

An improved error term for counting D_4 -quartic fields

Kevin J. McGown Amanda Tucker

May 7, 2024

Abstract

We prove that the number of quartic fields K with discriminant $|\Delta_K| \leq X$ whose Galois closure is D_4 equals $CX + O(X^{5/8+\varepsilon})$, improving the error term in a well-known result of Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, and Olivier. We prove an analogous result for counting quartic dihedral extensions over an arbitrary base field.

1 Introduction

Let $N_n(G, X)$ be the number of degree n number fields with Galois closure G and discriminant $|\Delta_K| \leq X$. It is an interesting problem to find asymptotic expressions for $N_n(G, X)$. This is the subject of conjectures of Malle and Bhargava. Among other things, this is connected to the inverse Galois problem and the Cohen–Lenstra heuristics for class groups. See, for example, the papers [Bha07, CL84, Mal10, Mal04, Klu05].

In this investigation, we will focus on quartic fields whose Galois closure is D_4 , the symmetry group of a square. Our first result is as follows:

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$N_4(D_4, X) = CX + O(X^{5/8+\varepsilon})$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2} \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)} \Delta_k^2} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)}.$$

Here $\zeta_k(s)$ denotes the Dedekind zeta function of k and $\zeta^*(1)$ denotes the first non-vanishing Laurent coefficient of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$. As is customary, $r_1(k)$ and $2r_2(k)$ denote the number of real and complex embeddings, respectively.

Previously, Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, and Olivier (see [CDyDO02]) proved that $N_4(D_4, X) = CX + O(X^{3/4+\varepsilon})$. In later numerical work (see [CDyDO06]), they suggest that it is “reasonable to conjecture” that the error is $O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$, and that there may be a secondary term. Theorem 1 strengthens the error term in their result. Our proof follows their approach and appeals to some of the calculations in Section 3 of [CDyDO02], but we do not make explicit use of the same Dirichlet series. See Remark 1 in §6 for additional comments on their conjecture in the context of our proof.

In the course of proving Theorem 1, we establish a result for counting relative quadratic extensions for which the implicit constant in the error term only depends on the degree of the base field. The original asymptotic for this counting problem was given in [DW88].

Theorem 2. *Let k be a number field of degree $n \geq 2$. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X}} 1 = \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} X +$$

$$|\text{Cl}(k)[2]| \cdot \begin{cases} O(|\Delta_k|^{1/3} \log |\Delta_k| X^{1/2} \log X) & n = 2, \\ O(|\Delta_k|^{1/4} (\log |\Delta_k|)^2 X^{1/2} (\log X)^3) & n = 3, \\ O_n(|\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1}) & n > 3. \end{cases}$$

In the above, $\text{Cl}(k)[2]$ denotes the 2-torsion subgroup of the class group of k .

The referee pointed out that our methods also prove a similar result for D_4 -quartic extensions over an arbitrary base field, and therefore we also include the following:

Theorem 3. *Let F be a number field of degree $n \geq 2$. The number of quartic extensions K/F with $N(\Delta_{K/F}) \leq X$ whose Galois closure is D_4 equals*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{[k:F]=2} \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)} N(\Delta_{k/F})^2} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} \right) X + O_n \left(|\text{Cl}(F)[2]|^3 \cdot |\Delta_F|^{\frac{2}{2n+1}+\varepsilon} X^{1-\frac{2}{2n+1}+\varepsilon} \right).$$

In the above, $\Delta_{L/M}$ denotes the relative discriminant and N denotes the absolute norm.

We note in passing that other variations on the problem of counting D_4 -quartic fields have been investigated, including counting the fields when ordered by their Artin conductor (see [ASVW21, BFSLV22, Fri21]).


Late in the preparation of this manuscript we became aware that Barquero-Sanchez, Masri, and Thorne (see Theorem 2.4 of [BSMT23]) already obtained an improvement to $O(X^{5/7+\varepsilon})$ for the closely related problem of counting D_4 -quartic fields that are totally imaginary extensions of totally real quadratic fields.

2 Initial setup

The following is a truncated version of Corollary 2.2 of [CDyDO02]:

$$\sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} \sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X/\Delta_k^2}} 1 = 2 \sum_{\substack{[K:\mathbb{Q}]=4 \\ G(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_4 \\ |\Delta_K| \leq X}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{[K:\mathbb{Q}]=4 \\ G(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq C_4 \\ |\Delta_K| \leq X}} 1 + 3 \sum_{\substack{[K:\mathbb{Q}]=4 \\ G(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq V_4 \\ |\Delta_K| \leq X}} 1. \quad (1)$$

In words, counting extensions that are quadratic over quadratic picks up every D_4 -quartic (up to isomorphism) exactly twice, every V_4 -quartic exactly three times, and every C_4 -quartic exactly once. This is observed in the following field diagram for the Galois closure L of a generic D_4 -quartic field K_1 . (Every line stands for a degree 2 extension.)



The truncations in the subscripts in (1) follow immediately from the identity $\Delta_K = N(\Delta_{K/k})\Delta_k^2$.

The first term on the right of (1) is what we want to count. The next two terms on the right are $O(\sqrt{X})$ and $O(\sqrt{X}(\log X)^2)$, respectively (see [Bai80, Mäk93]). The main task is to deal with the innermost sum on the left, which counts relative quadratic extensions.

3 Counting relative quadratic extensions

In this section, we consider the problem of counting quadratic extensions over an arbitrary base field k of degree n , which will ultimately lead to the proof of Theorem 2.

3.1 Parametrizing relative quadratics

We parametrize all quadratic extensions K/k in terms of data from k . (We refer the reader to [CDyDO02] for the proofs of the results in this subsection.) Let $V(k)$ denote the set of all $u \in k^*$ such that $(u) = \mathfrak{q}^2$ for some ideal \mathfrak{q} . Write $S(k) = V(k)/(k^*)^2$. This is the 2-Selmer group of k . Given an element $\bar{u} \in S(k)$ we will always tacitly assume $(u, 2) = 1$. Let $A(k)$ denote the set of all integral squarefree ideals \mathfrak{a} such that $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} \in \text{Cl}(k)^2$.

There is a bijection

$$A(k) \times S(k) \rightarrow \{[K : k] \leq 2\} .$$

Under this map, a pair (\mathfrak{a}, \bar{u}) corresponds to an extension K/k , and the “identity” corresponds to the trivial extension.

We wish to determine the discriminant $\Delta_{K/k}$ in terms of (\mathfrak{a}, \bar{u}) . To this end, we define an ideal $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \bar{u})$ in the following way. Given (\mathfrak{a}, \bar{u}) , first write $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{q}^2 = (\alpha_0)$ with $(\mathfrak{q}, 2) = 1$; then let \mathfrak{c} be the largest ideal such that $\mathfrak{c} \mid 2$, $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{a}) = 1$, and $x^2 \equiv \alpha_0 u \pmod{\mathfrak{c}^2}$ is solvable (in the multiplicative sense). One checks that this definition is independent of the choices involved. Then one has

$$\Delta_{K/k} = \frac{4\mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{c}^2} .$$

3.2 A formula for the number of relative quadratics

Using the parametrization from §3.1, we derive an expression for the number of quadratic extensions K/k with $N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X$. The reader should compare this result to Theorem 1.1 of [CDyDO02].

Lemma 1. *Let k be a number field of degree n . One has*

$$\sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X}} 1 = -1 + 2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|2} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{d}^2}(k)} \\ \chi^2 = \chi_0}} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|\mathfrak{d}} \mu\left(\frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{c}}\right) \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ squarefree} \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N(\mathfrak{c}^2)}{4^n} X}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}), \quad (2)$$

where $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{d}^2}(k)$ is the ray class group of k modulo \mathfrak{d}^2 , and \sum_{χ} is over the characters of $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{d}^2}(k)$ satisfying $\chi^2 = \chi_0$.

Proof. For notational ease, we write $A = A(k)$ and $S = S(k)$. We observe

$$\sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X}} 1 = -1 + \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in A} \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ N\left(\frac{4\mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{u})^2}\right) \leq X}} 1 \quad (3)$$

$$= -1 + \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|2} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in A \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N(\mathfrak{c}^2)}{4^n} X}} \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{u}) = \mathfrak{c}}} 1. \quad (4)$$

First we deal with the innermost sum of (4), which is nonzero only if $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}) = 1$. For fixed \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{c} \mid 2$ and $(\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{a}) = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \exists x \ x^2 \equiv \alpha_0 u \pmod{\mathfrak{c}^2}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{c}|\mathfrak{d}|2 \\ (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{a})=1}} \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{u}) = \mathfrak{d}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{d}|\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}} \\ (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{a})=1}} \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{u}) = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{c}}} 1$$

and therefore, using Möbius inversion, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{u}) = \mathfrak{c}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{d}|\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}} \\ (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{a})=1}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \exists x \ x^2 \equiv \alpha_0 u \pmod{\mathfrak{c}^2 \mathfrak{d}^2}}} 1. \quad (5)$$

Plugging (5) into (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X}} 1 &= -1 + \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|2} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in A \\ (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c})=1 \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N(\mathfrak{c}^2)}{4^n} X}} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{d}|\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}} \\ (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{a})=1}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \exists x \ x^2 \equiv \alpha_0 u \pmod{\mathfrak{c}^2 \mathfrak{d}^2}}} 1 \\
&= -1 + \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|2} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|\mathfrak{c}} \mu\left(\frac{\mathfrak{c}}{\mathfrak{c}}\right) \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in A \\ (\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{a})=1 \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N(\mathfrak{c}^2)}{4^n} X}} \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \exists x \ x^2 \equiv \alpha_0 u \pmod{\mathfrak{c}^2}}} 1. \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 3.10 of [CDyDO02] together with the orthogonality relations give

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S \\ \exists x \ x^2 \equiv \alpha_0 u \pmod{\mathfrak{c}^2}}} 1 &= \begin{cases} 2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)} |\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}^2}(k)[2]| N(\mathfrak{c})^{-1} & \overline{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}^2}(k)^2 \\ 0 & \overline{\mathfrak{a}} \notin \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}^2}(k)^2 \end{cases} \\
&= \frac{2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)}}{N(\mathfrak{c})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{c}^2}(k)} \\ \chi^2 = \chi_0}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}). \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Pugging (7) into (6) leads to the desired result. \square

4 Sums of ray class characters

Using the formula given in Lemma 1 as a starting point, our goal is to derive the asymptotic expression for the number of relative quadratics given in Theorem 2. In order to do this, we will establish two lemmas on sums of ray class characters.

Let Φ denote the standard generalization of Euler's totient function to the ideals of k . Let χ_0 denote the principal character modulo \mathfrak{m} . It is well-known (see Satz XCV of [Lan18]) that

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi_0(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{m})}{N(\mathfrak{m})} \zeta_k^*(1) X + O_k(X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}}). \quad (8)$$

However, the implicit constant depends on the number field k . On the other hand, recently a uniform version of Landau's method was given by Lowry-

Duda, Taniguchi, and Thorne (see [LDTT22]). Their Theorem 3 states

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} 1 = \zeta_k^*(1)X + O_n(|\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1}). \quad (9)$$

Using (9) one can easily prove a uniform version of (8). We give such a result that holds for both principal and nonprincipal characters, where the implicit constant only depends on $n = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Lemma 2. *Let k be a number field of degree $n \geq 2$. Let $\chi \in \widehat{\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}$ be a ray class character of k modulo \mathfrak{m} with conductor \mathfrak{f} . Let $\tau(\mathfrak{m})$ denote the number of ideal divisors of \mathfrak{m} . We have*

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) = \delta(\chi) \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{m})}{N(\mathfrak{m})} \zeta_k^*(1)X + O_n(\tau(\mathfrak{m})(N(\mathfrak{f})|\Delta_k|)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1}),$$

where $\delta(\chi)$ equals 1 if $\chi = \chi_0$ and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Equation (9), together with the identity

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi_0(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{m}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X/N(\mathfrak{d})} 1,$$

allows one to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi_0(\mathfrak{a}) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{m}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \zeta_k^*(1) \frac{X}{N(\mathfrak{d})} + O_n \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{m}} |\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{X}{N(\mathfrak{d})} \right)^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1} \right) \\ &= \zeta_k^*(1)X \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{m}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{d})}{N(\mathfrak{d})} \\ &\quad + O_n \left(|\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{m}} \left(\frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d})} \right)^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last factor in the error term is bounded by $\tau(\mathfrak{m})$, and the result follows in the case where $\chi = \chi_0$.

We now turn to the case where the character is nonprincipal. Invoking Theorem 5 of [LDTT22], we find that for a nonprincipal primitive ray class character ψ with conductor \mathfrak{f} one has

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) = O_n((N(\mathfrak{f})|\Delta_k|)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1}). \quad (10)$$

Indeed, Theorem 5 of [LDTT22] applies to the setting of Hecke L -series, as is indicated in the discussion following the statement of their Theorem 2. One follows closely the proof of their Theorem 3, making the necessary modifications. The most significant differences are the absence of a pole at $s = 1$ and the different functional equation. Ultimately, this leads to replacing $|\Delta_k|$ with $|\Delta_k|N(\mathfrak{f})$ in many of the equations. One possible reference for the functional equation of a Hecke L -series is Chapter VII of [Neu99].

To extend the estimate in (10) to all nonprincipal ray class characters χ modulo \mathfrak{m} , one uses the identity

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|\mathfrak{m}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \psi(\mathfrak{d}) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X/N(\mathfrak{d})} \psi(\mathfrak{a}),$$

where ψ is the primitive character inducing χ . The result follows. \square

For our application, we will need to consider sums over squarefree ideals. To that end, we establish the following lemma. It will be convenient to define the \mathfrak{m} -imprimitive Dedekind zeta function as $\zeta_k^{\mathfrak{m}}(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{m}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}) \zeta_k(s)$.

Lemma 3. *Notation as in Lemma 2. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ squarefree} \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) = \delta(\chi) \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{m})}{N(\mathfrak{m})} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)} X + \tau(\mathfrak{m}) \cdot \begin{cases} O((N(\mathfrak{f})|\Delta_k|)^{1/3} \log |\Delta_k| \cdot X^{1/2} \log X) & n = 2, \\ O((N(\mathfrak{f})|\Delta_k|)^{1/4} (\log |\Delta_k|)^2 \cdot X^{1/2} (\log X)^3) & n = 3, \\ O_n((N(\mathfrak{f})|\Delta_k|)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1}) & n > 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To deal with the squarefree condition, we employ the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ squarefree} \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X}} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) &= \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X} \chi(\mathfrak{a}) \sum_{\mathfrak{d}^2|\mathfrak{a}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \\ &= \sum_{N(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X^{1/2}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq X/N(\mathfrak{d})^2} \chi(\mathfrak{d}^2) \chi(\mathfrak{a}). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This identity holds for any character modulo \mathfrak{m} . Hence, after applying

Lemma 2, the sum of interest on the lefthand side of (11) is equal to

$$\delta(\chi) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X^{1/2}} \mu(\mathfrak{d}) \chi(\mathfrak{d}^2) \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{m})}{N(\mathfrak{m})} \zeta_k^*(1) \frac{X}{N(\mathfrak{d})^2} \quad (12)$$

$$+ O_n \left(\sum_{N(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X^{1/2}} \tau(\mathfrak{m}) (N(\mathfrak{f}) |\Delta_k|)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{X}{N(\mathfrak{d})^2} \right)^{1 - \frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1} \right). \quad (13)$$

It will be useful to write $g_\beta(Z) := \sum_{N(\mathfrak{d}) \leq Z} N(\mathfrak{d})^\beta$ as we will need to consider this function for various values of β . First, we have the estimate

$$g_0(Z) \ll_n Z (\log Z)^{n-1}, \quad (14)$$

which follows from Exercise 1 on page 231 of [BS66].

In the case where $\chi = \chi_0$, the first summand (12) above is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{m})}{N(\mathfrak{m})} \zeta_k^*(1) X \sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X^{1/2} \\ (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{m})=1}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{d})}{N(\mathfrak{d})^2} \\ &= \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{m})}{N(\mathfrak{m})} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)} X + O_n((\log |\Delta_k|)^{n-1} X^{1/2} (\log X)^{n-1}); \end{aligned}$$

here we have used $\zeta_k^*(1) \ll (\log |\Delta_k|)^{n-1}$ (see, for example, [Lou01]) and

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{d}) > X^{1/2}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d})^2} \ll_n \frac{(\log X)^{n-1}}{X^{1/2}},$$

which follows via partial summation from (14).

The second summand (13) becomes

$$O_n \left(\tau(\mathfrak{m}) (N(\mathfrak{f}) |\Delta_k|)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1 - \frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1} \sum_{N(\mathfrak{d}) \leq X^{1/2}} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d})^{2(1 - \frac{2}{n+1})}} \right), \quad (15)$$

and the last factor on the right above becomes $g_\beta(X^{1/2})$ for $\beta = -2 + \frac{4}{n+1}$. We now split into cases based on the value of n . To complete the proof, it only remains to estimate $g_\beta(Z)$ appropriately in each case.

When $n \geq 4$, one has $\beta \leq -6/5$ and hence the sum converges and is bounded as $g_\beta(Z) \leq \zeta_k(6/5) \leq \zeta(6/5)^n$. This completes the proof in this case.

Before proceeding with the remaining cases, we first claim that

$$g_0(Z) \ll_n (\log |\Delta_k|)^{n-1} Z, \quad (16)$$

regardless of the value of n . Indeed, when $Z \geq |\Delta_k|$, Equation (9) immediately gives the result upon application of $\zeta_k^*(1) \ll (\log |\Delta_k|)^{n-1}$. When $Z < |\Delta_k|$, we use the estimate (14). This proves the claim.

Suppose $n = 2$. Using $g_0(Z) \ll Z \log |\Delta_k|$ and applying partial summation we obtain, for $-1 < \beta < 0$, $g_\beta(Z) \ll Z^{\beta+1} \log |\Delta_k|$. As $n = 2$ leads to $\beta = -2/3$, this applies and we arrive at a similar conclusion as when $n > 3$, but with the error term multiplied by a factor of $X^{1/6} \log |\Delta_k|$. This proves the result in the case where $n = 2$.

Suppose $n = 3$. In this case, $\beta = -1$ and partial summation yields $g_\beta(Z) \ll (\log |\Delta_k|)^2 \log Z$. Hence we arrive at the same conclusion but with the error term multiplied by $(\log |\Delta_k|)^2 \log X$, which proves the result in the final case. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 2

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 2. We can see via Lemma 3 that the contribution from the principal character in (2) from Lemma 1 is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|2} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d})} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|\mathfrak{d}} \mu \left(\frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{c}} \right) \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \text{ squarefree} \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq \frac{N(\mathfrak{c}^2)}{4^n} X}} \chi_0(\mathfrak{a}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} X + \begin{cases} O(|\Delta_k|^{1/3} \log |\Delta_k| X^{1/2} \log X) & n = 2, \\ O(|\Delta_k|^{1/4} (\log |\Delta_k|)^2 X^{1/2} (\log X)^3) & n = 3, \\ O_n \left(|\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1} \right) & n > 3, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the constant in the main term comes from

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)}}{4^n} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{d}|2} \frac{\Phi(\mathfrak{d})}{N(\mathfrak{d})^2} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{d}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-2})^{-1} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|\mathfrak{d}} \mu\left(\frac{\mathfrak{d}}{\mathfrak{c}}\right) N(\mathfrak{c})^2 \right) \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} \\
&= \frac{2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)}}{4^n} \left(\sum_{\mathfrak{d}|2} \Phi(\mathfrak{c}) \right) \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that in this case $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{d}^2$ with $\mathfrak{d} \mid 2$ so that $\tau(\mathfrak{m}) \leq \tau(4) \ll_n 1$, and consequently the dependence on $\tau(\mathfrak{m})$ is absorbed into the implicit constant.

In our next estimate, it will be useful to note that the total number of characters being summed over in (2) equals

$$|\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{d}^2}(k)[2]| \leq |\mathrm{Cl}(k)[2]| \cdot \Phi(\mathfrak{d}^2) \leq |\mathrm{Cl}(k)[2]| \cdot N(\mathfrak{d})^2.$$

For simplicity, we first assume $n \geq 4$. Again applying Lemma 3, we find that the contribution in (2) from the nonprincipal characters is bounded by

$$\ll_n 2^{r_1(k)+r_2(k)} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}|2} \frac{1}{N(\mathfrak{d})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathfrak{d}^2}(k)} \\ \chi^2 = \chi_0 \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}|\mathfrak{d}} |\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1} \quad (17)$$

$$\ll_n |\mathrm{Cl}(k)[2]| \cdot |\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} X^{1-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\log X)^{n-1}. \quad (18)$$

As before, some simplifications occur because we are allowed to drop dependence on n . In particular, we have $N(\mathfrak{f}) \leq N(\mathfrak{m}) \leq 4^n$. In the cases of $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, one simply modifies (17) and (18) by substituting the appropriate expression from the error term in Lemma 3.

6 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, k will always denote a quadratic field. First note that Gauss' genus theory (see, for example [Bue89]) tells us that

$$|\mathrm{Cl}(k)[2]| \leq 2^{\omega(\Delta_k)-1} \ll |\Delta_k|^\varepsilon. \quad (19)$$

In the case where k is quadratic, Theorem 2 gives

$$N_k(Y) := \sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq Y}} 1 = \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} Y + O(|\Delta_k|^{1/3+\varepsilon} Y^{1/2} \log Y). \quad (20)$$

We also have the weaker estimate

$$N_k(Y) \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in A} \sum_{\substack{\overline{u} \in S(k) \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq Y}} 1 \leq |S(k)| \sum_{N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq Y} 1 \ll 2^{\omega(\Delta_k)} Y \log |\Delta_k|, \quad (21)$$

which one can derive from (3), Lemma 3.2 of [CDyDO02], and (16). We write

$$N_k(Y) = \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} Y + E_k(Y), \quad (22)$$

and the left-hand side of (1) becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} N_k(X/\Delta_k^2) = X \sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} \frac{1}{2^{r_2} \Delta_k^2} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} + \sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} E_k(X/\Delta_k^2).$$

For $1 \leq Z \leq \sqrt{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} E_k(X/\Delta_k^2) &\ll \sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq Z}} |\Delta_k|^{1/3+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{X}{\Delta_k^2} \right)^{1/2+\varepsilon} + \sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ Z < |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} |\Delta_k|^\varepsilon \left(\frac{X}{\Delta_k^2} \right) \\ &\ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} Z^{1/3-\varepsilon} + X Z^{-1+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $Z = X^{3/8}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| \leq \sqrt{X}}} \sum_{\substack{[K:k]=2 \\ N(\Delta_{K/k}) \leq X/\Delta_k^2}} 1 = X \sum_{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2} \frac{1}{2^{r_2} \Delta_k^2} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} + O(X^{5/8+\varepsilon}). \quad (23)$$

Here we have used

$$\sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| > \sqrt{X}}} \frac{1}{2^{r_2} \Delta_k^2} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} \ll \sum_{\substack{[k:\mathbb{Q}]=2 \\ |\Delta_k| > \sqrt{X}}} \frac{\log |\Delta_k|}{\Delta_k^2} \ll X^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Equations (1) and (23) establish Theorem 1.

Remark 1. *Note that an improvement of the error term in (23) to $O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ would prove the conjecture mentioned in §1. Moreover, an improvement to $O(X^{1/2} \log X)$, if possible, would instantly extract the secondary term as*

$$N_4(D_4, X) = CX - \frac{3}{2}DX^{1/2}(\log X)^2 + O(X^{1/2} \log X),$$

where the constant D comes from the count $N_4(V_4, X) \sim DX^{1/2}(\log X)^2$.

7 Proof of Theorem 3

An easy variation on the discussion in §2 allows us to see that in order to count D_4 -extensions, as before, it suffices to study extensions $K/k/F$ that are quadratic over quadratic. For bookkeeping purposes, note that $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = 2[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2n$. First, we invoke Theorem 2.1 of [KW22] to bound the 2-torsion of the class group of k as

$$|\mathrm{Cl}(k)[2]| \ll_n |\mathrm{Cl}(F)[2]|^2 |\Delta_k|^\varepsilon. \quad (24)$$

We adopt the notation from the proof of Theorem 1. The number of quadratic over quadratic extensions $K/k/F$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{[k:F]=2 \\ N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F}) \leq \sqrt{X}}} N_k \left(\frac{X}{N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F})^2} \right) \quad (25)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{[k:F]=2 \\ N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F}) \leq \sqrt{X}}} \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} \frac{X}{N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F})^2} \quad (26)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{[k:F]=2 \\ N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F}) \leq \sqrt{X}}} E_k \left(\frac{X}{N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F})^2} \right). \quad (27)$$

Since $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = 2n \geq 4$, Theorem 2 combined with (24) implies

$$N_k(Y) = \frac{1}{2^{r_2(k)}} \frac{\zeta_k^*(1)}{\zeta_k(2)} Y + O_n(|\mathrm{Cl}(F)[2]|^2 |\Delta_k|^{\frac{1}{2n+1}+\varepsilon} Y^{1-\frac{2}{2n+1}+\varepsilon}), \quad (28)$$

which is the analogue of (20) in this context. Additionally, we find

$$N_F(Y) \ll_n |\mathrm{Cl}(F)[2]| \cdot |\Delta_F|^\varepsilon Y, \quad (29)$$

which is the analogue of (21). Using (28) we see that (27) is

$$\ll_n |\text{Cl}(F)[2]|^2 |\Delta_F|^{\frac{2}{2n+1}+\varepsilon} X^{1-\frac{2}{2n+1}+\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{[k:F]=2 \\ N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F}) \leq \sqrt{X}}} N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F})^{-2+\frac{5}{2n+1}-\varepsilon}. \quad (30)$$

Here we have used the identity $|\Delta_k| = |\Delta_F|^2 N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F})$. Using partial summation on (29) one finds, for fixed $\beta < -1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{[k:F]=2 \\ N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F}) \leq Y}} N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\Delta_{k/F})^\beta \ll_n |\text{Cl}(F)[2]| \cdot |\Delta_F|^\varepsilon. \quad (31)$$

Applying (31) to (30) now gives the result.

Remark 2. *If one prefers a version of Theorem 3 in which the dependence on F is expressed purely in terms of the discriminant, one simply bounds $|\text{Cl}(F)[2]|$ in terms of $|\Delta_F|$. Indeed, $|\text{Cl}(F)[2]| \ll_n |\Delta_F|^{\alpha(n)+\varepsilon}$, where the Brauer–Siegel Theorem allows one to take $\alpha(n) = 1/2$. More recently, it was shown (see [BST⁺20]) that one can take $\alpha(n) = 1/2 - 1/(2n)$ when $n \geq 5$ and $\alpha(3) = \alpha(4) = 0.2785$. Of course, from (19) one can take $\alpha(2) = 0$. As an example, when $n = 3$, one obtains an error of $O(|\Delta_F|^{1.13} X^{5/7+\varepsilon})$.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Frank Thorne for his helpful comments. The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for numerous suggestions that greatly improved the quality of this paper. The bulk of this work was completed at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute / Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute in Berkeley, CA during Spring 2023. We would like to thank MSRI/SL Math for providing an excellent work environment and the National Science Foundation (under grant 1440140) for supporting MSRI.

References

- [ASVW21] S. Ali Altuğ, Arul Shankar, Ila Varma, and Kevin H. Wilson. The number of D_4 -fields ordered by conductor. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(8):2733–2785, 2021.
- [Bai80] Andrew Marc Baily. On the density of discriminants of quartic fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 315:190–210, 1980.

- [BFSLV22] Alina Bucur, Alexandra Florea, Allechar Serrano López, and Ila Varma. Power-saving error terms for the number of D_4 -quartic extensions over a number field ordered by discriminant. 2022.
- [Bha07] Manjul Bhargava. Mass formulae for extensions of local fields, and conjectures on the density of number field discriminants. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):Art. ID rnm052, 20, 2007.
- [BS66] A. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich. *Number theory*. Academic Press, New York-London,, 1966. Translated from the Russian by Newcomb Greenleaf.
- [BSMT23] Adrian Barquero-Sanchez, Riad Masri, and Frank Thorne. The distribution of G -Weyl CM fields and the Colmez conjecture. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 10(2):Paper No. 25, 25, 2023.
- [BST⁺20] M. Bhargava, A. Shankar, T. Taniguchi, F. Thorne, J. Tsimerman, and Y. Zhao. Bounds on 2-torsion in class groups of number fields and integral points on elliptic curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 33(4):1087–1099, 2020.
- [Bue89] Duncan A. Buell. *Binary quadratic forms*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. Classical theory and modern computations.
- [CDyDO02] Henri Cohen, Francisco Diaz y Diaz, and Michel Olivier. Enumerating quartic dihedral extensions of \mathbb{Q} . *Compositio Math.*, 133(1):65–93, 2002.
- [CDyDO06] Henri Cohen, Francisco Diaz y Diaz, and Michel Olivier. Counting discriminants of number fields. *Journal de theorie des nombres de bordeaux*, 18(3):573–593, 2006.
- [CL84] Henri Cohen and Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr. Heuristics on class groups of number fields. In *Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983 (Noordwijkerhout, 1983)*, volume 1068 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 33–62. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [DW88] Boris Datskovsky and David J. Wright. Density of discriminants of cubic extensions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 386:116–138, 1988.

- [Fri21] Matthew Friedrichsen. A secondary term of d_4 quartic fields ordered by conductor. 2021.
- [Klu05] Jürgen Klüners. A counterexample to Malle’s conjecture on the asymptotics of discriminants. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 340(6):411–414, 2005.
- [KW22] Jürgen Klüners and Jiuya Wang. ℓ -torsion bounds for the class group of number fields with an ℓ -group as Galois group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150(7):2793–2805, 2022.
- [Lan18] Edmund Landau. Über ideale und primideale in idealklassen. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 2(1-2):52–154, 1918.
- [LDTT22] David Lowry-Duda, Takashi Taniguchi, and Frank Thorne. Uniform bounds for lattice point counting and partial sums of zeta functions. *Math. Z.*, 300(3):2571–2590, 2022.
- [Lou01] Stéphane Louboutin. Explicit upper bounds for residues of dedekind zeta functions and values of l-functions at $s = 1$, and explicit lower bounds for relative class numbers of cm-fields. *Canadian journal of mathematics*, 53(6):1194–1222, 2001.
- [Mäk93] Sirpa Mäki. The conductor density of abelian number fields. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 47(1):18–30, 1993.
- [Mal04] Gunter Malle. On the distribution of Galois groups. II. *Experiment. Math.*, 13(2):129–135, 2004.
- [Mal10] Gunter Malle. On the distribution of class groups of number fields. *Experiment. Math.*, 19(4):465–474, 2010.
- [Neu99] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.

Kevin J. McGown
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
California State University, Chico
Chico, California 95929
U.S.A.
E-mail address: `kmcgown@csuchico.edu`

Amanda Tucker
Department of Mathematics
University of Rochester
Rochester, New York 14627
U.S.A.
E-mail address: `amanda.tucker@rochester.edu`