Ilya Pavlyukevich^{*} and Georgiy Shevchenko[†]

May 7, 2024

Abstract

In our paper [Bernoulli 26(2), 2020, 1381–1409], we found all strong Markov solutions that spend zero time at 0 of the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation $dX = |X|^{\alpha} \circ dB$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$. These solutions have the form $X_t^{\theta} = F(B_t^{\theta})$, where $F(x) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}|x|^{1/(1-\alpha)} \operatorname{sign} x$ and B^{θ} is the skew Brownian motion with skewness parameter $\theta \in [-1,1]$ starting at $F^{-1}(X_0)$. In this paper we show how an addition of small external additive noise εW restores uniqueness. In the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we recover heterogeneous diffusion corresponding to the physically symmetric case $\theta = 0$.

Keywords: Generalized Itô's formula, heterogeneous diffusion process, local time, non-uniqueness, selection problem, singular stochastic differential equation, skew Brownian motion, Stratonovich integral.

MSC 2010 subject classification: Primary 60H10; secondary 60J55, 60J60.

1 Introduction

In Cherstvy et al. (2013), the authors considered the so-called *heterogeneous* diffusion process defined as a solution of the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t |X_s|^\alpha \circ \mathrm{d}B_s \tag{1.1}$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and B being a standard Brownian motion. It is always assumed that $|x|^{\alpha} = |x|^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{I}(x \neq 0)$, so that $|0|^{\alpha} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. This equation can be seen as a Stratonovich version of the famous diffusion

$$X_t^G = x + \int_0^t |X_s^G|^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}B_s \tag{1.2}$$

firstly studied by Girsanov (1962). It is well-known that equation (1.2) has a unique strong solution for $\alpha \ge 1/2$ and has infinitely many solutions for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. A complete analysis of equation (1.2) can be found in Chapter 5 from Cherny and Engelbert (2005).

It is clear that in the Stratonovich setting, the presence of the irregular point $\{0\}$ also affects the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1).

The physicists' approach to (1.1) exploited in the papers by Cherstvy et al. (2013) and Sandev et al. (2022) is the solution by regularization. Indeed, let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\{\sigma_{\varepsilon}\}$ be a family of smooth functions with integrable derivatives such that $\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x) > 0$ and $|\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x) - |x|^{\alpha}| \to 0$ uniformly on compacts as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Consider a regularized equation $dZ_t^{\varepsilon} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \circ dB_t$ that can be equivalently written in the Itô form as

$$dZ_t^{\varepsilon} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) dB_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \sigma_{\varepsilon}'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) dt, \quad Z_0^{\varepsilon} = x.$$
(1.3)

^{*}Institut für Stochastik, Friedrich–Schiller–Universität Jena, Ernst–Abbe–Platz 2, 07743 Jena, Germany; ilya.pavlyukevich@uni-jena.de

[†]Kyiv School of Economics, Mykoly Shpaka 3, Kyiv 03113, Ukraine; gshevchenko@kse.org.ua



Figure 1: The function $\sigma(x) = |x|^{\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sqrt{|x|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}$ (left) and $\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)$ defined in (1.10) (right).

There exists a unique strong solution Z^{ε} to (1.3) that can be found explicitly with the help of the well-known Lamperti method. Indeed, let

$$F_{\varepsilon}(x) := \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.4)

The function F_{ε} is monotonically increasing and C^1 -smooth with locally integrable second derivative. The Itô formula with generalized derivatives from (Krylov, 1980, Chapter 2, Section 10) is applicable and yields

$$F_{\varepsilon}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) = F_{\varepsilon}(x) + B_t \tag{1.5}$$

and hence

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(B_t + F_{\varepsilon}(x)).$$
(1.6)

Now one can argue that upon passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the processes Z^{ε} converge to the solution X of the original SDE (1.1). Unfortunately, the rigorous justification of this intuitively transparent procedure turns out to be tricky. Let us illustrate this by an example.

Example 1.1. We assume that $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ and for brevity we set the initial value x = 0. We consider the family $\{\sigma_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]}$ of the form

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sqrt{|x|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.7)

see Figure 1 (left).

Then, a straightforward calculation yields that

$$F_{\varepsilon}(x) \to F_0(x) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha} |x|^{1-\alpha} \operatorname{sign} x,$$

$$F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x) \to F_0^{-1}(x) := |(1-\alpha)x|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \operatorname{sign} x,$$
(1.8)

so that the limit process

$$X_t^0 := F_0^{-1}(B_t) \tag{1.9}$$

is a candidate for a solution to (1.1)

On the other hand, let $A, B \ge 0$ and let

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon, & x \in [-A\varepsilon, B\varepsilon], \\ |x - B\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{1/\alpha}|^{\alpha}, & x > B\varepsilon, \\ |x + A\varepsilon - \varepsilon^{1/\alpha}|^{\alpha}, & x < -A\varepsilon, \end{cases}$$
(1.10)

see Figure 1 (right). Applying the Lamperti transformation and passing to the limit as above we find that

$$F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x) \to F_{A,B}^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in [A, B], \\ -|(1-\alpha)(x+A)|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & x < -A, \\ |(1-\alpha)(x-B)|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & x > B. \end{cases}$$
(1.11)

Hence another candidate for the solution is the process

$$X_t^{A,B} := F_{A,B}^{-1}(B_t).$$
(1.12)

Note that such a process $X^{A,B}$ spends positive time at zero.

It turns out that the processes $X^{\bar{0}}$ and $X^{A,B}$ are indeed strong solutions of the equation (1.1) for $\alpha \in (-1,1)$. This follows by a straightforward verification with the help of the generalized Itô formula by Föllmer et al. (1995).

Excluding solutions spending positive time at zero as non-physical does not always guarantee uniqueness. Indeed, in our previous paper (Pavlyukevich and Shevchenko (2020)), the following theorem was proven.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.5, Pavlyukevich and Shevchenko (2020)). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in [-1, 1]$, and let B^{θ} be a skew Brownian motion that is a unique strong solution of the SDE

$$B_t^{\theta} = F_0^{-1}(x) + B_t + \theta L_t^0(B^{\theta}), \quad t \ge 0,$$
(1.13)

 $L^0(B^{\theta})$ being the symmetric local time of B^{θ} at zero.

1. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Then $X_t^{\theta} = F_0(B_t^{\theta})$ is a strong solution of (1.1) which is a homogeneous strong Markov process spending zero time at 0.

The process X^{θ} is the unique strong solution of (1.1) which is a homogeneous strong Markov process spending zero time at 0 and such that

$$\mathbf{P}(X_t^{\theta} \ge 0 \mid X_0 = 0) = \frac{1+\theta}{2}, \quad t > 0.$$

2. Let $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$. Then $X_t^0 = F(B_t^0)$ is the unique strong solution of (1.1) which is a homogeneous strong Markov process spending zero time at 0.

In other words, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the equation (1.1) is underdetermined even in the class of homogeneous strong Markov processes spending zero time at 0. In this respect, equation (1.1) can be seen as a stochastic counterpart of the deterministic non-Lipschitzian equation $\dot{x}_t = |x_t|^{\alpha}$ that has infinitely many solutions if started at $x \leq 0$.

In general, these solutions spend positive time at 0. However one can always single out the unique maximal solution spending zero time at 0. Such a non-uniqueness feature of a ODE with continuous coefficients is known as the Peano phenomenon. It is also known that uniqueness, or singling out of physically meaningful solutions spending zero time at 0, can be restored by means of the *regularization by noise*, studied for the first time by Bafico and Baldi (1982) and Veretennikov (1983). In particular Theorem 5.1 in Bafico and Baldi (1982) implies that solutions of the SDE

$$x_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t |x_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon W_t \tag{1.14}$$

driven by a small Brownian motion εW weakly converge to the maximal deterministic solution

$$x_t^*(x) = \begin{cases} \left((1-\alpha)t + x^{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, & x > 0, \\ -\left(|x|^{1-\alpha} - (1-\alpha)t \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}_+ + \left((1-\alpha)t - |x|^{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}_+, & x \le 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.15)

that spends zero time at 0. More results on the stochastic Peano phenomenon can be found in the works by Delarue and Flandoli (2014), Trevisan (2013), Pilipenko and Proske (2018), Pilipenko and Pavlyukevich (2020).

The goal of this paper is to apply the "regularization" procedure to the SDE (1.1), i.e. to consider the perturbed SDE

$$X_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t |X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}B_s + \varepsilon W_t \tag{1.16}$$

in the presence of another independent Brownian motion W. From the physical point of view, the Brownian motion W with vanishing amplitude ε represents the *ambient environmental noise* whereas the Brownian

motion B is responsible for the diffusive behaviour of the "heavy" particle X^{ε} in the non-homogeneous medium determined by the non-linear "temperature profile" $x \mapsto |x|^{\alpha}$.

The qualitative result of the present paper is that solutions of the regularized equation (1.16) converge to the *benchmark* solution

$$X_t^0 = F_0^{-1}(B_t) = \left| (1-\alpha)B_t + |x|^{1-\alpha}\operatorname{sign} x \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}\operatorname{sign} \left((1-\alpha)B_t + |x|^{1-\alpha}\operatorname{sign} x \right)$$
(1.17)

that spends zero time at 0 and has no "asymmetry" at the origin.

This result is a manifestation of the "selection" procedure in the SDE case. In the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the regularized solution X^{ε} always "selects" the natural solution (1.17) among all possible solutions of (1.1) that may have asymmetric behaviour at 0, stay positive time at zero, or be non-Markovian, etc.

2 Preliminaries and the main result

Throughout the article, we work on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbf{P})$, i.e. a complete probability space with a filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}$ satisfying the standard assumptions. The process $(B, W) = (B_t, W_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is a standard continuous two-dimensional Brownian motion on this stochastic basis.

First we briefly recall definitions related to stochastic integration. More details may be found in Protter (2004).

The main mode of convergence considered here is the uniform convergence on compacts in probability (the u.c.p. convergence for short): a sequence $X^n = (X_t^n)_{t \ge 0}$, $n \ge 1$, of stochastic processes converges to $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ in u.c.p. if for any $t \ge 0$

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |X_s^n - X_s| \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$
(2.1)

Let a sequence of (deterministic) partitions $D_n = \{0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < t_2^n < \cdots\} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots\}$ of $[0, \infty), n \ge 1$, be such that for each $t \ge 0$ the number of points in each interval [0, t] is finite, and the mesh $\|D_n\| := \sup_{k\ge 1} |t_k^n - t_{k-1}^n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. A continuous stochastic process X has quadratic variation [X] along the sequence $\{D_n\}_{n\ge 1}$ if the limit

$$[X]_t := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{t_k \in D_n, t_k < t} |X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}|^2$$

exists in the u.c.p. sense. Similarly, the quadratic covariation [X, Y] of two continuous stochastic processes X and Y is defined as a limit in u.c.p.

$$[X,Y]_t := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{t_k \in D_n, t_k < t} (X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}) (Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_k}).$$

When X and Y are semimartingales, the quadratic variations [X], [Y] and the quadratic covariation [X, Y] exist, moreover, they have bounded variation on any finite interval.

Further, we define the Itô integral as a limit in u.c.p.

$$\int_{0}^{t} X_{s} \, \mathrm{d}Y_{s} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} X_{t_{k}} (Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_{k}})$$

and the Stratonovich (symmetric) integral as a limit in u.c.p.

$$\int_{0}^{t} X_{s} \circ dY_{s} = \int_{0}^{t} X_{s} dY_{s} + \frac{1}{2} [X, Y]_{t}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} \frac{1}{2} (X_{t_{k+1}} + X_{t_{k}}) (Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_{k}}),$$

provided that both the Itô integral and the quadratic variation exists. Again, when both X and Y are continuous semimartingales, both integrals exists, and the convergence holds in u.c.p.

We recall that a continuous semimartingale X is an Itô semimartingale if its semimartingale characteristics are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, see, e.g., Definition 2.1.1 in Jacod and Protter (2012) and Aït-Sahalia and Jacod (2018).

In this paper, we define

$$\operatorname{sign} x := \begin{cases} -1, \ x < 0, \\ 0, \ x = 0, \\ 1, \ x > 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

and for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$|x|^{\alpha} := \begin{cases} |x|^{\alpha}, \ x \neq 0, \\ 0, \ x = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

We also denote

$$(x)^{\alpha} := |x|^{\alpha} \operatorname{sign} x. \tag{2.4}$$

Throughout the article, C will be used to denote a generic constant, whose concrete value is not important and may change between lines.

First we give the intuition that will lead to the main result. Although the function $x \mapsto |x|^{\alpha}$ is not smooth, let us formally rewrite equation (1.16) in the Itô form with the noise induced drift in the spirit of the formula (1.3). Thus we obtain another SDE

$$Y_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t |Y_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}B_s + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t (Y_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon W_t.$$
(2.5)

Note that the SDEs (1.16) and (2.5) are of different nature and do not have to be equivalent.

Assume now that Y^{ε} is the solution of (2.5). Then it is a Markov process with the generator

$$A^{\varepsilon}f(y) = \frac{|y|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}{2}f''(y) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(y)^{2\alpha - 1}f(y), \quad f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}).$$

$$(2.6)$$

However, A^{ε} is also the generator of the diffusion

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t \sqrt{|Z_s^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2} \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{B}_s + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t (Z_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= x + \int_0^t \sqrt{|Z_s^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2} \circ \mathrm{d}\widetilde{B}_s$$
(2.7)

driven by some other Brownian motion \tilde{B} . The solution to equation (2.7) has been determined explicitly in (1.6) as $Z_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\tilde{B}_t + F_{\varepsilon}(x))$, and hence we get that

$$Y_t^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\widetilde{B}_t + F_{\varepsilon}(x)), \quad t \ge 0.$$
(2.8)

It is clear that Z^{ε} , and also Y^{ε} , converges in law to the benchmark solution X^0 . The same convergence will also hold for solutions X^{ε} of the perturbed Stratonovich equation (1.1) if one establishes an equivalence between the equations (1.1) and (2.5).

Now let us turn to equations (1.1) and (1.16). The concept of strong solution for these equations is defined in a standard manner.

Definition 2.1. A strong solution to (1.16) is a continuous stochastic process X^{ε} such that

- 1. X^{ε} is adapted to the augmented natural filtration of (B, W);
- 2. for any $t \ge 0$, the integral $\int_0^t |X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} dB_s$ and the quadratic covariation $[|X^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]_t$ exist;
- 3. for any $t \ge 0$, equation (1.16) holds **P**-a.s.

Definition 2.2. A strong solution to (2.5) is a continuous stochastic process Y^{ε} such that

- 1. Y^{ε} is adapted to the augmented natural filtration of (B, W);
- 2. for any $t \ge 0$, the integrals $\int_0^t |Y_s^\varepsilon|^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}B_s$ and $\int_0^t (Y_s^\varepsilon)^{2\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}s$ exist;
- 3. for any $t \ge 0$, equation (2.5) holds **P**-a.s.

Definition 2.3. A weak solution to (1.16) is a triple $(\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W})$ of adapted continuous processes on a stochastic basis $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{P}})$ such that

- 1. \widetilde{B} and \widetilde{W} are independent standard Brownian motions on $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{P}})$;
- 2. for any $t \ge 0$, the integral $\int_0^t |\widetilde{X}_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} d\widetilde{B}_s$ and the quadratic covariation $[|\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, \widetilde{B}]_t$ exist;
- 3. for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\widetilde{X}_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t |\widetilde{X}_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\widetilde{B}_s + \varepsilon \widetilde{W}_t$$
(2.9)

holds $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}\text{-a.s.}$

Definition 2.4. A weak solution to (2.5) is a striple $(\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{W})$ of adapted continuous processes on a stochastic basis $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{P}})$ such that

- 1. \widetilde{B} and \widetilde{W} are independent standard Brownian motions on $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{P}})$;
- 2. for any $t \ge 0$, the integrals $\int_0^t |\widetilde{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} d\widetilde{B}_s$ and $\int_0^t (\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds$ exist;
- 3. for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\widetilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = x + \int_0^t |\widetilde{Y}_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{B}_s + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t (\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon \widetilde{W}_t \tag{2.10}$$

holds $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}$ -a.s.

The main results of this paper are given in the following theorems. The proofs will be presented in the subsequent sections.

Theorem 2.5. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\alpha \in (-1,1)$, equation (2.5) has a weak solution, where for $\alpha = 0$, $\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds := 0$ and for $\alpha \in (-1,0)$ the integral

$$\int_{0}^{t} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d}s := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} \mathbb{I}(|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}| > \delta) \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{2.11}$$

is understood in the principle value (v.p.) sense as defined in Cherny (2001).

Theorem 2.6. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the pathwise uniqueness property holds for the SDE (2.5).

Applying the Yamada–Watanabe theorem, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 2.7. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, equation (2.5) has a unique strong solution.

Concerning the equivalence of (1.16) and (2.5) the following holds true.

Theorem 2.8. For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, a strong solution to the stochastic differential equation (2.5) is also a strong solution to (1.16).

To establish strong uniqueness of equation (1.16), we show that any of its strong solutions also solves (2.5) and appeal to Theorem (2.7). We will establish the equivalence of solutions that are Itô semimartingales. In other words, we assume that the bracket process $[|X^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Remark 2.9. It will follow from Lemma 4.1 that for any strong solution Y^{ε} to (2.5), $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the process $[|Y^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]$ is absolutely continuous and hence Y^{ε} is an Itô semimartingale.

Theorem 2.10. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let X^{ε} be a strong solution to (1.16) such that the bracket $[|X^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then it is also a strong solution to (2.5). In particular, strong existence and uniqueness holds for equation (1.16) in the class of Itô semimartingale solutions.

Eventually we formulate the main qualitative result about the stochastic selection of the benchmark solution.

Theorem 2.11. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Let X^{ε} be a strong solution of (2.5) or an Itô semimartingale solution of (1.16). Let X^0 be a benchmark solution (1.17). Then

$$X^{\varepsilon} \to X^0 \tag{2.12}$$

in u.c.p. as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

3 Analysis of the SDE (2.5) with singular drift

We first address the question of weak existence of a solution of equation (2.5). It can be established even for $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$. However, for negative α , the drift should be understood in the principal value (v.p.), see Cherny (2001).

The following function that has already appeared above in the Lamperti method will be crucial in showing both weak existence and pathwise uniqueness of solution. Let

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y) = \sqrt{|y|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$F_{\varepsilon}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \varepsilon > 0.$$
(3.2)

Clearly, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, the transformation $F_{\varepsilon} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bijection. In the neighbourhood of zero we have

$$F_{\varepsilon}(x) \approx \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot x, & \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ (1 + \varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} \cdot x, & \alpha = 0, \\ (1 + |\alpha|)^{-1} \cdot (x)^{1+|\alpha|}, & \alpha \in (-1, 0), \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}F_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}(x) \approx \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{-1}, & \alpha \in (0,1), \\ (1+\varepsilon^2)^{-1/2} \cdot x, & \alpha = 0, \\ |x|^{|\alpha|}, & \alpha \in (-1,0). \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Hence, F_{ε}^{-1} is a monotonically increasing absolutely continuous function, $\frac{d}{dx}F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x) = \sigma_{\varepsilon}(F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x))$, and in the neighbourhood of zero

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x) \approx \begin{cases} \varepsilon, & \alpha \in (0,1), \\ (1+\varepsilon^2)^{1/2} \cdot x, & \alpha = 0, \\ (1+|\alpha|)^{\frac{2|\alpha|}{1+|\alpha|}} \cdot |x|^{\frac{2|\alpha|}{1+|\alpha|}}, & \alpha \in (-1,0). \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

In particular for $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, $\frac{d}{dx} F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}$ is locally square integrable, so that the generalized Itô formula (Föllmer et al., 1995, Theorem 4.1) for the Brownian motion is applicable to F_{ε}^{-1} .

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the second derivative

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}F_{\varepsilon}(x) = -\frac{\alpha(x)^{2\alpha-1}}{(|x|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2)^{3/2}}, \quad x \neq 0,$$
(3.6)

is in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, so that the Itô formula with generalized derivatives from (Krylov, 1980, Chapter 2, Section 10) is applicable.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 2.5

In this section we assume that $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed.

Let W^1 , W^2 be independent standard Wiener processes, and let $\widehat{W} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W^1 + W^2)$. Define the process

$$\widetilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \Big(F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \widehat{W}_t \Big)$$
(3.7)

and set

$$\widetilde{B}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left(|\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1} + \left(|\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left(\varepsilon - |\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1} + \left(|\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})}.$$
(3.9)

It is straightforward to check that $\widetilde{B}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}$ are continuous martingales with $[\widetilde{B}^{\varepsilon}]_t = [\widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}]_t = t$, $[\widetilde{B}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}]_t = 0$. Then, by the Lévy characterization, $\widetilde{B}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}$ are independent standard Wiener processes. It is also clear that

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{B}_{t}^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}_{t}^{\varepsilon} &= \frac{|\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(|\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon) \, \mathrm{d}W_{t}^{1} + (|\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon) \, \mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon})} \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(\varepsilon - |\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}) \, \mathrm{d}W_{t}^{1} + (|\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon) \, \mathrm{d}W_{t}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon})} \\ &= \sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_{t}. \end{split}$$
(3.10)

Applying the generalized Itô formula (Theorem 4.1 in Föllmer et al. (1995)) to the Brownian motion \widehat{W} and the function F_{ε}^{-1} in (3.7) and taking into account (3.10), we get

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{Y}_{t}^{\varepsilon} &= x + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \circ d\widehat{W}_{s} \\ &= x + \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}) d\widehat{W}_{s} + \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}), \widehat{W}]_{t} \\ &= x + \int_{0}^{t} |\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} d\widetilde{B}_{s}^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \widetilde{W}_{s}^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}), \widehat{W}]_{t}. \end{split}$$
(3.11)

For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, by (Föllmer et al., 1995, Remark 3.2 (c)),

$$[\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}),\widehat{W}]_{t} = \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d}s; \qquad (3.12)$$

for $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$, by (Cherny, 2001, Corollary 4.4),

$$[\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}), \widehat{W}]_{t} = \alpha \cdot \text{v.p.} \int_{0}^{t} (\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}_{s})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad (3.13)$$

whence we arrive at the SDE (2.5) for the triple $(\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{B}^{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon})$.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.6

Now we turn to the path-wise uniqueness of solution to (2.5), using the ideas by Le Gall (1984). In this section we assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Let Y^{ε} , \tilde{Y}^{ε} be two strong solutions to (2.5) and let $U^{\varepsilon} := F_{\varepsilon}(Y^{\varepsilon})$ and $\tilde{U}^{\varepsilon} := F_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{Y}^{\varepsilon})$. Then, by the extension of Itô's formula from (Krylov, 1980, Chapter 2, Section 10) we have

$$dU_t^{\varepsilon} = dF_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) = G_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) dB_t + H_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) dW_t = G_{\varepsilon}(F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(U_t^{\varepsilon})) dB_t + H_{\varepsilon}(F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(U_t^{\varepsilon})) dW_t, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(3.14)

where

$$G_{\varepsilon}(y) = \frac{|y|^{\alpha}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y)}, \quad H_{\varepsilon}(y) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y)}.$$
(3.15)

The same formula holds for the processes $\widetilde{Y}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\widetilde{U}^{\varepsilon}$, too. Since $G_{\varepsilon}(y)^2 + H_{\varepsilon}(y)^2 \equiv 1$, both U^{ε} and $\widetilde{U}^{\varepsilon}$ are standard Wiener processes, by virtue of the Lévy characterization. This, in particular, implies weak uniqueness for (2.5).

Denote $R_t^{\varepsilon} = U_t^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_t^{\varepsilon}$. Then by Tanaka's formula

$$U_{t}^{\varepsilon} \vee \widetilde{U}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = U_{t}^{\varepsilon} + (\widetilde{U}_{t}^{\varepsilon} - U_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{+}$$

$$= U_{t}^{\varepsilon} + \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{I}(\widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon} > U_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \,\mathrm{d}(\widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon} - U_{s}^{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{2}L_{t}^{0}(\widetilde{U}^{\varepsilon} - U^{\varepsilon})$$

$$= x + \int_{0}^{t} G_{\varepsilon} \left(F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(U_{s}^{\varepsilon} \vee \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) \,\mathrm{d}B_{s} + H_{\varepsilon} \left(F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(U_{s}^{\varepsilon} \vee \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) \,\mathrm{d}W_{s} + \frac{1}{2}L_{t}^{0}(R^{\varepsilon}).$$
(3.16)

Suppose that $L^0_t(R^{\varepsilon}) = 0$. Then, by appealing to the extended Itô formula once more, we see that $F_{\varepsilon}(U^{\varepsilon} \vee \widetilde{U}^{\varepsilon})$ is another strong solution to (2.5), which implies that $U^{\varepsilon} = \widetilde{U}^{\varepsilon}$ in view of weak uniqueness.

Thus, we are left to show that $L^0_t(R^{\varepsilon}) = 0$. To this end, it suffices to show that for any $t \ge 0$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0)}{R_{s}^{\varepsilon}} d[R^{\varepsilon}]_{s} < \infty \quad \text{a.s.},$$
(3.17)

see Lemma 1.1 in Le Gall (1984). Indeed, since R^{ε} is a continuous martingale the mapping $a \mapsto L^a_t(R^{\varepsilon})$ is continuous, see Corollaries 1.8 and 1.9 in Chapter IV in Revuz and Yor (2005). Therefore if $L_t^0(R^{\varepsilon}) =$ $L^{0+}(R^{\varepsilon})$ does not vanish the occupation time formula will yield

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0)}{R_{s}^{\varepsilon}} d[R^{\varepsilon}]_{s} = \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{L_{t}^{a}(R^{\varepsilon})}{a} da = +\infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$
(3.18)

To show (3.17) we apply forthcoming Lemma 3.1 to get

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}[R^{\varepsilon}]_{s}}{R_{s}^{\varepsilon}} &= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left|G_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - G_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right|^{2} + \left|H_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - H_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right|^{2}}{U_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left||Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - |\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}\right|^{2} \wedge 1}{U_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left|(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha} - (\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha}\right|^{2} \wedge 1}{U_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left|(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha} - (\widetilde{Y}_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha}\right|^{2} \wedge 1}{U_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= C_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{h_{\varepsilon}(U_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - h_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon})}{U_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}s, \end{split}$$
(3.19)

where we have abbreviated $h_{\varepsilon}(y) = (F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y))^{\alpha}$. Note that $h_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with integrable derivative. Using the relation

$$\frac{h_{\varepsilon}(U_s^{\varepsilon}) - h_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{U}_s^{\varepsilon})}{U_s^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_s^{\varepsilon}} = \int_0^1 h_{\varepsilon}' \left(\theta U_s^{\varepsilon} + (1 - \theta) \widetilde{U}_s^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d}\theta, \qquad (3.20)$$

we can write

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{h_{\varepsilon}(U_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - h_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon})}{U_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}} \mathbb{I}(R_{s}^{\varepsilon} > 0) \,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} h_{\varepsilon}' \big(\theta U_{s}^{\varepsilon} + (1 - \theta)\widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon}\big) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.21)

For each $\theta \in [0, 1]$, the process $R^{\varepsilon, \theta} := \theta U^{\varepsilon} + (1 - \theta) \widetilde{U}^{\varepsilon}$ can be written as

$$R_t^{\varepsilon,\theta} = \int_0^t \mu_s^{\varepsilon,\theta} \, \mathrm{d}B_s + \int_0^t \nu_s^{\varepsilon,\theta} \, \mathrm{d}W_s, \qquad (3.22)$$

with the processes $\mu^{\varepsilon,\theta}$ and $\nu^{\varepsilon,\theta}$ given by

$$\mu_t^{\varepsilon,\theta} = \theta \Big(G_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) + G_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}) \Big) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_t^{\varepsilon,\theta} = (1-\theta) \Big(H_{\varepsilon}(Y_t^{\varepsilon}) + H_{\varepsilon}(\widetilde{Y}_t^{\varepsilon}) \Big).$$
(3.23)

One easily checks that $\frac{1}{2} \leq |\mu_s^{\varepsilon,\theta}|^2 + |\nu_s^{\varepsilon,\theta}|^2 \leq 1$, Therefore, $R^{\varepsilon,\theta}$ possesses a local time and for all $\theta \in [0,1]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{E}L_t^x(R^{\varepsilon,\theta}) \leqslant \sqrt{2}\mathbf{E}L_t^x(W) = 2\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}\mathrm{e}^{-x^2/2t}.$$
(3.24)

Using Fubini's theorem and the occupation time formula we obtain the estimate

$$\mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left(\theta U_{s}^{\varepsilon} + (1 - \theta) \widetilde{U}_{s}^{\varepsilon} \right) \mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}\theta = \mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x) L_{t}^{x}(R^{\varepsilon,\theta}) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ \leqslant C \sqrt{t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}/2t} \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}\theta \leqslant C_{t,\varepsilon} < \infty,$$

$$(3.25)$$

whence we get (3.17).

In the following Lemma we prove a technical estimate that has been used in (3.19).

Lemma 3.1. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and let G_{ε} and H_{ε} be functions defined in (3.15). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\left|G_{\varepsilon}(y_1) - G_{\varepsilon}(y_2)\right|^2 + \left|H_{\varepsilon}(y_1) - H_{\varepsilon}(y_2)\right|^2 \le C_{\varepsilon} \left||y_1|^{\alpha} - |y_2|^{\alpha}\right|^2.$$
(3.26)

Proof. Denote for some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$G_{\varepsilon}(y_1) = \sin \beta_1, \quad H_{\varepsilon}(y_1) = \cos \beta_1, G_{\varepsilon}(y_2) = \sin \beta_2, \quad H_{\varepsilon}(y_2) = \cos \beta_2.$$
(3.27)

Then we have

$$|\sin \beta_{1} - \sin \beta_{2}|^{2} + |\cos \beta_{1} - \cos \beta_{2}|^{2} = 2 - 2 \sin \beta_{1} \sin \beta_{2} - 2 \cos \beta_{1} \cos \beta_{2}$$

$$= 2(1 - \cos(\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}))$$

$$\leq |\beta_{1} - \beta_{2}|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \arcsin G_{\varepsilon}(y_{1}) - \arcsin G_{\varepsilon}(y_{2}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \arcsin \left(G_{\varepsilon}(y_{1}) H_{\varepsilon}(y_{2}) - G_{\varepsilon}(y_{2}) H_{\varepsilon}(y_{1}) \right) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \left| \arcsin \frac{\varepsilon(|y_{1}|^{\alpha} - |y_{2}|^{\alpha})}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y_{1})\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y_{2})} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}||y_{1}|^{\alpha} - |y_{2}|^{\alpha}|^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y_{1})^{2}\sigma_{\varepsilon}(y_{2})^{2}} \wedge \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}$$

$$\leq \frac{||y_{1}|^{\alpha} - |y_{2}|^{\alpha}|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \cdot \left(\left| |y_{1}|^{\alpha} - |y_{2}|^{\alpha} \right|^{2} \wedge 1 \right),$$

$$(3.28)$$

and the inequality (3.26) follows.

Analysis of the SDE (1.1) with the bracket 4

In this and further sections we restrict ourselves to the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.8 4.1

Our main goal in this section is to show that for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the solution Y^{ε} to (2.5) also solves (1.16), i.e. that $[|Y^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]_t = \alpha \int_0^t (Y_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} ds.$

Lemma 4.1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let Y^{ε} be a strong solution to (2.5). Then, for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ the quadratic variation

$$[|Y^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]_{t} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} \left(|Y^{\varepsilon}_{t_{k}}|^{\alpha} - |Y^{\varepsilon}_{t_{k-1}}|^{\alpha} \right) (B_{t_{k}} - B_{t_{k-1}})$$

$$(4.1)$$

exists as a limit in u.c.p. and

$$[|Y^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]_{t} = \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

$$(4.2)$$

Proof. Define the processes

$$W_t^{1,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t \frac{(|Y_s^\varepsilon|^\alpha + \varepsilon) \, \mathrm{d}B_s - (|Y_s^\varepsilon|^\alpha - \varepsilon) \, \mathrm{d}W_s}{\sigma_\varepsilon(Y_s^\varepsilon)},\tag{4.3}$$

$$W_t^{2,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t \frac{(|Y_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon) \,\mathrm{d}B_s + (|Y_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon) \,\mathrm{d}W_s}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_s^{\varepsilon})}.$$
(4.4)

It is easy to check that $W^{1,\varepsilon}$, $W^{2,\varepsilon}$ are independent standard Brownian motions and the original Brownian motions B and W satisfy the no-tilde counterparts of (3.8) and (3.9), namely, we have

$$B_{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left(|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1,\varepsilon} + \left(|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2,\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})},$$

$$W_{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left(\varepsilon - |Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1,\varepsilon} + \left(|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon\right) \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2,\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})}.$$
(4.5)

The process

$$\widehat{W}_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_t^{1,\varepsilon} + W_t^{2,\varepsilon})$$
(4.6)

is also a Brownian motion and we have the equality

$$\mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_t^\varepsilon = |Y_t^\varepsilon|^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}B_t + \varepsilon \mathrm{d}W_t. \tag{4.7}$$

Literally repeating the argument of Section 3.1 we get that the process $\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \left(F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \widehat{W}_t^{\varepsilon} \right)$ solves (2.5). Therefore, by the uniqueness Theorem 2.7, $\hat{Y}_t^{\varepsilon} = Y_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \widehat{W}_t^{\varepsilon})$ a.s. Let us apply the generalized Itô formula from Föllmer et al. (1995) to the function

$$h_{\varepsilon}(z) := |F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(F_{\varepsilon}(x) + z)|^{\alpha}, \quad h_{\varepsilon}' \in L^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$(4.8)$$

and the Brownian motion $\widehat{W}^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} &= h_{\varepsilon}(\widehat{W}_t^{\varepsilon}) = |x|^{\alpha} + \int_0^t h_{\varepsilon}'(\widehat{W}_s^{\varepsilon}) \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_s^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} [h_{\varepsilon}'(\widehat{W}^{\varepsilon}), \widehat{W}^{\varepsilon}]_t \\ &= |x|^{\alpha} + \alpha \int_0^t (Y_s^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha - 1} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_s^{\varepsilon} + A_t^{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.9)$$

where $A_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} [h'_{\varepsilon}(\widehat{W}^{\varepsilon}), \widehat{W}^{\varepsilon}]_t$ is an adapted zero energy process (Föllmer et al., 1995, Theorem 3.5). Now we decompose the bracket's partial sum as

$$\sum_{t_k \in D_n, t_k < t} \left(|Y_{t_k}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - |Y_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \right) (B_{t_k} - B_{t_{k-1}})$$

$$= \sum_{t_k \in D_n, t_k < t} \alpha \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (Y_s^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha - 1} \sigma_{\varepsilon} (Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_s^{\varepsilon} \cdot (B_{t_k} - B_{t_{k-1}})$$

$$+ \sum_{t_k \in D_n, t_k < t} \left(A_{t_k}^{\varepsilon} - A_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon} \right) (B_{t_k} - B_{t_{k-1}}) =: J_1^{\varepsilon} + J_2^{\varepsilon}.$$

$$(4.10)$$

Since A^{ε} is of zero energy, the second sum J_2^{ε} converges to zero in probability by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

We rewrite the first sum in terms of $W^{1,\varepsilon}$ and $W^{2,\varepsilon}$:

$$J_{1}^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1,\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} \frac{|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1,\varepsilon} \\ + \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1,\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} \frac{|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2,\varepsilon} \\ + \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2,\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} \frac{|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{1,\varepsilon} \\ + \sum_{t_{k} \in D_{n}, t_{k} < t} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha-1} \sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2,\varepsilon} \cdot \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} \frac{|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}W_{s}^{2,\varepsilon} \\ := \frac{\alpha}{2} \Big(I_{11}^{\varepsilon} + I_{12}^{\varepsilon} + I_{21}^{\varepsilon} + I_{22}^{\varepsilon} \Big).$$

By Theorems II.23 and II.29 from Protter (2004) we obtain that as $n \to \infty$

$$I_{11}^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} \int_{0}^{t} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha-1} \left(|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} + \varepsilon \right) \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$I_{22}^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} \int_{0}^{t} (Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{\alpha-1} \left(|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} - \varepsilon \right) \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$I_{12}^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0, \quad I_{21}^{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} 0,$$
(4.12)

and the statement of the Lemma follows.

4.2

Proof of Theorem 2.10

To establish strong uniqueness of equation (1.16), we can show that any of its strong solutions also solves (2.5) and appeal to Theorem 2.7. This is done under the additional requirement that the solution is a semimartingale.

Remark 4.2. From Lemma 4.1 it follows that for any strong solution Y^{ε} to (2.5), the process $[|Y^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]$ is absolutely continuous and hence of locally bounded variation.

Recall that σ_{ε} and F_{ε} satisfy (3.1) and (3.2).

Lemma 4.3. $F_{\varepsilon}(X^{\varepsilon})^2$ is a semimartingale with decomposition

$$F_{\varepsilon}(X_t^{\varepsilon})^2 = F_{\varepsilon}(x)^2 + 2\int_0^t F_{\varepsilon}(X_s^{\varepsilon}) \frac{|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}B_s + \varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}W_s}{\sqrt{|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}} + t + L_t^{\varepsilon}, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(4.13)

where $t\mapsto L_t^\varepsilon$ is a non-decreasing continuous process.

Proof. Let T > 0 and let $D_n = \{t_k^n = kT2^{-n}, k = 0, ..., 2^n\}$ be the dyadic partition of [0, T]. The semimartingale X^{ε} has quadratic variation equal to

$$[X^{\varepsilon}]_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} |X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon t.$$
(4.14)

Note also that $F_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)^2 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$h_{\varepsilon}(z) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} F_{\varepsilon}(z)^2 = \frac{2F_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\sqrt{|z|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}},\tag{4.15}$$

$$h_{\varepsilon}'(z) := \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} F_{\varepsilon}(z)^2 = \frac{2}{|z|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2} - \frac{2\alpha F_{\varepsilon}(z)(z)^{2\alpha - 1}}{\sqrt{(|z|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2)^3}}.$$
(4.16)

Note that the last term in (4.16) is well defined and continuous since $F(z) \sim z/\varepsilon$, $z \to 0$, see (3.3). By virtue of the generalized Itô formula from Föllmer et al. (1995) we get

$$F_{\varepsilon}(X_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{2} = F_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}X_{s}^{\varepsilon} + t - \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \frac{F_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon})(X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1}}{\sqrt{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= F_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}X_{s}^{\varepsilon} + t - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon})(X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

$$(4.17)$$

where the first integral exists as a limit in u.c.p.:

$$\int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}X_{s}^{\varepsilon} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{t_{k}^{n} \in D_{n}, t_{k}^{n} < t \\ t_{k}^{n} < t}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}^{n}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(X_{t_{k}^{n}}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t_{k-1}^{n}}^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

$$(4.18)$$

We expand

$$\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t }} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(X_{t_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon} \right) = \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t }} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \int_{t_{k-1}^n}^{t_k^n} \left(|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}B_s + \varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}W_s \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t }} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(A_{t_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - A_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon} \right),$$

$$(4.19)$$

where $A^{\varepsilon} = [|X^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]$. Since $h_{\varepsilon}(X^{\varepsilon})$ is continuous, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n = 0}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}^n}^{\varepsilon}) \int_{t_{k-1}^n}^{t_k^n} \left(|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}B_s + \varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}W_s \right) \to \int_0^t h_{\varepsilon}(X_s^{\varepsilon}) \left(|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}B_s + \varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}W_s \right), \quad n \to \infty, \tag{4.20}$$

in u.c.p. Further, define

$$\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon} = \min\{s \ge t_{k-1}^n \colon X_s^\varepsilon = 0\} \wedge t_k^n \tag{4.21}$$

and write

$$\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(A_{t_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - A_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}\right) \\ = \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(A_{t_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - A_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}\right) + \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(A_{\tau_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - A_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

$$(4.22)$$

Note that $h_{\varepsilon}(X_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}) = 0$ if $\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon} < t_k^n$ and $A_{t_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - A_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} = 0$ if $\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon} = t_k^n$. Therefore,

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) \left(A_{t_k^n} - A_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}\right)\right|^2 = \left|\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} \left(h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) - h_{\varepsilon}(X_{\tau_k^n}^{\varepsilon})\right) \left(A_{t_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} - A_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} \left(h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon}) - h_{\varepsilon}(X_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon})\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n, t_k^n < t}} \left(A_{t_k^n}^{\varepsilon} - A_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$
(4.23)

thanks to the fact that A^{ε} is of bounded variation on [0, t]. On $[t_{k-1}^n, \tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}]$, X^{ε} satisfies a Stratonovich SDE with smooth coefficients, therefore (see Section V.5 in Protter (2004))

$$A_{u}^{\varepsilon} - A_{t_{k-1}^{n}}^{\varepsilon} = \alpha \int_{t_{k-1}^{n}}^{u} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad u \in [t_{k-1}^{n}, \tau_{k}^{n,\varepsilon}].$$
(4.24)

Fix $m \ge 1$ and consider n > m. Let

$$D_m^{\varepsilon} = \{ t_j^m \in D_m \colon \tau_j^{m,\varepsilon} = t_j^m \}$$
(4.25)

be the points of partition D_m such that X^{ε} does not vanish on the intervals $[t_{j-1}^m, t_j^m]$. Let

$$P_{m,n}^{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{\substack{t_j^m \in D_m^{\varepsilon}}} P_{m,n,j} = \bigcup_{\substack{t_k^m \in D_m^{\varepsilon}}} \{t_k^n \in D_n \colon t_k^n \in [t_{j-1}^m, t_j^m]\}$$
(4.26)

be the corresponding points of the finer partition $D_n \supseteq D_m$. Clearly,

$$\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in P_{m,n}^{\varepsilon}, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_{m,n}^{\varepsilon}, t_k^n < t }} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}^n}^{\varepsilon}) \left(A_{\tau_k^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon} - A_{t_{k-1}^n}^{\varepsilon}\right) = \sum_{\substack{t_j^m \in D_m^{\varepsilon}, t_j^m < t \\ t_k^n \in P_{m,n,j}^{\varepsilon}}} \sum_{\substack{h_{\varepsilon}(X_{t_{k-1}^n}^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \alpha \\ t_{k-1}^n}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{\substack{t_j^n \in D_m^{\varepsilon}, t_j^m < t \\ t_{j-1}^n}} h_{\varepsilon}(X_s^{\varepsilon}) (X_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}s =: K_t^{m,\varepsilon}, \quad n \to \infty.$$

$$(4.27)$$

Further, using again (4.24) we get

$$\sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n \setminus P_{m,n}^\varepsilon, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n = 1}} h_\varepsilon(X_{t_{k-1}^n}^\varepsilon) \left(A_{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}} - A_{t_{k-1}^n}^\varepsilon \right) = \sum_{\substack{t_k^n \in D_n \setminus P_{m,n}^\varepsilon, t_k^n < t \\ t_k^n \in D_n \setminus P_{m,n}^\varepsilon, t_k^n < t}} h_\varepsilon(X_{t_{k-1}^n}^\varepsilon) \cdot \alpha \int_{t_{k-1}^n}^{\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}} (X_s^\varepsilon)^{2\alpha - 1} \, \mathrm{d}s \tag{4.28}$$

is a non-decreasing process. As $n \to \infty$, it converges to some non-negative non-decreasing process, say, $L^{m,\varepsilon}$, and we get

$$F_{\varepsilon}(X_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{2} = F_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2} + 2\int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \left(|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} dB_{s} + \varepsilon dW_{s} \right) + t + K_{t}^{m,\varepsilon} + L_{t}^{m,\varepsilon} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} ds.$$

$$(4.29)$$

Moreover, since the set of zeros of X^{ε} is closed and $h_{\varepsilon}(z)(z)^{2\alpha-1} = 0$ for z = 0, we get

$$K_t^{m,\varepsilon} \to \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t \mathbb{I}(X_s^{\varepsilon} \neq 0) h_{\varepsilon}(X_s^{\varepsilon}) (X_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^t h_{\varepsilon}(X_s^{\varepsilon}) (X_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s, \quad m \to \infty.$$
(4.30)

As a result, the processes $L^{m,\varepsilon}$ also monotonically converge as $m \to \infty$ to some non-decreasing limit L^{ε} . Hence, passing to the limit in (4.29), we get the desired statement.

Lemma 4.4. For any $a \in (-1,0)$ and $t \in [0,T]$, there is $C_{a,\varepsilon,T} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}|X_t^{\varepsilon}|^a \leqslant C_{a,\varepsilon,T}.\tag{4.31}$$

Moreover, X^{ε} spends zero time at 0.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $Q_t^{\varepsilon} := F_{\varepsilon}(X_t^{\varepsilon})^2$ solves the equation

$$Q_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}(x)^2 + 2\int_0^t \sqrt{Q_s^{\varepsilon}} \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_s^{\varepsilon} + t + L_t^{\varepsilon}, \qquad (4.32)$$

where

$$\widehat{W}_{t}^{\varepsilon} = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left(F_{\varepsilon}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right)\left(|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}B_{s} + \varepsilon \,\mathrm{d}W_{s}\right)}{\sqrt{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}}}$$
(4.33)

is a standard Wiener process. Since L^{ε} is non-decreasing and continuous, a slight modification of the comparison Theorem 3.7 in Chapter IX in Revuz and Yor (2005) yields that $Q_t^{\varepsilon} \ge \hat{Q}_t^{\varepsilon}$, $t \ge 0$, with probability 1, where \hat{Q}^{ε} is the unique strong solution to the equation

$$\widehat{Q}_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}(x)^2 + 2\int_0^t \sqrt{\widehat{Q}_s^{\varepsilon}} \,\mathrm{d}\widehat{W}_s^{\varepsilon} + t, \qquad (4.34)$$

and hence is a square of a standard Wiener process started at $F_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Consequently, Q^{ε} spends zero time at zero. Furthermore, noting that for $|F_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq C_{\varepsilon}|x|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ (recall (3.3)), we obtain for a > -1

$$\mathbf{E}|X_t^{\varepsilon}|^a \leqslant C_{\varepsilon}^a \mathbf{E}|F_{\varepsilon}(X_t^{\varepsilon})|^a = C_{\varepsilon}^a \mathbf{E}|Q_t^{\varepsilon}|^{a/2} \leqslant C_{\varepsilon}^a \mathbf{E}|\hat{Q}_t^{\varepsilon}|^{a/2} = C_{\varepsilon}^a \mathbf{E}|F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \widehat{W}_t^{\varepsilon}|^a \leqslant C_{\varepsilon,a,T}.$$

$$(4.35)$$

Lemma 4.5. Let X^{ε} be an Itô semimartingale solution of the equation (1.16). Then

$$[|X^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]_t = \alpha \int_0^t (X_s^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha - 1} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(4.36)

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that x = 0. Denote $A_t^{\varepsilon} = [|X^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha}, B]_t$. Since X^{ε} is an Itô semimartingale, there is a progressively measurable process $a^{\varepsilon} = (a_t^{\varepsilon})_{t \ge 0}$ such that

$$A_t^{\varepsilon} = \int_0^t a_s^{\varepsilon} \,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.37}$$

For each $n \ge 1$ consider the stopping times

$$\sigma_0^n = 0,$$

$$\tau_k^{n,\varepsilon} = \inf\left\{t > \theta_{k-1}^{n,\varepsilon} \colon |X_t^{\varepsilon}| = \frac{1}{n}\right\},$$

$$\sigma_k^{n,\varepsilon} = \inf\{t > \tau_k^{n,\varepsilon} \colon X_t^{\varepsilon} = 0\}, \quad k \ge 1.$$
(4.38)

Denote

$$\theta_t^{n,\varepsilon} := \sum_k \mathbb{I}_{(\sigma_{k-1}^{n,\varepsilon}, \tau_k^{n,\varepsilon}]}(t), \tag{4.39}$$

and note that $\theta_t^{n,\varepsilon} \in [0,1]$ for all n,ε and t. By Lemma 4.4, since X^{ε} spends zero time at 0, we get

$$\mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{s}^{n,\varepsilon} \,\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{I}(|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}| \leqslant 1/n) \,\mathrm{d}s \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

$$(4.40)$$

Hence

$$A_{t}^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{k} \alpha \int_{\tau_{k}^{n,\varepsilon} \wedge t}^{\sigma_{k}^{n,\varepsilon} \wedge t} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds + \sum_{k} \left(A_{\tau_{k}^{n,\varepsilon} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon} - A_{\sigma_{k-1}^{n,\varepsilon} \wedge t}^{\varepsilon} \right)$$
$$= \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds - \sum_{k} \alpha \int_{\sigma_{k}^{n,\varepsilon}}^{\tau_{k}^{n,\varepsilon}} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \theta_{s}^{n,\varepsilon} dA_{s}^{\varepsilon}$$
$$(4.41)$$
$$= \alpha \int_{0}^{t} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds - S_{1}^{n,\varepsilon}(t) + S_{2}^{n,\varepsilon}(t).$$

Clearly, by Lemma 4.4 and the dominated convergence theorem

$$\mathbf{E}|S_1^{n,\varepsilon}(t)| \leq \alpha \mathbf{E} \int_0^t |X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha - 1} \mathbb{I}(|X_s^{\varepsilon}| \leq 1/n) \,\mathrm{d}s \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$
(4.42)

and

$$|S_2^{n,\varepsilon}(t)| \leqslant \int_0^t \theta_s^{n,\varepsilon} |a_s^{\varepsilon}| \, \mathrm{d}s \to 0, \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$
(4.43)

Since $|\theta_s^{n,\varepsilon} a_s^{\varepsilon}| \leq |a_s^{\varepsilon}|$, the dominated convergence theorem finishes the proof.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.11

Recall that

$$X_t^0 := \left((1 - \alpha) B_t - (x)^{1 - \alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(5.1)

is the strong solution of the equation (1.1) that spends zero time at zero and has no skew behaviour at zero. Let X^{ε} be the semimartingale solution of (1.16) or (2.5). We apply the generalized Itô formula from Krylov (1980) to get

$$F_{\varepsilon}(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) = F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha} dB_{s} + \varepsilon dW_{s}}{\sqrt{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}}} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds}{\sqrt{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}}} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds}{(|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2})^{3/2}} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon^{2} (X_{s}^{\varepsilon})^{2\alpha-1} ds}{(|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2})^{3/2}} = B_{t} - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon dB_{s}}{\sqrt{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon dW_{s}}{\sqrt{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}}} = B_{t} + I_{t}^{\varepsilon}.$$

$$(5.2)$$

Equivalently, we have

$$X_t^{\varepsilon} = F_{\varepsilon}^{-1} (B_t + I_t^{\varepsilon}).$$
(5.3)

Since

$$F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(x) \to F_0^{-1}(x) = (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(x)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$
(5.4)

uniformly on each compact interval, to prove the Theorem it is sufficient to show that I^{ε} converges to zero in u.c.p.

By the Doob inequality, for any t > 0

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} |I_s^{\varepsilon}|^2 \leq 2 \mathbf{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \int_0^s \frac{\varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}B_u}{\sqrt{|X_u^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}} \right|^2 + 2 \mathbf{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \int_0^s \frac{\varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}W_u}{\sqrt{|X_u^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}} \right|^2$$

$$\leq 16 \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \frac{\varepsilon^2 \, \mathrm{d}s}{|X_s^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2}.$$

$$(5.5)$$

To evaluate the latter expectation we recall that due to (3.7) $X^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{d}{=} F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \widehat{W})$ for some Brownian motion \widehat{W} . Let us show that

$$\mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon^{2} \,\mathrm{d}s}{|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}} = \mathbf{E} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{|F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(F_{\varepsilon}(x) + \widehat{W}_{s}))|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}} \,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{|F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y)|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{(y - F_{\varepsilon}(x))^{2}}{2s}} \,\mathrm{d}y \,\mathrm{d}s \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$
(5.6)

First we note that for all y > 0 and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$F_{\varepsilon}(y) \leqslant \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{\alpha}} = \frac{y^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} = F_{0}(y)$$
(5.7)

and hence

$$|F_{\varepsilon}(y)| \leq |F_0(y)|, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$
(5.8)

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y)| \ge |F_0^{-1}(y)| = (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} |y|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(5.9)

For $x \ge 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $0 \le F_{\varepsilon}(x) \le F_0(x)$ get the following estimate:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y)|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2} e^{-\frac{(y - F_{\varepsilon}(x))^2}{2t}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{y^2}{2t}} \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty,0)}(y) + \mathbb{I}_{[0,F_0(x))}(y) + e^{-\frac{(y - F_0(x))^2}{2t}} \mathbb{I}_{[F_0(x),\infty)}(y) \right)$$
(5.10)

The right hand side of (5.10) is integrable on $(t, y) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. Since for each $t \in (0, T]$ and $y \neq 0$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|F_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(y)|^{2\alpha} + \varepsilon^2} e^{-\frac{(y - F_{\varepsilon}(x))^2}{2t}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|(1 - \alpha)y|^{2\alpha/(1 - \alpha)} + \varepsilon^2} \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \to 0, \tag{5.11}$$

the limit (5.6) follows by the dominated convergence theorem. Since F_{ε} is asymmetric, the limit (5.6) holds for x < 0, too.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the German Research Council (grant Nr. PA 2123/6-1) for financial support. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their helpful reports.

References

- Y. Aït-Sahalia and J. Jacod. Semimartingale: Itô or not? Stochastic Process. Appl., 128(1):233–254, 2018.
- R. Bafico and P. Baldi. Small random perturbations of Peano phenomena. *Stochastics*, 6(3–4):279–292, 1982.
- A. S. Cherny. Principal values of the integral functionals of Brownian motion: Existence continuity and an extension of Itô's formula. In Séminaire de Probabilités XXXV, volume 1755 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 348–370. Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- A. S. Cherny and H.-J. Engelbert. Singular Stochastic Differential Equations, volume 1858 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- A. G. Cherstvy, A. V. Chechkin, and R. Metzler. Anomalous diffusion and ergodicity breaking in heterogeneous diffusion processes. New J. Phys., 15:083039, 2013.
- F. Delarue and F. Flandoli. The transition point in the zero noise limit for a 1D Peano example. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 34(10):4071–4083, 2014.
- H. Föllmer, P. Protter, and A. N. Shiryayev. Quadratic covariation and an extension of Itô's formula. Bernoulli, 1(1/2):149–169, 1995.
- I. V. Girsanov. An example of non-uniqueness of the solution of the stochastic equation of K. Ito. Theory Probab. Appl., 7(3):325–331, 1962.
- J. Jacod and Ph. Protter. Discretization of Processes, volume 67 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- N. V. Krylov. Controlled Diffusion Processes, volume 14 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- J.-F. Le Gall. One-dimensional stochastic differential equations involving the local times of the unknown process. In *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, volume 1095 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 51–82. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- I. Pavlyukevich and G. Shevchenko. Stratonovich stochastic differential equation with irregular coefficients: Girsanov's example revisited. *Bernoulli*, 26(2):1381-1409, 2020. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv: 1812.05324, https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bj/1580461583.
- A. Pilipenko and I. Pavlyukevich. Generalized Peano problem with Lévy noise. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 25:1–14, 2020. article no. 85.
- A. Pilipenko and F. N. Proske. On a selection problem for small noise perturbation in the multidimensional case. Stoch. Dyn., 18(6):1850045, 2018.
- P. E. Protter. Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations, volume 21 of Applications of Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, second edition, 2004.

- D. Revuz and M. Yor. Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, volume 293 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, corrected third printing of the third edition, 2005.
- T. Sandev, V. Domazetoski, L. Kocarev, R. Metzler, and A. Chechkin. Heterogeneous diffusion with stochastic resetting. J. Phys. A, 55(7):074003, 2022.
- D. Trevisan. Zero noise limits using local times. Electron. Commun. Probab., 18(31):1–7, 2013.
- A. Yu. Veretennikov. Approximation of ordinary differential equations by stochastic differential equations. Mat. Zametki, 33(6):476–477, 1983.