, n+2) = \{x_{n+1}^+, x_{n+2}^+\}$$

and $\mathcal{X}^+ \cap (n, n+1) = \emptyset$.

If $(-1)^n \cos(\theta_n) < -N^{-1/4+\delta}$ then we reverse the roles of the parity classes. \square

3. BOUNDING THE EXCEPTIONAL SET

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need to bound the number of “bad” $n \in [N/2, N]$, that is $|\cos(\theta_n)| < N^{-1/4+\delta}$, which follows from

$$\theta_n \bmod \pi \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - N^{-1/4+\delta}, \frac{\pi}{2} + N^{-1/4+\delta} \right]$$

or from

$$\left(\left(\frac{4g}{\pi} \sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right) \in \left[-\frac{N^{-1/4+\delta}}{\pi}, \frac{N^{-1/4+\delta}}{\pi} \right]$$

where $((x)) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor \in [0, 1)$ denotes the fractional part.

An elementary argument due to Fejér (1920) (see e.g. [5, Chapter 1 §2]) shows that for any $a > 0$, and any shift $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, for suitable $c = c(a, \gamma) > 0$, for $N \gg 1$, for any interval $[\alpha, \beta] \in [0, 1]$,

$$\left| \# \{n \in [N/2, N] : ((a\sqrt{n} + \gamma)) \in [\alpha, \beta]\} - (\beta - \alpha) \frac{N}{2} \right| \leq c\sqrt{N}.$$

and in particular, for an interval of length $> N^{-1/2+o(1)}$ the number of fractional parts which fall into that interval is asymptotically $N/2$ times the length of that interval. In our case, the length of the interval

is $\frac{2}{\pi}N^{-1/4+\delta}$ and we obtain that the number of “bad” $n \in [N/2, N]$ is about $N^{3/4+\delta}$, as claimed.

For the readers’ benefit, we recall Fejér’s argument for the case of the fractional parts of \sqrt{n} . If $k^2 \leq n < (k+1)^2$ then $((\sqrt{n})) = \sqrt{n} - k$, and then $((\sqrt{n})) \in [\alpha, \beta]$ means $\alpha \leq \sqrt{n} - k \leq \beta$ or $(k+\alpha)^2 \leq n \leq (k+\beta)^2$, so that n lies in an interval of length $2k(\beta - \alpha) + O(1)$. Summing over k we see that the number of $n \in [N/2, N]$ with $((\sqrt{n})) \in [\alpha, \beta]$ is

$$\sum_{\sqrt{N/2} \leq k < \sqrt{N}} \{2k(\beta - \alpha) + O(1)\} = (\beta - \alpha) \frac{N}{2} + O(\sqrt{N}).$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Proof. We want to count $\#\{n \leq N : \delta_n^- \in [\alpha, \beta]\}$. According to (1), we have

$$\delta_n^- = C(-1)^n \cos\left(4g\sqrt{n} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(n^{-1/4+o(1)})$$

with

$$C = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2\pi g}}.$$

For the purpose of understanding the distribution of δ_n^- , we may ignore the remainder term.

Writing $\varphi_n = \frac{2g}{\pi}\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{8}$, we observe that $\cos\left(4g\sqrt{n} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \cos(2\pi\varphi_n)$ depends only on the fractional part $((\varphi_n)) \in [0, 1)$ of φ_n .

We split the range $n \in [1, N]$ into even and odd n ’s. First take $n = 2m$ even. Then $\delta_{2m}^- \in [\alpha, \beta]$ is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\alpha} \leq \cos(2\pi\varphi_{2m}) \leq \widehat{\beta}$$

where

$$\widehat{\alpha} := \frac{\alpha}{C}, \quad \widehat{\beta} := \frac{\beta}{C}.$$

Writing $\mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}$ for the indicator function of the interval $[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]$, we have

$$\#\{2m \leq N : \delta_{2m}^- \in [\alpha, \beta]\} = \sum_{m=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}(\cos(2\pi\varphi_{2m})).$$

Now the function $\mapsto \mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}(\cos(2\pi x))$ is Riemann integrable, and from uniform distribution of the fractional parts of φ_{2m} (Fejér’s theorem) it follows that (see e.g. [5, Chapter 1, §1])

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N/2} \sum_{m=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}(\cos(2\pi\varphi_{2m})) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}(\cos(2\pi x)) dx.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}(\cos(2\pi x)) dx &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \mathbf{1}_{[\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}]}(\cos(y)) dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\widehat{\alpha}}^{\widehat{\beta}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{C dy}{\sqrt{1-C^2 y^2}} = \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{dy}{\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi g}{\Delta^2} - y^2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 2m \leq N : \delta_{2m}^- \in [\alpha, \beta] \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{dy}{\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi g}{\Delta^2} - y^2}}.$$

The same considerations are valid in the case that $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, except that we require

$$\widehat{\alpha} \leq -\cos(2\pi \varphi_{2m}) \leq \widehat{\beta},$$

leading to the integral

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{[-\widehat{\beta}, -\widehat{\alpha}]}(\cos(2\pi x)) dx$$

which gives the same result.

Altogether we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ \delta_n^- \in [\alpha, \beta] \right\} = \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{dy}{\pi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi g}{\Delta^2} - y^2}}.$$

The argument for δ_n^+ is identical. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Braak, D. Integrability of the Rabi Model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107, 100401 (2011).
- [2] Braak, D.; Nguyen, T.H.L.; Reyes-Bustos, C. and Wakayama, M. Spacing distribution for quantum Rabi models. arXiv:2310.09811 [math-ph]
- [3] Boutet de Monvel, A. and Zielinski, L. Oscillatory behavior of large eigenvalues in quantum Rabi models. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2021), no.7, 5155–5213.
- [4] Feranchuk I. D.; Komarov L. I. and Ulyanekov, A. P. Two-level system in a one-mode quantum field: numerical solution on the basis of the operator method, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 29 (1996) no. 14, 4035–4047.
- [5] Kuipers, L. and Niederreiter, H. Uniform distribution of sequences. *Pure Appl. Math.* Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974.
- [6] Tur, E. A. Jaynes–Cummings model without rotating wave approximation. Asymptotics of eigenvalues. (2002): arXiv:math-ph/0211055: 12 pp.

- [7] Xie, Q.; Zhong, H.; Batchelor, M. T. and Lee, C. The quantum Rabi model: solution and dynamics. *J. Phys. A* 50 (2017), no. 11, 113001, 40 pp.
- [8] Yanovich, E. A. Asymptotics of eigenvalues of an energy operator in a problem of quantum physics. In *Operator Methods in Mathematical Physics (OTAMP 2010, Bedlewo)*, 165–77. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 227. Basel: Birkhauser/Springer Basel AG, 2013.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV
69978, ISRAEL

Email address: `rudnick@tauex.tau.ac.il`